Members to increase their participation in BYX Equities. Therefore, eliminating the tier will have a negligible effect on order flow and market behavior. The Exchange believes the proposed change is not unfairly discriminatory because it will apply equally to all Members.

The Exchange next notes that volume-based discounts such as those currently maintained on the Exchange have been widely adopted by exchanges and are equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value of an exchange’s market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns, and introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery processes. While the proposed modification to Add/ Remove Volume Tiers 2, 3, 8 and 9 makes such tiers slightly more difficult to attain, it is intended to incentivize Members to send additional volume to the Exchange in an effort to qualify or continue to qualify for the reduced fees and enhanced rebates, as applicable, made available by the tiers. As such, the Exchange also believes that the proposed changes are reasonable. The Exchange notes that increased volume on the Exchange provides greater trading opportunities for all market participants.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that any of the proposed change to the Exchange’s tiered pricing structure burden competition, but instead, that they enhance competition as they are intended to increase the competitiveness of BYX by modifying pricing incentives in order to attract order flow and incentivize participants to increase their participation on the Exchange. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee structures to be unreasonable or excessive. The Exchange does not believe the proposed amendments would burden intramarket competition as they would be available to all Members uniformly.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from Members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–ChoeBYX–2018–007 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ChoeBYX–2018–007. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ChoeBYX–2018–007 and should be submitted on or before July 10, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.16

Eduardo A. Aleman, Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–13085 Filed 6–18–18; 8:45 am]
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Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on June 11, 2018, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend The Nasdaq Options Market LLC (“NOM”) Rules at Supplementary Material to Chapter III, Section 7, entitled “Position Limits,” and Section 9, entitled “Exercise Limits,” to amend position limits for options on the SPDR® S&P 500® exchange-traded fund (“SPY ETF” or “SPY”), which list and trade under the symbol “SPY.”

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

NOM’s current rule is based on The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc.’s current rule. The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter III, Section 7 to allow the SPY Pilot Program to terminate on July 12, 2018, the current expiration date of the SPY Pilot Program. In lieu of extending the SPY Pilot Program for another year, the Exchange proposes to allow the SPY Pilot Program to terminate and to establish position and exercise limits of 1,800,000 contracts, for options on SPY, with such change becoming operative on July 12, 2018, so that there is no lapse in time between termination of the SPY Pilot Program and the establishment of the new limits. Furthermore, as a result of the termination of the SPY Pilot Program, the Exchange does not believe it is necessary to submit a SPY Pilot Program Report at the end of the SPY Pilot Program. Based on the prior SPY Pilot Program Reports provided to the Commission,\(^4\) the Exchange believes it is appropriate to terminate the SPY Pilot Program and that permanent position and exercise limits should be established for SPY.

Position limits are designed to address potential manipulative schemes and adverse market impact surrounding the use of options, such as disrupting the market in the security underlying the options. The potential manipulative schemes and adverse market impact are balanced against the potential of setting the limits so low as to discourage participation in the options market. The level of those position limits must be balanced between curtailing potential manipulation and the cost of preventing potential hedging activity that could be used for legitimate economic purposes.

The SPY Pilot Program was established in 2013 in order to eliminate position and exercise limits for physically-settled SPY options.\(^5\) In 2005, the position limits for SPY options were increased from 75,000 contracts to 300,000 contracts on the same side of the market.\(^6\) In July 2011, the position limit for these options was again increased from 300,000 contracts to 900,000 contracts on the same side of the market.\(^7\) Then, in 2013, the position limits for SPY options were eliminated as part of the SPY Pilot Program.\(^8\)

The underlying SPY tracks the performance of the S&P 500 Index and the Exchange notes that the SPY and SPY options have deep, liquid markets that reduce concerns regarding manipulation and disruption in the underlying markets. In support of this proposed rule change, the Exchange has collected the following trading statistics for SPY and SPY Options: (1) The average daily volume (“ADV”) to date (as of May 15, 2018) for SPY is 108.32 million shares; (2) the ADV to date in 2018 for SPY options is 3.9 million contracts per day; (3) the total shares outstanding for SPY is 965.43 million; and (4) the fund market cap for SPY is 261.65 billion. The Exchange represents further that there is tremendous liquidity in the securities that make up the S&P 500 Index.

Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend Chapter III, Section 7 [sic] to set forth that the position and exercise limits for options on SPY would be 1,800,000 contracts on the same side of the market. These position and exercise limits equal the current position and exercise limits for options on QQQ, which the Commission previously approved to be increased from 900,000 contracts on the same side of the market, to 1,800,000 contracts on the same side of the market.\(^9\) The Exchange also notes that SPY is more liquid [sic] than QQQ.\(^10\) The Exchange believes that establishing position and exercise limits for the SPY options in the amount of 1,800,000 contracts on the same side of the market subject to this proposal would allow for the maintenance of the liquid and competitive market environment for these options, which will benefit customers interested in these products. Under the proposal, the reporting requirement for the options would be unchanged.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,\(^11\) in general, and further the

CBOE—2011–065). NOM’s position limit in SPY in 2005 was based on The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc.’s current rule.\(^12\) See note 5 above.


\(^11\) From the beginning of the year, through May 15, 2018, the ADV for SPY was 108.32 million shares while the ADV for QQQ was 46.64 million shares (calculated using data from Yahoo Finance as of May 15, 2018).

objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that establishing permanent position and exercise limits for SPY options subject to this proposal will encourage Market Makers to continue to provide sufficient liquidity in SPY options on the Exchange, which will enhance the process of price discovery conducted on the Exchange. The proposal will also benefit institutional investors as well as retail traders, and public customers, by continuing to provide them with an effective trading and hedging vehicle. In addition, the Exchange believes that the structure of the SPY options subject to this proposal and the considerable liquidity of the market for those options diminishes the opportunity to manipulate this product and disrupt the underlying market that a lower position limit may protect against.

Increased position limits for select actively traded options, such as that proposed herein (increased as compared to the 900,000 limit in place prior to the SPY Pilot Program), is not novel and has been previously approved by the Commission. For example, the Commission has previously approved a rule change permitting the Exchange to double the position and exercise limits for FXI, EEM, IWM, EFA, EWZ, TLT, QQQ, and EWJ. Furthermore, as previously mentioned, the Commission specifically approved a proposal by the Exchange to increase the position and exercise limits for options on QQQ from 900,000 contracts on the same side of the market to 1,800,000 contracts on the same side of the market; similar to the current proposal for options on SPY.

The Exchange also notes that SPY is more liquid than QQQ. Lastly, the Commission expressed the belief that implementing higher position and exercise limits may bring additional depth and liquidity without increasing concerns regarding intermarket manipulation or disruption of the options or the underlying securities. The Exchange’s existing surveillance and reporting safeguards are designed to deter and detect possible manipulative behavior which might arise from increasing position and exercise limits (increased as compared to the 900,000 limit in place prior to the SPY Pilot Program).

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes the entire proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that it does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. On the contrary, the Exchange believes the proposed rule promotes competition because it will enable the option exchanges to attract additional order flow from the over-the-counter market, who in turn compete for those orders. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will result in continued opportunities to achieve the investment and trading objectives of market participants seeking efficient trading and hedging vehicles, to the benefit of investors, market participants, and the marketplace in general. The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among the options exchanges and to establish uniform position limits for additional multiply listed option classes. Furthermore, the Exchange believes that the other options exchanges will file similar proposals with the Commission.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NASDAQ–2018–044 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2018–044. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for

The proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.
inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2018–044 and should be submitted on or before July 10, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–13080 Filed 6–18–18; 8:45 am]
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I. Introduction

On March 6, 2018, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (“CHX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, a proposed rule change to adopt the Route QCT Cross routing option. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on March 20, 2018. On May 1, 2018, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Exchange Act, the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change. The Commission received no comment on the proposed rule change. This order institutes proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

Currently, under the Exchange’s rules, Routable Orders submitted to the CHX matching system (“Matching System”) for execution are routed away from the Matching System automatically if a Routing Event is triggered. The Exchange’s current rules provide that all Routable Orders are limit orders. Market and cross orders are never routable. The Exchange does not currently permit orders to be directly routed to an away Trading Center without first being submitted to the Matching System.

