coordinates are North American Datum 1983.

(b) Definition. As used in this section, “designated representative” means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the COTP in the enforcement of the regulated areas.

(c) Regulations. (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area, except persons and vessels participating in the Swim Around Charleston, or serving as safety vessels.

(2) Persons and vessels desiring to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area may contact the COTP by telephone at (843) 740–7050, or a designated representative via VHF radio on channel 16, to request authorization. If authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area is granted, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the COTP or a designated representative.

(3) The Coast Guard will provide notice of the regulated area by Marine Safety Information Bulletins, Local Notice to Mariners, Broadcast Notice to Mariners, and on-scene designated representatives.

(d) Enforcement period. This rule will be enforced on September 16, 2018 from 7:45 a.m. until 2 p.m.

Dated: June 20, 2018.

J.W. Reed,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Charleston.

[FR Doc. 2018–13679 Filed 6–25–18; 8:45 am]
a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involve a safety zone lasting three days that would prohibit entry within all waters of Lake Erie, off of Edgewater Park, Cleveland, OH, inside an area starting on shore at position 41°29′16″ N, 081°44′49″ W then Northwest to 41°29′34″ N, 081°45′02″ W then Northeast to 41°29′43″ N, 081°44′31″ W, then Southeast back to shore at position 41°29′28″ N, 081°44′22″ (NAD 83).

Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev.01. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. If your material cannot be submitted using http://www.regulations.gov, contact the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at http://www.regulations.gov and can be viewed by following that website’s instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.
For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

**PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:
   
   **Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Add § 165.T09–0427 to read as follows:

**§ 165.T09–0427 Safety Zone; USA Triathlon Age Group National Championships; Lake Erie, Cleveland, OH.**

   (a) **Location.** The safety zone will encompass all waters of Lake Erie, off of Edgewater Park, Cleveland OH, inside an area starting on shore at position 41°29′16″ N, 081°44′49″ W then Northwest to 41°29′34″ N, 081°45′02″ W then Northeast to 41°29′43″ N, 081°44′31″ W, and Southeast back to shore at position 41°29′28″ N, 081°44′22″ (NAD 83).

   (b) **Enforcement Period.** This rule will be enforced from 10:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. on August 10, 2018 from 5:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on August 11, 2018 and from 5:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on August 12, 2018.

   (c) **Regulations.** (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Buffalo or his designated on-scene representative.

   (2) This safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the Captain of the Port Buffalo or his designated on-scene representative.

   (3) The “on-scene representative” of the Captain of the Port Buffalo is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been designated by the Captain of the Port Buffalo to act on his behalf.

   (4) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the safety zone must contact the Captain of the Port Buffalo or his on-scene representative to obtain permission to do so. The Captain of the Port Buffalo or his on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

   Dated: June 20, 2018.

   **Joseph S. Dufresne,**
   Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Buffalo.

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**


**Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; New York; Subpart 225–1, Fuel Composition and Use—Sulfur Limitations**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency is proposing to approve a revision to the New York State Implementation Plan concerning sulfur-in-fuel limits. The intended effect of this revision is to add a regulatory mechanism for meeting the existing obligations related to regional haze. The SIP revision consists of amendments to Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules and Regulations Subpart 225–1, “Fuel Composition and Use—Sulfur Limitations” and Section 200.1, “Definitions” and, also removes an obsolete provision from the Code of Federal Regulations related to facility specific sulfur-in-fuel limits.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 26, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA–R02–OAR–2016–0144, at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods i.e., the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kirk J. Wieber, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, (212) 637–3381, or by email at wieber.kirk@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**
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   IV. Proposed Action
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I. Background

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve New York’s State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal consisting of revisions to Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) Section 200.1, “Definitions,” which adds a definition for waste oil. EPA proposes to approve, with limitations, Subpart 225–1, “Fuel Composition and Use—Sulfur Limitations,” as contributing to attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter (PM 2.5) and the NAAQS for sulfur dioxide (SO2), and establishing a revised regulatory mechanism for New York’s regional haze SIP. The EPA’s proposed approval of New York’s sulfur-in-fuel regulation into the SIP does not alter the EPA’s prior action on New York’s Regional Haze SIP, which includes emission reductions related to the sulfur-in-fuel requirements of section 19–0325 of the Environmental Conservation Law (ECL). 77 FR 51915 (Aug. 28, 2012). The EPA is proposing to approve these revisions, requested by New York, as strengthening the effectiveness of New York’s SIP.

Pursuant to 40 CFR part 51, the EPA also is proposing to remove a section from 40 CFR 52.1675 which lists sulfur limitations for various facilities in New York. EPA has determined that these limitations have expired and/or refer to sources which have been shut down. That determination was reflected in EPA’s reformatting exercise that ensured that all revisions to the New York State SIPs are accurately reflected in 40 CFR part 52, including 40 CFR 52.1670(d), “EPA approved State source-specific requirements.” 76 FR 41705 (July 15, 2011). In addition, the sulfur-in-fuel rule proposed for approval here requires the use of lower sulfur fuel, with lower sulfur concentrations than the limits listed in 40 CFR 52.1675. The EPA is therefore removing the existing sulfur limitations in 40 CFR 52.1675 as they are superfluous and obsolete.