summary: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that Vietnam has requested the establishment of a dispute settlement panel under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO Agreement). You can find the request at www.wto.org in a document designated as WT/DS36/1. The United States and Vietnam held consultations on March 1, 2018. On June 8, 2018, Vietnam requested the WTO to establish a WTO dispute settlement panel regarding the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) determinations in the following antidumping proceedings on Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam:


Vietnam’s request for establishment of a panel appears to be concerned with the alleged use of “zeroing”, timeliness of a request for revocation, applying a Vietnam-wide entity rate based on facts available, and Section 129 of the URAA. Vietnam claims that certain measures of the United States are not consistent with the United States’ obligations under Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (1994 (Antidumping Agreement)), Articles VI and XVI of the GATT 1944, and Paragraph 1.2 of Part I of the Protocol on the Accession of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Accession Protocol).

III. Public Comments: Requirements for Submissions

USTR invites written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. All submissions must be in English and sent electronically via www.regulations.gov.

To submit comments via www.regulations.gov, enter docket number USTR–2018–0021 on the home page and click “search.” The site will provide a search-results page listing all documents associated with this docket. Find a reference to this notice by selecting “notice” under “document type” on the left side of the search-results page, and click on the link entitled “comment now!” For further information on using the www.regulations.gov website, please consult the resources provided on the website by clicking on “How to Use Regulations.gov” on the bottom of the home page.

The www.regulations.gov website allows users to provide comments by filling in a “type comment” field, or by attaching a document using an “upload file” field. USTR prefers that comments be provided in an attached document. If a document is attached, it is sufficient to type “see attached” in the “type comment” field. USTR prefers submissions in Microsoft Word (.doc) or Adobe Acrobat (.pdf). If the submission is in an application other than those two, please indicate the name of the application in the “type comment” field.

For any comments submitted electronically that contain business confidential information (BCI), the file name of the business confidential version should begin with the characters “BC”. Any page containing BCI must clearly be marked “BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL” on the top and bottom of that page and the submission should clearly indicate, via brackets, highlighting, or other means, the specific information that is business confidential. If you request business confidential treatment, you must certify in writing that disclosure of the information would endanger trade secrets or profitability, and that the information would not customarily be released to the public. Filers of submissions containing BCI also must submit a public version of their comments. The file name of the public version should begin with the character
“P”. Follow the “BC” and “P” with the name of the person or entity submitting the comments or rebuttal comments. If this is not sufficient to protect BCI or otherwise protect business interests, please contact Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–9483 to discuss whether alternative arrangements are possible.

USTR may determine that information or advice obtained in a comment, other than BCI, is confidential in accordance with section 337(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If a submitter believes that information or advice is confidential, s/he must clearly designate the information or advice as confidential and mark it as “SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page, and provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a docket on this dispute settlement proceeding, docket number USTR–2018–0021, accessible to the public at www.regulations.gov. The public file will include non-confidential public comments USTR receives regarding the dispute. If a dispute settlement panel is composed, or in the event of an appeal from a panel, USTR will make the following documents publicly available at www.ustr.gov: the U.S. submissions and any non-confidential summaries of submissions received from other participants in the dispute. If a dispute settlement panel is composed, or in the event of an appeal from a panel, the report of the panel, and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body, also will be available on the website of the World Trade Organization, at www.wto.org.

Juan Milian,
Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative.
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BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of limitation on claims for judicial review of actions by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).

SUMMARY: The FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by Caltrans that are final. The actions relate to a proposed highway project, the State Route 84 (SR 84) Expressway Widening and SR 84/Interchange 680 (I–680) Interchange Improvements Project from post miles 17.9 to 22.9 on SR 84 and from post miles 10.3 to 15.3 on I–680 in the County of Alameda, State of California. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(f)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before December 31, 2018. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 150 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For Caltrans: Brian Gassner, Environmental