

Dated: June 4, 2018.

George Sigounas,
Administrator, Health Resources and Services
Administration.

Approved: June 21, 2018.

Alex M. Azar II,
Secretary, Department of Health and Human
Services.

List of Subjects in 42 CFR Part 5a

Health care, Health care professionals,
Public health, Rural health.

PART 5a—[REMOVED]

■ For reasons set out in the preamble,
and under the authority at 5 U.S.C. 301,
HHS amends 42 CFR chapter I by
removing part 5a.

[FR Doc. 2018-13835 Filed 6-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 23

RIN 0906-AB15

Removing Outmoded Regulations Regarding the National Health Service Corps Program

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services
Administration (HRSA), HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action removes
outmoded regulations for the National
Health Service Corps (NHSC) Program.
The regulations were promulgated to
implement Section 338G of the Public
Health Service (PHS) Act, relating to
private practice loans. The regulations
have not been updated since they were
issued in 1986. The regulations are no
longer relevant or needed as the NHSC
has not made private practice loan
opportunities available since the 1980s,
and does not plan to do so in the
foreseeable future. The removal of these
regulations will not create any
challenges for other programs, as the
law and regulations apply solely to
NHSC clinicians.

DATES: This action is effective July 27,
2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sweta Maheshwari J.D., Legislative
Analyst, Division of Policy and Shortage
Designation, Bureau of Health
Workforce, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane,
Room 11W21A, Rockville, MD 20857,
by phone at (301) 945-3527, or by email
at smaheshwari@hrsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In
response to Executive Order 13777 and
Executive Order 13563, Sec. 6(a), which
direct agencies to repeal existing

regulations that are “outmoded” from
the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR),
HHS is removing 42 CFR part 23,
subpart B (§§ 23.21 through 23.35) and
subpart C (§ 23.41). Furthermore, HHS
has determined that there is good cause
to bypass notice and comment and
proceed to a final rule, pursuant to 5
U.S.C. 553(b)(B). The action is non-
controversial, as it merely removes
certain provisions from the CFR that are
obsolete. Given the length of time
(approximately 30 years) since the
private practice loan provision has been
utilized, it is HHS’s assessment that the
agency is unlikely to receive any
comments opposing the repeal of these
regulations. Thus, a comment period
prior to finalization of this rule is
unnecessary. This rule poses no new
substantive requirements or burdens on
the public.

Background

In 1986, HHS issued implementing
regulations, as directed in Section 338G
of the PHS Act, specifying the interest
rate and loan repayment terms for
private practice special loans to former
Corps members and interest rate and
loan repayment terms for private
practice start-up loans to NHSC
scholarship recipients.

The provision for Special Loans for
Former Corps Members to Enter Private
Practice authorized the Secretary to
make a one-time loan up to \$25,000 to
a Corps member. In exchange, the Corps
member reciprocated by committing to
serve as a full-time private practice
provider in a Health Professional
Shortage Area (HPSA) for a minimum of
two years. The intent of these
regulations was to retain Corps members
in HPSAs after the completion of their
service obligation. The regulation is no
longer relevant as the NHSC has not
made such loan opportunities available
since the 1980s and, therefore, no longer
needs to set repayment terms for private
practice start-up loans. HRSA does not
intend to restart this loan program, as
the NHSC program currently has a
retention rate of 88%, making additional
incentives unnecessary.

Section 338G also authorizes Private
Start-Up Loans. At the time the statute
was enacted, only the NHSC
Scholarship Program existed. Scholars
were able to apply for up to \$25,000 to
purchase or lease the equipment and
supplies needed for providing health
services in their private practices. The
intention of the program was to offer
further incentives to recruit health
professions students into the program.
The regulation is no longer relevant
since the NHSC has not made such loan
opportunities available since the 1980s

and, therefore, no longer has need to set
repayment terms for private practice
start-up loans. Furthermore, the NHSC
Scholarship Program is significantly
oversubscribed, and no further
incentives are necessary to recruit
health professions students.

Removing these regulations will not
have an impact on the NHSC program.
There is no specific appropriations
authority to support Section 338G of the
PHS Act; the authorization of
appropriation at 338H supports all the
activities under Subpart III (which
includes the NHSC Loan Repayment
and Scholarship Programs). The repeal
of these regulations will not create any
challenges for other programs, as the
law and regulations apply solely to
NHSC clinicians.

Executive Orders 12866, 13563, 13771, and 13777

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563
direct agencies to assess all costs and
benefits of available regulatory
alternatives and, if regulation is
necessary, to select regulatory
approaches that maximize net benefits
(including potential economic,
environmental, public health and safety
effects, distributive impacts, and
equity). Executive Order 13771 directs
agencies to categorize all impacts which
generate or alleviate costs associated
with regulatory burden and to
determine the actions net incremental
effect.

Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866
defines a “significant regulatory action”
as an action that is likely to result in a
rule: (1) Having an annual effect on the
economy of \$100 million or more in any
1 year, or adversely and materially
affecting a sector of the economy,
productivity, competition, jobs, the
environment, public health or safety, or
State, local or Tribal governments or
communities (also referred to as
“economically significant”); (2) creating
a serious inconsistency or otherwise
interfering with an action taken or
planned by another agency; (3)
materially altering the budgetary
impacts of entitlement grants, user fees,
or loan programs or the rights and
obligations of recipients thereof; or (4)
raising novel legal or policy issues
arising out of legal mandates, the
President’s priorities, or the principles
set forth in the Executive Order.