Because Qualified Contingent Trade (“QCT”) Crosses are exempt from the trade-through prohibition of Rule 611 of Regulation NMS, the Matching System permits QCT Crosses to trade-through protected quotes of away markets. Under the Exchange’s current rules, QCT Crosses are handled IOC and can never rest on the CHX book. Moreover, a QCT Cross submitted to the Matching System will be cancelled back to the order sender as “blocked” if a precedent limit order priced at or better than the QCT Cross is resting on the CHX book, except that a QCT Cross priced at the top of the CHX book (i.e., the best-ranked order on the CHX book pursuant to Article 20, Rule 8(b)) that qualifies for Cross With Size handling will be permitted to execute.

The Exchange has proposed to adopt the Route QCT Cross routing option, which will permit only Institutional Brokers (“IBs”) directly to route a QCT Cross to a non-affiliated third-party broker-dealer designated by the IB (“designated executing broker”) for execution. Route QCT Cross orders will be handled like current Routable Orders, except that the Route QCT Cross order will never be submitted to the Matching System for execution. Specifically, upon receipt of a Route QCT Cross order, the Exchange will cause the order to be routed IOC from the Exchange, through CHXBD, LLC (“CHXBD”), the Exchange’s affiliated routing broker, to the designated executing broker identified by the IB. The Exchange states that the relationship between a designated executing broker and CHXBD will be governed by applicable CHX Rules and customary interbroker agreements, such as fully-disclosed clearing and customer agreements. The Exchange represents that at all times, the use of Route QCT Cross will be optional. The Exchange also states that Route QCT Cross is similar to the routing options available on the Nasdaq Stock Market and Cboe BYX and Cboe BZX exchanges.

Specifically, the Exchange has proposed to adopt proposed Article 19, Rule 4 (Routing Options) to provide that routing options may be combined with all available order types, modifiers and related terms, except for order types, modifiers, and related terms that are inconsistent with the terms of a routing option, and that the Exchange may activate or deactivate any routing option at its discretion and, if practicable, after notice to Participants. Article 19, Rule 4(a)(1) provides that Route QCT Cross is

22 The Exchange states that IBs will be permitted to identify only one designated executing broker to which all Route QCT Cross orders submitted by the IB will be routed, subject to additional requirements, as described below.
23 See e.g., CHX Article 19, Rule 2(a).
24 See Notice, supra note 3 at 12215.
25 See id. The Exchange states that like Route QCT Cross, the “Directed Order” routing option offered by the Nasdaq Stock Market (“Nasdaq”) permits an order sender to route an order to another market center while bypassing the Nasdaq’s order book, which may result in the routed order executing at a price through Nasdaq’s top of book. See id.
26 The Exchange states that like Route QCT Cross, the “DRT” routing option offered by the Cboe BYX and Cboe BZX exchanges permits an order to be routed to one or more away alternative trading systems. See id.
27 See CHX Article 1, Rule 1(a).

20 The Exchange states that IBs are currently permitted to submit QCT Crosses to the Matching System. See CHX Article 1, Rule 2(b)(2)(E).
21 See CHX Article 1, Rule 1(a)(2).
22 The Exchange states that IBs will be permitted to identify only one designated executing broker to which all Route QCT Cross orders submitted by the IB will be routed, subject to additional requirements, as described below.
23 See e.g., CHX Article 19, Rule 2(a).
24 See Notice, supra note 3 at 12215.
25 See id. The Exchange states that like Route QCT Cross, the “Directed Order” routing option offered by the Nasdaq Stock Market (“Nasdaq”) permits an order sender to route an order to another market center while bypassing the Nasdaq’s order book, which may result in the routed order executing at a price through Nasdaq’s top of book. See id.
26 The Exchange states that like Route QCT Cross, the “DRT” routing option offered by the Cboe BYX and Cboe BZX exchanges permits an order to be routed to one or more away alternative trading systems. See id.
27 See CHX Article 1, Rule 1(a).