contrary, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes should increase both intermarket and intramarket competition. Specifically, the Exchange believes that the changes will promote competition by increasing the connectivity fees to become more within the range of comparable fees assessed by other competing exchanges.\textsuperscript{14}

The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges. The Exchange believes that the proposed changes reflect this competitive environment. To the extent that this purpose is achieved, all the Exchange’s market participants should benefit from the improved market liquidity.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,\textsuperscript{15} and Rule 19b–4(f)(2)\textsuperscript{16} thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–PEARL–2018–16 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–PEARL–2018–16. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit communications relating to the proposed rule change.

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–PEARL–2018–16 on the subject line.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq ISE, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Options Regulatory Fee

August 7, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),\textsuperscript{17} and Rule 19B–4 thereunder,\textsuperscript{2} notice is hereby given that on July 27, 2018, Nasdaq ISE, LLC (“ISE” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to revise ISE’s Schedule of Fees to amend its Options Regulatory Fee or “ORF”.

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the amendments become operative on August 1, 2018.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://ise.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

\textsuperscript{14} See supra note 4.
\textsuperscript{17} 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).
1. Purpose

Currently, ISE assesses an ORF of $0.0016 per contract side. The Exchange proposes to increase this ORF to $0.0020 per contract side. In 2017, ISE reduced its ORF from $0.0039 per contract side to $0.0016 per contract side to account for synergies which resulted from Nasdaq’s acquisition of the Exchange. At this time, the Exchange proposes an increase to its ORF that reflects its current expense profile. The Exchange’s proposed change to the ORF should balance the Exchange’s regulatory revenue against the anticipated costs.

Collection of ORF

Currently, ISE assesses its ORF for each customer option transaction that is either: (1) Executed by a Member on ISE; or (2) cleared by an ISE Member at The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) in the customer range,

even if the transaction was executed by a non-member of ISE, regardless of the exchange on which the transaction occurs. If the OCC clearing member is an ISE Member, ORF is assessed and collected on all cleared customer contracts (after adjustment for CMTA 6); and if the OCC clearing member is not an ISE Member, ORF is collected only on the cleared customer contracts executed at OCC, into account any CMTA instructions which may result in collecting the ORF from a non-member.

By way of example, if Broker A, an ISE Member, routes a customer order to CBOE and the transaction executes on CBOE and clears Broker A’s OCC Clearing account, ORF will be collected by ISE from Broker A’s clearing account at OCC via direct debit. While this transaction was executed on a market other than ISE, it was cleared by an ISE Member in the member’s OCC clearing account in the customer range, therefore there is a regulatory nexus between ISE and the transaction. If Broker A was not an ISE Member, then no ORF should be assessed and collected because there is no nexus; the transaction did not execute on ISE nor was it cleared by an ISE Member.

In the case where a Member both executes a transaction and a different member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to and collected from that Member. In the case where a Member executes a transaction and a different member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to and collected from the Member who clears the transaction and not the Member who executes the transaction. In the case where a non-member executes a transaction at an away market and a Member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to and collected from the Member who clears the transaction. In the case where a Member executes a transaction on ISE and a non-member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to the Member that executed the transaction on ISE and collected from the non-member who cleared the transaction. In the case where a Member executes a transaction at an away market and a Member clears the transaction, the ORF is not assessed to the Member who executed the transaction. If Broker A was not a Member executing the trade and not the Member who executed the transaction, the ORF is not assessed to the Member who executed the transaction and not the Member who cleared the transaction. If Broker A was not an ISE Member, ORF is assessed and collected because there is no nexus; the transaction did not execute on ISE nor was it cleared by an ISE Member.

The Exchange monitors the amount of revenue collected from the ORF to ensure that it, in combination with other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed regulatory costs. In determining whether an expense is considered a regulatory cost, the Exchange reviews all costs and makes determinations if there is a nexus between the expense and a regulatory function.

The Exchange believes that increasing the ORF from $0.0016 to $0.0020 as of August 1, 2018 is reasonable because the Exchange’s collection of ORF needs to be balanced against the amount of regulatory costs incurred by the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed adjustments noted herein will serve to balance the Exchange’s regulatory revenue against the exchange on which the transaction executes by a non-Member on ISE, or executed by an ISE Member at The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) in the customer range, even if the transaction was executed by a non-member of ISE, regardless of the exchange on which the transaction occurs. If the OCC clearing member is an ISE Member, ORF is assessed and collected on all cleared customer contracts (after adjustment for CMTA 6); and if the OCC clearing member is not an ISE Member, ORF is collected only on the cleared customer contracts executed at OCC, into account any CMTA instructions which may result in collecting the ORF from a non-member.

