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DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2018–0522]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Narrow Bay, Suffolk County, NY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Smith Point Bridge, mile 6.1 across Narrow Bay, at Suffolk County, New York. The deviation is necessary to facilitate the 18th Annual Smith Point Bridge 5K Run for Literacy. The deviation allows the bridge to remain in the closed position for one hour.

DATES: This deviation is effective from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. on September 8, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this deviation, USCG–2018–0522, is available at http://www.regulations.gov. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Stephanie Lopez, Bridge Management Specialist, First District Bridge Branch, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 212–514–4335, email Stephanie.E.Lopez@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Smith Point County Park requested and the bridge owner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, concurred with this temporary deviation request from the normal operating schedule to facilitate a 5K run.

The Smith Point Bridge across Narrow Bay, mile 6.1, has a vertical clearance of 18 feet at mean high water and 19 feet at mean low water in the closed position. The existing drawbridge operating regulation is listed at 33 CFR 117.799(d).

The temporary deviation will allow the Smith Point Bridge to remain closed from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. on September 8, 2018 for a 5K run. Narrow Bay is transited by seasonal recreational vessels. Coordination with Coast Guard Sector Long Island Sound has indicated no mariner objections to the proposed short-term closure of the draw.

Vessels that can pass under the bridge without an opening may do so at all times. The bridge will be able to open for emergencies. There is no immediate alternate route for vessels to pass.

The Coast Guard will inform the users of the waterways through our Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operators can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: August 8, 2018.

C.J. Bisignano
Supervisory Bridge Management Specialist, First Coast Guard District.

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Chapter II

[Docket ID ED–2018–OESE–0017; Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number 84.144F]

Final Waiver and Extension of the Project Period for the Migrant Education Program Consortium Incentive Grant Program

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Final waiver and extension of the project period.

SUMMARY: The Secretary waives the requirement in the Education Department General Administrative Regulations that generally prohibits project period extensions involving the obligation of additional Federal funds. The waiver and project period extension will enable the 34 current Migrant Education Program (MEP) Consortium Incentive Grant (CIG) program grantees to continue to receive Federal funding for up to an additional 24 months through fiscal year (FY) 2019.

DATES: These waivers are effective August 14, 2018.


If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The MEP CIG program is authorized by section 1308(d) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended (ESEA) (20 U.S.C. 6398(d)). Through the MEP CIG program, the U.S. Department of Education (Department) provides financial incentives to State educational agencies (SEAs) to participate in high-quality consortia that improve the interstate or intrastate coordination of migrant education programs by addressing key needs of migratory children who have their education interrupted.

The Department published a notice of final requirements for the MEP CIG program in the Federal Register on March 3, 2004 (69 FR 10109) (2004 Notice), and we have used these final requirements for CIG program competitions since FY 2004. The 2004 Notice established a project period of up to two years for grants awarded under the MEP CIG program. We subsequently published a notice of final requirements for the MEP CIG program in the Federal Register on December 31, 2013 (78 FR 79613) (2013 Notice), in which we increased the CIG project period to three years.

The Department last awarded CIG program grants in FY 2015. Currently, 34 SEAs (out of a total of 46 SEAs that receive MEP formula grant program funds) participate in CIG program-funded consortia.
On April 20, 2018, the Department published a document in the Federal Register (83 FR 17516) proposing a waiver of the requirement in 34 CFR 75.261(c)(2). Waiving this requirement will enable the Secretary to provide additional funds to the 34 Consortium Incentive Grant grantees for up to an additional two years. The April 2018 document also invited public comment on the proposed waiver and extension of the project period.

Public Comment

In response to our invitation in the proposed waiver and extension of the project period, the Department received 29 total comments. Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes. In addition, we do not address general comments that raise concerns not directly related to the proposed waiver and extension.

Analysis of Comments and Changes

Of the 29 comments received, 24 were in support of the waiver and two were opposed. An analysis of the comments and of any changes in the waiver follows.

Comment: Several of the commenters stated that the waiver would allow for continuous improvement and promotion of interstate coordination of migrant education programs by addressing key needs of migratory children who have their education interrupted. Several commenters also noted that the waiver would provide up to two years of additional funding, which would permit grantees to continue coordinating with one another and achieving the goals and objectives of their consortia. Two commenters noted that the waiver would provide the opportunity to create additional materials to address the needs identified in their State, refine the materials already developed, and work to ensure that the materials are widely disseminated and used by migrant programs across the Nation.

Discussion: We appreciate the commenters’ support for this waiver.

Changes: None.

Comment: Two comments opposed the waiver and extension of the project period. The first commenter noted that the extension prohibits SEAs that are not currently participating in the program from joining for up to another two years, excludes States from access to Federal funds, and requires States to remain with the consortium they are currently participating in even if it no longer addresses their needs. A second commenter expressed similar concerns, specifically regarding the 12 States that are excluded from funding for the CIGs because of their lack of participation in the current cycle of grants. However, the commenter stated they did not wish to cause a delay in funding to the current consortia, but urged the Department to extend the project period for only one year rather than two. The commenter also expressed regret that the Department did not plan as needed in order to host a grant competition this year.

Discussion: We appreciate the commenters’ suggestions and also regret that 12 SEAs will not be able to participate in a CIG project for up to an additional two years. Due to the time needed to consider changes to the priorities, structure, and duration of the CIG program, we believe a waiver of up to two additional years, which will allow the 34 participating States to continue their work and to receive a continuation award in the same amount as their most recent continuation award, is the best course of action. However, we appreciate the commenters’ concerns and will work to publish a notice of final requirements and a notice inviting applications as quickly as possible.

