
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Discussion

The NRC received an application, dated April 23, 2007, from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy), filed pursuant to Section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and part 54 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, (10 CFR part 54), to renew, the operating licenses for IP2 and IP3. The IP2 and IP3 site is located along the Hudson River, approximately 24 miles north of New York, NY. Renewal of the licenses would authorize the applicant to operate the facilities beyond the initial 40-year period specified in the current operating licenses. Possible alternatives to the proposed action (license renewal) include no action and reasonable alternative energy sources.

The NRC issued a plant-specific Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS) as a supplement to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (GEIS), NUREG–1437, regarding the renewal of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–26 and DPR–64 for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (IP2 and IP3).

As discussed in Section 8.2 of the FSEIS, the NRC staff determined that the adverse environmental impacts of license renewal for IP2 and IP3 are not so great that preserving the option of license renewal for energy-planning decisionmakers would be unreasonable. This recommendation is based on: (1) the analysis and findings in the GEIS; (2) information provided in the environmental report and other documents submitted by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; (3) consultation with Federal, State, local, and tribal agencies; (4) the NRC staff’s independent review; and (5) NRC staff’s consideration of public comments received during the scoping process and on the draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement.

II. Matters Addressed in Supplement 2 to the FSEIS

This supplement includes the NRC staff’s evaluation of revised engineering project cost information for severe accident mitigation alternatives (SAMAs), a summary of the results of additional sensitivity analyses to address uncertainties in the SAMA cost-benefit conclusions as directed by the Commission, newly available aquatic impact information, and the additional environmental issues associated with license renewal resulting from the June 2013, revision to Table B–1 in Appendix B to Subpart A of 10 CFR part 51 and NUREG–1437. This supplement also incorporates the impact determinations of NUREG–2157, “Generic Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel,” in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 51.23(b).

Additionally, this supplement describes the initiation of consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA), regarding the northern long-eared bat, the initiation of a conference under Section 7 of the ESA for proposed critical habitat of the Atlantic sturgeon, the staff’s November 2017, request for the National Marine Fisheries Service to amend the 2013 biological opinion’s Incidental Take Statement, and to provide its concurrence with staff’s determination with respect to the final designated Atlantic Sturgeon critical habitat. The supplement also provides an update on the status of the operating licenses for IP2 and IP3. In addition, this supplement reflects the closure agreement signed in January 2017, by the parties to legal proceedings related to the renewal of the operating licenses for IP2 and IP3. The closure agreement, among other things, resolves all litigation concerning license renewal and calls for an early shut down of IP2 and IP3.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of May, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric R. Oesterle,
Chief, License Renewal Project Branch, Division of Materials and License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
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negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: May 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.
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I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service has filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative), Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. Docket No(s): CP2018–220; Filing Title: Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 7 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Material Filed Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: May 16, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Kenneth R. Moeller; Comments Due: May 24, 2018.

This notice will be published in the Federal Register.

Stacy L. Ruble, Secretary.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 2.12 To Add References to Cboe Options and C2

May 16, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”), 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on May 14, 2018, Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. (“EDGA” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act 2 and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder, 3 which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend Rule 2.12 to add references to Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe Options”) and Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. (“C2”). The Exchange does not propose to amend the requirements of this rule. (additions are italicized; deletions are [bracketed])

* * * *

Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. Rules

* * * *

Rule 2.12. Cboe Trading, Inc. as Inbound Router

(a) For so long as the Exchange is affiliated with Cboe Exchange, Inc., Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc., Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc., Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc., or Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (each, a “Cboe Exchange”), and Cboe Trading, Inc. (“Cboe Trading”) in its capacity as a facility of each Cboe Exchange is utilized for the routing of orders from a Cboe Exchange to the Exchange, the Exchange undertakes as follows:

(1)–(4) No change.

(b) No change.

* * * *

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s website at www.markets.cboe.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

In December 2016, the Exchange and its affiliates received approval to effect

* As of December 2016, the Exchange’s affiliates included Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (formerly Bats