and the purchase of conservation credits would not be provided to effect recovery actions for the impacted species. The “No Action” alternative would not result in needed improvements to reduce the risk of on- and offsite flooding and would not result in benefits for the covered species; therefore, the applicant has rejected the “No Action” alternative. Under the “Redesigned Project” alternative, the applicant would further reduce the area of the proposed project to the smallest possible footprint; however, the Redesigned Project would not realistically accomplish the project goal of the reduction of on- and offsite flooding risk. Under this alternative, the applicant would not achieve the desired goal of reduced flooding risk, and fewer conservation credits would be purchased to effect recovery; therefore, the applicant has also rejected the “Redesigned Project” alternative.

**Our Preliminary Determination**

The Service has made a preliminary determination that issuance of the incidental take permit is neither a major Federal action that will significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) nor that it will, individually or cumulatively, have more than a negligible effect on the California red-legged frog and California tiger salamander. Therefore, in accordance with this preliminary determination, the permit qualifies for a categorical exclusion under NEPA.

**Next Steps**

We will evaluate the permit application, including the plan and comments we receive, to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We will also evaluate whether issuance of the ITP would comply with section 7(a)(2) of the Act by conducting an intra-Service section 7 consultation.

**Public Review**

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the Act and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and NEPA’s public involvement regulations (40 CFR 1500.1(b), 1500.2(d), and 1506.6). We are requesting comments on our determination that the applicant’s proposal will have a minor or negligible effect on the California red-legged frog and California tiger salamander, and that the plan qualifies as a low-effect HCP as defined by our Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook. We will evaluate the permit application, including the plan and comments we receive, to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We will use the results of our internal Service consultation, in combination with the above findings, in our final analysis to determine whether to issue the permit. If the requirements are met, we will issue an ITP to the applicant for the incidental take of California red-legged frog and California tiger salamander. We will make the final permit decision no sooner than 30 days after the date of this notice.

**Public Comments**

If you wish to comment on the permit application, HCP, and associated documents, you may submit comments by one of the methods described in ADDRESSES.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public view, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority**

We provide this notice under section 10 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: August 10 2018.

Stephen P. Henry, Field Supervisor, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, Ventura, California.

[FR Doc. 2018-17669 Filed 8-15-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

[NPS–WASO–NRRNL–DTS#–26141; PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]

**National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service is soliciting comments on the significance of properties nominated before July 27, 2018, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted by August 31, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before July 27, 2018. Pursuant to Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State Historic Preservation Officers:

**ALABAMA**

Baldwin County
American Legion Post 199, 700 S Mobile St., Fairhope, SG10002858

**INDIANA**

Carroll County
American House Hotel, 205 Michigan Rd., Burlington, SG10002859

Elkhart County
Selmer, H. & A., Inc. Factory, 1119 N Main St., Elkhart, SG10002860

Grant County
Marion PCC & St. Louis Railroad Depot, 1002 S Washington St., Marion, SG10002861

Howard County
Greentown Commercial Historic District, 1/2 blk. on either side of Meridian St. between Walnut and Grant, Greentown, SG10002862

**Madison County**
Anderson High School Wigwam, 1229 N Main St., Bourbon, SG10002864

**Marshall County**
Arnold, Isaac and Ruth, House, 1003 N Main St., Sullivan, SG10002867

**Rush County**
Mount Pleasant Beech Church and Beech Cemetery, E side of Cty. Rd. 725 W between Cty. Rds. 1000 N & 1100 N, Carthage vicinity, SG10002865

**Sullivan County**
Sullivan Courthouse Square Historic District, Roughly bounded by Section, Wall, State & Harris Sts., Sullivan, SG10002867
A request to move has been received for the following resource:

**VIRGINIA**

**Charlottesville Independent City**

Wyndhurst (Charlottesville MRA), 605 Preston Pl., Charlottesville, VA 22901

**Authority:** Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60. Dated: July 27, 2018.

**Julie H. Ernstein,** Acting Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program and Deputy Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places.

[FR Doc. 2018–17665 Filed 8–15–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–52–P**

---

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Inv. No. 337–TA–1127]**

**Certain Microperforated Packaging Containing Fresh Produce (II); Institution of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 12, 2018, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.30 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2018).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 9, 2018, ORDERED THAT—

1. Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–6, 11, and 13 of the ’837 patent and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

2. Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “bags for fresh produce and bags containing fresh produce. The bags contain microperforations that are specifically designed and arranged to increase the shelf-life of fresh produce by affecting the mixture of gases within the bag”;

3. For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following persons are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

---

**WAYNE COUNTY**

Duluth Friends Meeting House, 2352 W Maple St., Duluth, SG100002868

Fountain City Historic District, Roughly bounded by Noland’s Fork, North, Hartley & Vine Sts., Fountain City, SG100002869

**MICHIGAN**

Calhoun County

Old-Merchants National Bank and Trust Co. Building, 25 W Michigan Ave., Battle Creek, SG100002877

OHIO

Cuyahoga County

Astrup Company Building, The, 2397 W 25th & Okmulgee Sts., Norman, SG100002882

Darke County

Saint Patrick’s Church, 119 W Main St., Greenville, SG100002883

Franklin County

Hartman Hotel, 275 S 4th & 150 E Main Sts., Lancaster, SG100002879

Summit County


OKLAHOMA

Caddo County

Rock Island Passenger Station, 301 E Main St., Anadarko, SG100002874

Cleveland County

Southridge Addition Historic District, Roughly bounded by Classen Blvd., Oklahoma & S Ponca Aves., E Boyd, Macy & Omulgee Sts., Norman, SG100002882

VIRGINIA

Alexandria Independent City

Oakland Baptist Cemetery, 4195 W Braddock Rd., Alexandria, SG100002883

Petersburg Independent City

Halifax Triangle and Downtown Commercial Historic District, Generally bounded by Washington, Adams, Sycamore, Halifax, Byrne & Harrison Sts., Petersburg, SG100002886

WISCONSIN

Sheboygan County

Badger State Tanning Company, 1031 Maryland Ave., Sheboygan, SG100002888

A request for removal has been made for the following resource: