DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–900]
Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof
From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that certain companies covered by this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yang Jin Chun or Joshua Poole, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 and (202) 482–1293, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) from the People’s Republic of China (China). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2016, through October 31, 2017. Commerce has preliminarily determined that certain companies covered by this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is diamond sawblades and parts thereof. The diamond sawblades subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 8202 to 8206 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), and may also enter under 6804.21.00. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.1

1 See the Memorandum, “Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People’s Republic of China: Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017,” dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Six companies that received a separate rate in previous segments of the proceeding and are subject to this review reported that they did not have any exports of subject merchandise during the POR.2 We requested that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) report any contrary information.3 To date, we have not received any contrary information from either CBP in response to our inquiry or any other sources that these companies had any shipments of the subject merchandise sold to the United States during the POR.4 Further, consistent with our practice, we find that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to these companies but, rather, to complete the review and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of review.5

Separate Rates

Commerce preliminarily determines that 14 respondents are eligible to receive separate rates in this review.6

Separate Rates for Eligible Non-Selected Respondents

Because we denied the separate rate eligibility for the two respondents selected for individual examination, Danyang Huachang Diamond Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Danyang Huachang) and Jiangsu Youhe Tool Manufacturer Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Youhe), and treated them as part of the China-wide entity, we preliminarily applied to non-selected respondents the separate rate assigned to eligible respondents in the last completed administrative review, which is 82.05 percent.7

China-Wide Entity

Under Commerce’s current policy regarding the conditional review of the
China-wide entity, the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party requests an individual review, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate is not subject to change (i.e., 82.05 percent). Aside from the no-shipments and separate rate companies discussed above, Commerce considers all other companies for which a review was requested (which did not file a separate rate application) to be part of the China-wide entity.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For Danyang Huachang and Jiangsu Youhe, we denied the separate rate eligibility and treated them as part of the China-wide entity.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/fmm/index.html.

Preliminary Results of Review

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chengdu Huifeng New Material Technology Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danyang Weiwang Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilin Tebon Superhard Material Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hangzhou Deer King Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan Huanghe Whirlwind International Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huzhou Gu’s Import &amp; Export Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Fengtai Single Entity</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Inter-China Group Corporation</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quanzhou Zhongxi Diamond Tool Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizhao Hein Saw Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint-Gobain Abrasives (Shanghai) Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai Jingquan Industrial Trade Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiamen ZL Diamond Technology Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Wanli Tools Group Co., Ltd</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with a preliminary results of review within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results of review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Because Commerce preliminarily denied the separate rate eligibility for the two respondents selected for individual examination and treated them as part of the China-wide entity, there are no calculations to disclose.

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the cases briefs are filed.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce’s ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain (1) the party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. Unless extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of review, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on

10 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 83 FR 1329, 1331–32 (January 11, 2018) (“All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME of review. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the cases briefs are filed.

11 Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce’s ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain (1) the party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. Unless extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

12 Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of review, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on

13 See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

14 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties. We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: August 6, 2018.

James Maeder,
Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum
I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Preliminary Determination of No Antidumping Duty
V. Discussion of the Methodology
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Certain Steel Nails From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Bonuts Logistics Co., LLC made U.S. sales of subject merchandise below normal value. Commerce preliminarily determines that Unicatch Industrial Co., Ltd., PT Enterprise, Inc. and its affiliated producer Pro-Team Coil Nail Enterprise, Inc. did not make U.S. sales of subject merchandise below normal value.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Hoeke or Chelsey Simonovich, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4947 or (202) 482–1979, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from Taiwan. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017. This review covers Bonuts Logistics Co., LLC (Bonuts); PT Enterprise, Inc. (PT Enterprise) and its affiliated producer Pro-Team Coil Nail Enterprise, Inc. (Pro-Team) (collectively, PT); and Unicatch Industrial Co. Ltd. (Unicatch).

Commerce published the notice of initiation of this administrative review on September 13, 2017. The preliminary results are listed below in the section titled “Preliminary Results of Review.”

On January 23, 2018, Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from January 20, 2018, through January 22, 2018. On March 22, 2018, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results to July 16, 2018.4 On July 12, 2018, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results to August 3, 2018.5

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.6

1 PT Enterprise, Unicatch, and Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (Mid Continent), a domestic producer and interested party, requested the instant administrative review. See PT July 31, 2017 Request for Administrative Review; Mid Continent July 31, 2017 Request for Administrative Review.


3 See Memorandum, “Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,” dated January 23, 2018. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 3 days.

4 See Memorandum, “Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated March 22, 2018 (First Prelim Extension).


6 See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: 2016–2017,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).