Changes: None.

Final Waivers

In the April 2018 document, we discussed the background and purposes of the CIG and our reasons for proposing the waivers. As outlined in that document, providing up to two additional years of funding would permit grantees to continue coordinating with one another and achieving the goals and objectives of their consortium applications as the Secretary considers changes to the priorities, structure, and duration of the CIG program. Based on the progress SEAs generally have made on consortium projects, we believe that current grantees could benefit from a fourth and possibly fifth year in which to continue working on and implementing their CIG program projects. Moreover, implementing this waiver and extension would ensure that the services provided by the current CIG program grantees continue uninterrupted as the Department supports States in their transition to implement requirements under the ESEA as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act. During this extension period, the activities of the current CIG program grantees would be modified through work plans, as necessary, to continue the implementation of consortium activities and to support States as they implement requirements under the amended ESEA.

For all of these reasons, we have concluded that it would be contrary to the public interest to have a lapse in the work of current CIG program grantees while the Secretary considers changes to the implementation of the CIG program and while the Department implements the components of the amended ESEA as described above.

Therefore, the Secretary waives the requirements in 34 CFR 75.261(c)(2), which limits the extension of a project period if the extension involves the obligation of additional Federal funds. Under this waiver—

1. Current grantees will be authorized to receive continuation awards annually for up to two years using the CIG funding formula currently in existence.

2. We will not announce a new competition in FY 2018 or in FY 2019 (if the project period is extended for two years).

3. During the extension period, any activities carried out must be consistent with, or be a logical extension of, the scope, goals, and objectives of the grantees’ approved application from the FY 2015 CIG program competition.

4. Each grantee that receives a continuation award must also continue to comply with the requirements established in the program regulations, the 2004 and 2013 Notices, and the 2015 notice inviting applications for the MEP CIG program (80 FR 6502).

The waiver of 34 CFR 75.261(c)(2) will not affect the applicability of the requirements in 34 CFR 75.253 (continuation of a multi-year project after the first budget period) to any current CIG program grantee that receives a continuation award as a result of the waiver.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

The Secretary certifies that this final waiver and extension will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. None of the affected entities are small entities, as this program makes awards to SEAs.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This final waiver and extension does not contain any information collection requirements.

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for
coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance. This document provides notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disk) by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. You may access the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fdsys. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Dated: August 9, 2018.

Frank T. Brogan, Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 2018–17470 Filed 8–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; New Jersey; Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 PM2.5 NAAQS; Interstate Transport Provisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving elements of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submission from New Jersey regarding the infrastructure requirements of section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the 2012 annual fine particulate matter (PM2.5) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS or standard). The infrastructure requirements are designed to ensure that the structural components of each state’s air quality management program are adequate to meet the state’s responsibilities under the CAA. This action pertains specifically to infrastructure requirements concerning interstate transport provisions.

DATES: This final rule is effective on September 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID Number EPA–R02–OAR–2018–0237. All documents in the docket are listed on the http://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth Fradkin, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007–1866, at (212) 637–3702, or by email at fradkin.kenneth@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: The SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section is arranged as follows:

I. What is the background for this action?

II. What comments were received in response to the EPA’s proposed action?

III. What action is EPA taking?

The State of New Jersey’s Infrastructure SIP submission, dated October 17, 2014, which were submitted to address CAA section 110(a) infrastructure requirements for the following NAAQS: 2008 ozone, 2008 lead, 2010 nitrogen dioxide (NO2), 2010 sulfur dioxide (SO2), 2011 carbon monoxide (CO), 2006 particulate matter of 10 microns or less (PM10), and 2012 particulate matter of 2.5 microns or less (PM2.5). Specifically, EPA proposed in the May 21, 2018 action to approve the portion of the submission addressing the interstate transport provisions for the 2012 PM2.5 NAAQS under CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), otherwise known as the “good neighbor” provision.

Other detailed information relevant to this action on New Jersey’s infrastructure SIP submission, including infrastructure requirements concerning interstate transport provisions, and the rationale for EPA’s proposed action are explained in the NPR and the associated Technical Support Document (TSD) in the docket and are not restated here.

II. What comments were received in response to the EPA’s proposed action?

In response to the EPA’s May 21, 2018 proposed rulemaking to approve the portion of the New Jersey’s infrastructure SIP submission, dated October 17, 2014, addressing the interstate transport provisions for the 2012 PM2.5 NAAQS, EPA received two comments from the public during the 30-day public comment period. After reviewing the comments, EPA has determined that the comments are outside the scope of our proposed action or fail to identify any material issue necessitating a response. None of the comments raise issues germane to the EPA’s proposed action. For this reason, the EPA will not provide a specific response to the comments. The comments may be viewed under Docket ID Number EPA–R02–OAR–2018–0237 on the http://www.regulations.gov website.

III. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving the portion of New Jersey’s October 17, 2014 infrastructure SIP submission addressing the interstate transport provisions for the 2012 PM2.5 NAAQS under CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I).

EPA will address the requirements of CAA sections 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2008 lead, 2010 NO2, 2010 SO2, 2011 CO, and the 2006 PM10 NAAQS in a separate action at a later date. As noted in the NPR, New Jersey withdrew the portion of its October 17, 2014 SIP submission addressing 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I)