by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 22, 2018, Ordered That—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of the claims of patents 1, 5, 7, 9, and 14 of the ‘852 patent; claims 1–3, 6, 7, 10, and 11 of the ‘853 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 10 of the ‘590 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 6 of the ‘253 patent; claims 1 and 6–8 of the ‘287 patent; and claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 11, and 16 of the ‘346 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) by reason of infringement or the sale within the United States after importation of products, which defines the scope of the investigation so instituted, the following accused products or category of accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is ‘in-ear headphones and accessories using a retaining structure to secure the device in a user’s ear’’;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “in-ear headphones and accessories using a retaining structure to secure the device in a user’s ear”; and

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Bose Corporation, 100 Mountain Road, Framingham, MA 01701.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: 1MORE USA, Inc., 10225 Barnes Canyon Road, Suite A202, San Diego, CA 92121;

APSKins, 140 Lakeside Avenue, Suite A #334, Seattle, WA 98122;

Beebeo Online Limited, 3837 Bay Lake Trail, Suite 115, North Las Vegas, NV 89030;

iHip, 19 Progress Street, Edison, NJ 08820;

LMZT LLC, 303 Louisiana Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11207;

Misodiko, NanShanQu XiiLjieDiao PingShanCun, 192 Dong 509, Shenzhen GuangDong 518055 China;

Phaiser LLC, 909 Silber Road, Houston, TX 77024;

PhoneT, A–201 No. 1 Qianwan Yilu, Qianhai Shenggang hezuoqu, Shenzhen, China;

REVJAMS, 248 Lafayette Street, New York, NY 10012;

SMARTOMI Products, Inc., 2760 E Philadelphia Street, Ontario, CA 91761;

Spigen, Inc., 9975 Toledo Way, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92618–1826;

Sudio AB, Upplandsgatan 7, 111 23 Stockholm, Sweden;

Sunvalley Tek International, Inc., 46724 Lakeview Boulevard, Fremont, CA 94538;

TomRich, Room842, 3B, HuaNanXiYuan, PingHu Town, LongGang District, Shenzhen, 518100 China;

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13, such responses to the complaint and in this notice may be filed not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 26, 2018.

Lisa Barton, Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–14061 Filed 6–28–18; 8:45 am]
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–921 (Third Review)]

Folding Gift Boxes From China

Determination

On the basis of the record developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (‘‘Commission’’) determines,2 pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (‘‘the Act’’), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on folding gift boxes from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on February 1, 2018 (83 FR 4679) and determined on May 7, 2018 that it would conduct an expedited review (83 FR 24341, May 25, 2018).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on July 2, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4800 (July 2018), entitled Folding Gift Boxes from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–921 (Third Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 26, 2018.

Lisa Barton, Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–14061 Filed 6–28–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

1 The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

2 Commissioner Kearns did not participate in this five-year review.