A regulatory impact analysis (RIA)
must be prepared for major rules with
economically significant effects (\$100
million or more in any 1 year). HHS
submits that this final rule is not
“economically significant” as measured
by the \$100 million threshold, and
hence not a major rule under the

Congressional Review Act. This rule has not been designated as a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

Executive Order 13771, titled “Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs,” was issued on January 30, 2017. HHS identifies this final rule as a deregulatory action (removing an obsolete rule from the Code of Federal Regulations). For the purposes of Executive Order 13771, this final rule is not a substantive rule; rather it is administrative in nature and provides no cost savings.

Executive Order 13777, titled “Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda,” was issued on February 24, 2017. As required by Section 3 of this Executive Order, HHS established a Regulatory Reform Task Force (HHS Task Force). Pursuant to Section 3(d)(ii), the HHS Task Force evaluated this rulemaking and determined that these regulations are “outdated, unnecessary, or ineffective.” Following this finding, the HHS Task Force advised the HRSA Administrator to initiate this rulemaking to remove the obsolete regulations from the Code of Federal Regulations.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, the regulatory flexibility analysis provided for under the Regulatory Flexibility Act is not required.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not affect any information collections.

Dated: June 4, 2018.

George Sigounas,

Administrator, Health Resources and Services Administration.

Approved: June 21, 2018.

Alex M. Azar II,

Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.

List of Subjects in 42 CFR Part 23

Health, Health professions.

For reasons set out in the preamble, and under the authority at 5 U.S.C. 301, HHS amends 42 CFR part 23 as follows:

PART 23—NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE CORPS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 23 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 333, 338E(c), and 338C(e)(1), Public Health Service Act. 90 Stat. 2272, as amended, 95 Stat. 905, 97 Stat.

1345 (42 U.S.C. 254f *et seq.*), 95 Stat. 912 (42 U.S.C. 254p(c)), 95 Stat. 910 (42 U.S.C. 254n(e)(1)).

Subparts B and C [Removed]

■ 2. Remove subpart B, consisting of §§ 23.21 through 23.35, and subpart C, consisting of § 23.41.

[FR Doc. 2018–13837 Filed 6–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 130

RIN 0906–AB13

Removing Outmoded Regulations Regarding the Ricky Ray Hemophilia Relief Fund Program

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action removes the outmoded regulations for the Ricky Ray Hemophilia Relief Fund Program. The program and its implementing regulation have been rendered obsolete by the statutory language in the authorizing legislation stating that the Fund should terminate on the expiration of the 5-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of the Act. The statute was enacted on November 12, 1998; thus, the fund expired on November 12, 2003.

DATES: This action is effective July 27, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sweta Maheshwari J.D., Legislative Analyst, Division of Policy and Shortage Designation, Bureau of Health Workforce, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 11W21A, Rockville, MD 20857, by phone at (301) 945–3527, or by email at smaheshwari@hrsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In response to Executive Order 13563, Sec. 6(a), which urges agencies to repeal existing regulations that are outmoded from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), HHS is removing 42 CFR part 130. HHS believes that there is good cause to bypass notice and comment and proceed to a final rule, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B). The action is non-controversial, as it merely removes a provision from the CFR that is obsolete. This rule poses no new substantive requirements on the public.

Background

The Ricky Ray Hemophilia Relief Fund Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105–369) established the Ricky Ray Hemophilia

Relief Fund Program designed to provide payments to individuals with blood-clotting disorders, such as hemophilia, who contracted HIV through the use of antihemophilic factor administered between July 1, 1982, and December 31, 1987. The Act also provided for payments to certain persons who contracted HIV from an individual as described above and certain specified survivors.

HHS promulgated 42 CFR part 130 to establish the proper regulatory framework for program implementation. The regulation can be conceptualized as four parts: The process for payment, the documentation required to prove eligibility, the petition process, and the reconsideration process. The Ricky Ray Hemophilia Relief Fund was authorized with a directive to pay \$100,000 in compensation to eligible individuals. At that time, however, no funds were appropriated to implement this statute. In FY 2000, Congress appropriated \$75 million and, in FY 2001, Congress appropriated \$580 million, for a total of \$655 million. The appropriated amounts provided sufficient funding to make compassionate payments on all eligible petitions received by the program. The program received over 6,000 petitions resulting in approved payments over \$550 million.

The statutory language in the authorizing legislation stated that the “Fund shall terminate upon the expiration of the 5-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.” The statute was enacted on November 12, 1998; thus, the fund expired on November 12, 2003. The program is no longer in effect or funded. The repeal of this regulation should not create any challenges for other programs, as the regulation was strictly for the implementation of the Ricky Ray Hemophilia Relief Fund program, which has not been in operation for almost 14 years.

Executive Orders 12866, 13563, 13771, and 13777

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to categorize all impacts which generate or alleviate costs associated with regulatory burden and to determine the actions net incremental effect.