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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[C–533–864]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from India are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) November 6, 2015, through December 31, 2016.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Justin Neuman or Matthew Renken, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0486 or (202) 482–2312, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background

On September 13, 2017, Commerce initiated this administrative review on CORE from India covering JSW Steel Limited and Uttam Galva Steels Limited. The events that have occurred between initiation and those preliminary results are discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.2

1 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017).
2 See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India,” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Scope of the Order
The products covered by the order are certain corrosion-resistant steel products from India. For a full description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.3

Methodology
Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, i.e., a financial contribution by an “authority” that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.4 For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System Service (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review
We preliminarily determine the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rates for the period November 6, 2015, through December 31, 2016 to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/exporter</th>
<th>Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JSW Steel Limited and JSW Coated Products Limited</td>
<td>11.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.
4 Cross-owned affiliates are: JSW Steel Coated Products Limited (a producer and exporter of subject merchandise), Ambariv Coke Limited, JSW Steel (Salav) Limited, and JSW Steel Processing Centers Limited.
5 Cross-owned affiliates are: Uattam Value Steels Limited (a producer and exporter of subject merchandise) and Uttam Galva Metallics Limited.

Disclosure and Public Comment
Commerce intends to disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register.7 Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) within 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.8 Rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs.9 Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.10 Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must do so within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results by submitting a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance’s ACCESS system.11 Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we intend to inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.12 Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Issues addressed at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs.13 All briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically and received successfully in their entirety through ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their

7 See 19 CFR 351.224(b).
8 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d)(1).
9 See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2).
10 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).
11 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
12 See 19 CFR 351.310.
13 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[C–580–879]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is November 6, 2015, through December 31, 2016. We preliminarily determine that Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd./Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu) and Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel) received countervailable subsidies during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo or Jun Jack Zhao, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2371 and (202) 482–1396, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 13, 2017, Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the CVD order on CORE from Korea. On June 20, 2018, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results to August 3, 2018. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is certain corrosion-resistant steel products. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Commerce initiated a review of 22 companies in this segment of the proceeding. Two of these companies, Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. and Union Steel Manufacturing Co. Ltd. are not subject to the CVD order on CORE from Korea. Accordingly, we are rescinding the review with respect to these two companies.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part

Based on information submitted by Mitsubishi International Corporation after the initiation of this administrative review, and because there is no evidence on the record to indicate that

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Subsidies Valuation Information
V. Analysis of Programs
VI. Verification
VII. Conclusion
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