The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the Federal Register inviting public comment (83 FR 17143, April 18, 2018). On August 6, 2018, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board’s decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board’s regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: August 8, 2018.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2018–17419 Filed 8–13–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Foreign-Trade Zones Board
[B–49–2018]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 18—San Jose, California; Notification of Proposed Production Activity; Tesla, Inc. (Electric Passenger Vehicles and Components); Fremont and Palo Alto, California

Tesla, Inc. (Tesla) submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facilities in Palo Alto and Fremont, California. The notification conforming to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.22) was received on August 1, 2018.

Tesla already has authority to produce electric vehicles and components of electric vehicles within Subzone 18G. The current request would add one foreign status material/component to the scope of authority. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), additional FTZ authority would be limited to the specific foreign-status material/component described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt Tesla from customs duty payments on the foreign-status material/ component used in export production. On its domestic sales, for the foreign-status material/component noted below, Tesla would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to electric passenger vehicles and related components (duty-free to 3.4%). Tesla would be able to avoid duty on foreign-status components which become scrap/waste. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign-status production equipment.

The material/component sourced from abroad is an automotive navigation apparatus (electronic control unit) (duty-free).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is September 24, 2018.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Juanita Chen at juanita.chen@trade.gov or 202–482–1378.

Dated: August 8, 2018.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2018–17417 Filed 8–13–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Foreign-Trade Zones Board
[B–21–2018]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 249—Pensacola, Florida; Authorization of Production Activity; GE Renewables North America, LLC (Wind Turbine Nacelles, Hubs, and Drivetrains); Pensacola, Florida

On April 9, 2018, GE Renewables North America, LLC, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility within Subzone 249A, in Pensacola, Florida.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the Federal Register inviting public comment (83 FR 17143–17144, April 18, 2018). On August 7, 2018, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board’s decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board’s regulations, including Section 400.14, and to a restriction that steel bars be admitted to the subzone in privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41).

Dated: August 8, 2018.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2018–17416 Filed 8–13–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–075, C–570–076]

Certain Plastic Decorative Ribbon From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is postponing the deadline for issuing the final determination in the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation of certain plastic decorative ribbon from the People’s Republic of China (China) until December 21, 2018, and is
extending the provisional measures period from a four-month period to a period of not more than six months.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Decker, Lauren Caserta, or Caitlin Monks, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0196, (202) 482–4737, or (202) 482–2670, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background


Postponement of Final Determination

Section 735(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2) provide that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the exporters or producers who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioners. Further, 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2) requires that such request be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period of not more than six months, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act.

On July 19, 2018, Dongguan Mei Song Plastic Industry Co., Ltd. (Mei Song) and Ningbo Junlong Craft Gift Co., Ltd. (Junlong), two mandatory respondents that account for a “significant portion” of subject merchandise in the LTFV investigation, requested that Commerce fully extend the deadline for the final determination and extend the application of the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period of not more than six months. In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) The preliminary determination was affirmative; (2) the request was made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise from China; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, Commerce is postponing the final determination of the investigation until no later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the relevant preliminary determination, and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period of not more than six months. Accordingly, Commerce will issue its final determination in the LTFV investigation no later than December 21, 2018. This notice is issued and published pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: August 8, 2018.

James Maeder,
Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–565–801]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From the Philippines: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Core Pipe Products, Inc., Shaw Alloy Piping Products, Inc., and Taylor Forge Stainless, Inc. (the petitioners), the Department of Commerce (Commerce) is initiating a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (pipe fittings) from the Philippines.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Geiger or Fred Baker, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2057 or (202) 482–2924, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

As a result of the antidumping duty order issued following the completion of the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of pipe fittings from the Philippines, imports of pipe fittings from respondent Enlin Steel Corporation (Enlin) became subject to a cash deposit rate of 33.81 percent. The “all others” rate established in the LTFV investigation was 7.59 percent.

On May 24, 2018, the petitioners requested that Commerce initiate a changed circumstances review of the Order, alleging that since imposition of the Order, Enlin has been evading the cash deposit rates established in the investigation by shipping its production through its affiliates Vinox Corporation (Vinox) or Vinoc Corporation and E N Corporation, which enter merchandise under the lower “all others” rate. The petitioners also filed a supplement to their request on May 31, 2018, which provided further support for their allegation. On June 26, 2018, Enlin, Vinox, and E N Corporation filed comments requesting that Commerce deny the petitioners’ request. The petitioners filed a rebuttal to these comments on June 26, 2018, requesting that Commerce disregard Enlin’s opposition letter.

On July 5, 2018, Commerce determined and extend the investigation, requested that Commerce initiate a changed circumstances review of the Order, alleging that since imposition of the Order, Enlin has been evading the cash deposit rates established in the investigation by shipping its production through its affiliates Vinox Corporation (Vinox) or Vinoc Corporation and E N Corporation, which enter merchandise under the lower “all others” rate. The petitioners also filed a supplement to their request on May 31, 2018, which provided further support for their allegation. On June 26, 2018, Enlin, Vinox, and E N Corporation filed comments requesting that Commerce deny the petitioners’ request. The petitioners filed a rebuttal to these comments on June 26, 2018, requesting that Commerce disregard Enlin’s opposition letter.

On July 5, 2018, Commerce determined and extend the investigation, requested that Commerce initiate a changed circumstances review of the Order, alleging that since imposition of the Order, Enlin has been evading the cash deposit rates established in the investigation by shipping its production through its affiliates Vinox Corporation (Vinox) or Vinoc Corporation and E N Corporation, which enter merchandise under the lower “all others” rate. The petitioners also filed a supplement to their request on May 31, 2018, which provided further support for their allegation. On June 26, 2018, Enlin, Vinox, and E N Corporation filed comments requesting that Commerce deny the petitioners’ request. The petitioners filed a rebuttal to these comments on June 26, 2018, requesting that Commerce disregard Enlin’s opposition letter.

On July 5, 2018, Commerce determined and extend the investigation, requested that Commerce initiate a changed circumstances review of the Order, alleging that since imposition of the Order, Enlin has been evading the cash deposit rates established in the investigation by shipping its production through its affiliates Vinox Corporation (Vinox) or Vinoc Corporation and E N Corporation, which enter merchandise under the lower “all others” rate. The petitioners also filed a supplement to their request on May 31, 2018, which provided further support for their allegation. On June 26, 2018, Enlin, Vinox, and E N Corporation filed comments requesting that Commerce deny the petitioners’ request. The petitioners filed a rebuttal to these comments on June 26, 2018, requesting that Commerce disregard Enlin’s opposition letter.

1 See Antidumping Duty Order: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines, 66 FR 11257 (February 23, 2001) (the Order).

2 Id.; see also Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from the Philippines Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value Pursuant to Court Remand, 70 FR 30068 (May 25, 2005) (Amended Order).


6 See Petitioners’ Letter, “Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings

Continued