address for each contact person is:
Office of Pesticide Programs,
Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. As part of the mailing address, include the contact person’s name, division, and mail code. The division to contact is listed at the end of each pesticide petition summary.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT for the division listed at the end of the pesticide petition summary of interest.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD–ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for preparing your comments. When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html.

3. Environmental justice. EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low-income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

II. What action is the Agency taking?

EPA is announcing its receipt of several pesticide petitions filed under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, requesting the establishment or modification of regulations in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities. The Agency is taking public comment on the requests before responding to the petitioners. EPA is not proposing any particular action at this time. EPA has determined that the pesticide petitions described in this document contain the data or information prescribed in FFDCA section 408(d)(2), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the pesticide petitions. After considering the public comments, EPA intends to evaluate whether and what action may be warranted. Additional data may be needed before EPA can make a final determination on these pesticide petitions.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 180.7(f), a summary of each of the petitions that are the subject of this document, prepared by the petitioner, is included in a docket EPA has created for each rulemaking. The docket for each of the petitions is available at http://www.regulations.gov.

As specified in FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), EPA is publishing notice of the petitions so that the public has an opportunity to comment on these requests for the establishment or modification of regulations for residues of pesticides in or on food commodities. Further information on the petitions may be obtained through the petition summaries referenced in this unit.

New Tolerance Exemption for Inert (Except PIPS)

PP IN–11079. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2018–0204). SciReg, INC. (12733 Director’s Loop, Woodbridge, VA 22192) on behalf of Italpollina USA, Inc. (1100 South Tower, 225 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA 30303) requests to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of hydrolyzed soy protein (CAS Reg. No. 68607–88–5) when used as an inert ingredient (pH adjusting agent, surfactant, or adhesive) in pesticide formulations applied to growing crops only under 40 CFR 180.920. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because it is not required for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. Contact: RD.

New Tolerance for Non-Inert

PP 7F8649. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2018–0140). Bayer CropScience, 2 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, requests to establish tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the fungicide fluoxastrobin; [2-[(6-[(2-Chlorophenoxy)-5-fluoro-4-pyrimidinyl] oxy][phenyl] (5,6-dihydro-1,4,2- dioxazin-3-yl)methanone-O-methyloxime] in or on the raw agricultural commodities Cotton, gin byproducts at 0.01 parts per million (ppm) and Cotton, undelinted seed at 0.01 ppm. The analytical methodology liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) detection is used to measure and evaluate the chemical fluoxastrobin. Contact: RD.


Delores Barber,
Director, Information Technology and Resources Management Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2018–12807 Filed 6–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 67


Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for Assumption Parish, Louisiana and Incorporated Areas

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.
SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is withdrawing its proposed rule concerning proposed flood elevation determinations for Assumption Parish, Louisiana and Incorporated Areas.

DATES: The proposed rule is withdrawn as of June 14, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. FEMA–B–1100, to Rick Sachibit, Chief, Engineering Services Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 400 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–7659, or (email) patrick.sachibit@fema.dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Sachibit, Chief, Engineering Services Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 400 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–7659, or (email) patrick.sachibit@fema.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 25, 2010, FEMA published a proposed rule at 75 FR 29245, proposing flood elevation determinations along one or more flooding sources in Iron County, Utah and Incorporated Areas. FEMA is withdrawing the proposed rule.


David I. Maurstad,

[FR Doc. 2018–12640 Filed 6–13–18; 8:45 am
BILLING CODE 9110–12–P]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 67


Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for Iron County, Utah and Incorporated Areas

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is withdrawing its proposed rule concerning proposed flood elevation determinations for Iron County, Utah and Incorporated Areas.

DATES: The proposed rule is withdrawn as of June 14, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. FEMA–B–1222, to Rick Sachibit, Chief, Engineering Services Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 400 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–7659, or (email) patrick.sachibit@fema.dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Sachibit, Chief, Engineering Services Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 400 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–7659, or (email) patrick.sachibit@fema.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 6, 2011, FEMA published a proposed rule at 76 FR 62014 and 76 FR 62015, proposing flood elevation determinations along one or more flooding sources in Iron County, Utah and Incorporated Areas. FEMA is withdrawing the proposed rule.


David I. Maurstad,

[FR Doc. 2018–12642 Filed 6–13–18; 8:45 am
BILLING CODE 9110–12–P]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 54 and 64

[WC Docket No. 10–90, 14–58, 07–135 and CC Docket No. 01–92; Report No. 3091]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Petitions for Reconsideration.

SUMMARY: Petitions for Reconsideration (Petitions) have been filed in the Commission’s Rulemaking proceeding by James M. Gleason, on behalf of Clarity Telecom, LLC d/b/a Vast Broadband, Kevin Pyle, on behalf Hamilton County Telephone Co-op and Ronald T. Hinds, on behalf Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation.

DATES: Oppositions to the Petition must be filed on or before June 29, 2018. Replies to an opposition must be filed on or before June 25, 2018.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s document, Report No. 3091, released June 5, 2018. The full text of the Petitions is available for viewing and copying at the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th Street SW, Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554.