Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), instituted this investigation effective June 28, 2017, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by The Timken Company, North Canton, Ohio. The Commission scheduled the final phase of the investigation following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of tapered roller bearings from Korea were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of February 27, 2018 (83 FR 8504). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 5, 2018, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on August 6, 2018. The views of the Commission are published in the Federal Register and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of February 27, 2018 (83 FR 8504). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 5, 2018, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), issued an Order to Show Cause to Bharanidharan Padmanabhan, M.D., Ph.D. (hereinafter, Respondent), of Brookline, Massachusetts, to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC), at 1. The Show Cause Order proposes the revocation of Respondent’s Certificate of Registration on the ground that he does “not have authority to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the state in which . . . [his] license as ‘corrupt and legally void,’” and states his “position [to be] . . . [his] license” as “indefectly suspending . . . [his] license” as “corrupt and legally void.”

The substantive ground for the proceeding, as alleged in the Show Cause Order, is that Respondent is “without authority to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the state in which . . . [he is] registered . . . with the DEA.”
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