By way of example, if Broker A, an ISE Member, routes a customer order to CBOE and the transaction executes on CBOE and clears Broker A’s OCC Clearing account, ORF will be collected by ISE from Broker A’s clearing account at OCC via direct debit. While this transaction was executed on a market other than ISE, it was cleared by an ISE Member in the member’s OCC clearing account in the customer range, therefore there is a regulatory nexus between ISE and the transaction. If Broker A was not an ISE Member, then no ORF should be assessed and collected because there is no nexus; the transaction did not execute on ISE nor was it cleared by an ISE Member.

In the case where a Member both executes a transaction and a different member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to and collected from that Member. In the case where a Member executes a transaction and a different member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to and collected from the Member who clears the transaction and not the Member who executes the transaction. In the case where a non-member executes a transaction at an away market and a Member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to and collected from the Member who clears the transaction. In the case where a Member executes a transaction on ISE and a non-member clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to the Member that executed the transaction on ISE and collected from the non-member who cleared the transaction. In the case where a Member executes a transaction at an away market and a Member clears the transaction, the ORF is not assessed to the Member who executed the transaction or collected from the non-member who cleared the transaction because the Exchange does not have access to the data to make absolutely certain that ORF should apply. Further, the data does not allow the Exchange to identify the Member executing the trade at an away market.

ORF Revenue and Monitoring of ORF

The Exchange monitors the amount of revenue collected from the ORF to ensure that it, in combination with other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed regulatory costs. In determining whether an expense is considered a regulatory cost, the Exchange reviews all costs and makes determinations if there is a nexus between the expense and a regulatory function. The Exchange notes that fines collected by the Exchange in connection with a disciplinary matter offset ORF. The ORF is designed to recover a material portion of the costs to the Exchange of the supervision and regulation of its members, including performing routine surveillances, investigations, examinations, financial monitoring, and policy, rulemaking, interpretive, and enforcement activities.
The Exchange believes that amending the ORF from $0.0016 to $0.0020 as of August 1, 2018 is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because assessing the ORF to each Member for options transactions cleared by OCC in the customer range where the execution occurs on another exchange and is cleared by an ISE Member is an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. The ORF is collected by OCC on behalf of ISE from Exchange clearing members for all customer transactions they clear or from non-members for all customer transactions they clear that were executed on ISE. The Exchange believes the ORF ensures fairness by assessing fees to Members based on the amount of customer options business they conduct. Regulating customer trading activity is much more labor intensive and requires greater expenditure of human and technical resources than regulating non-customer trading activity, which tends to be more automated and less labor-intensive. As a result, the costs associated with administering the customer component of the Exchange’s overall regulatory program are materially higher than the costs associated with administering the non-customer component (e.g., Member proprietary transactions) of its regulatory program.

The ORF is designed to recover a material portion of the costs of supervising and regulating Members’ customer options business including performing routine surveillances, investigations, examinations, financial monitoring, and policy, rulemaking, interpretive, and enforcement activities. The Exchange will monitor the amount of revenue collected from the ORF to ensure that it, in combination with its other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed the Exchange’s total regulatory costs. The Exchange has designed the ORF to generate revenues that, when combined with all of the Exchange’s other regulatory fees, will be less than or equal to the Exchange’s regulatory costs, which is consistent with the Commission’s view that regulatory fees be used for regulatory purposes and not to support the Exchange’s business side.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. This proposal does not create an unnecessary or inappropriate intra-market burden on competition because the ORF applies to all customer activity, thereby raising regulatory revenue to offset regulatory expenses. It also supplements the regulatory revenue derived from non-customer activity. This proposal does not create an unnecessary or inappropriate inter-market burden on competition because it is a regulatory fee that supports regulation in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange is obligated to ensure that the amount of regulatory revenue collected from the ORF, in combination with its other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed regulatory costs.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–ISE–2018–70 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–ISE–2018–70. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–ISE–2018–70, and should be submitted on or before September 4, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.11

Eduardo A. Aleman, Assistant Secretary.
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