Wayne County
Duluth Friends Meeting House, 2352 W Maple St., Duluth, SG100002868
Fountain City Historic District, Roughly bounded by Noland’s Fork, North, Hartley & Vine Sts., Fountain City, SG100002869

MICHIGAN
Calhoun County
Old-Merchants National Bank and Trust Co. Building, 25 W Michigan Ave., Battle Creek, SG100002867

OHIO
Cuyahoga County
Astrup Company Building, The, 2397 W 25th St., Cleveland, SG100002875

Darke County
Knights of Pythias Hall, 118 E Washington St., New Madison, SG100002876

Franklin County
Hartman Hotel, 275 S 4th & 150 E Main Sts., Columbus, SG100002877

Hamilton County
Provident Savings Bank and Trust Co., 630–632 Vine St., Cincinnati, SG100002878

Hancock County
Boss Manufacturing Company, The, 317 W Main Cross St., Findlay, SG100002879

Stark County
Lavin, Carl and Audrey, House, 5240 Plain Center Ave. NE, Canton, SG100002880

Summit County

OKLAHOMA
Caddo County
Rock Island Passenger Station, 301 E Main St., Anadarko, SG100002874

Cleveland County
Southbridge Addition Historic District, Roughly bounded by Classen Blvd., Oklahoma & S Punca Aves., E Boyd, Macy & Okmulgee Sts., Norman, SG100002882

VIRGINIA
Alexandria Independent City
Oakland Baptist Cemetery, 4195 W Braddock Rd., Alexandria, SG100002883

Petersburg Independent City
Halifax Triangle and Downtown Commercial Historic District, Generally bounded by Washington, Adams, Sycamore, Halifax, Byrne & Harrison Sts., Petersburg, SG100002886

WISCONSIN
Sheboygan County
Badger State Tanning Company, 1031 Maryhill Ave., Sheboygan, SG100002888

A request for removal has been made for the following resource:

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Inv. No. 337–TA–1127]
Certain Microperforated Packaging Containing Fresh Produce (II); Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 12, 2018, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, by Windham Packaging, LLC of Windham, New Hampshire. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on August 2, 2018. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain microperforated packaging containing fresh produce (II) by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,083,837 ("the '837 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 9, 2018, ORDERED THAT—
(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–6, 11, and 13 of the ‘837 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;
(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “bags for fresh produce and bags containing fresh produce. The bags contain microperforations that are specifically designed and arranged to increase the shelf-life of fresh produce by affecting the mixture of gases within the bag”;
(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following persons are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period for Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On May 16, 2018, the Department of Justice (DOJ) lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Georgia in the lawsuit entitled United States v. Hercules LLC, Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-00062-LGW-RSB. To allow for additional community input and feedback, DOJ is extending the public comment period for an additional thirty (30) days.

The proposed consent decree would require defendant Hercules LLC to implement the interim remedy selected by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the outfall, known as Operable Unit 1, of the Terry Creek Dredge Spoil Areas/Hercules Outfall Site (“Site”) in Brunswick, in Glynn County, Georgia. The consent decree would also require the defendant to reimburse EPA $153,009.48 in past response costs at the Site, and to pay future response costs incurred by the United States in connection with this consent decree. Notice of the lodging of the decree was originally published in the Federal Register on May 23, 2018. See 83 FR 23937 (May 23, 2018). The publication of the original notice opened a thirty (30) day period for public comment on the Decree. At the request of some members of the public, the comment period was then extended by 60 days, to August 21, 2018. See 83 FR 27799 (June 14, 2018). The publication of the present notice extends the period for public comment on the Decree to September 20, 2018.

Comments concerning the consent decree should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States v. Hercules, LLC, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–11685. All comments must be submitted no later than September 20, 2018.

To submit comments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Send them to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By mail</td>
<td>Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees.

We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $146.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is $17.25.

Henry S. Friedman,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On August 10, 2018, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois in the lawsuit entitled United States and Illinois v. WRB Refining LP, et al., Civil Action No. 3:18–cv–01484.

In the complaint filed contemporaneously with the proposed consent decree, the United States and Illinois alleged that defendants WRB Refining LP and Phillips 66 Company (“WRB/P66”) violated various provisions of the Clean Air Act and the Illinois Environmental Protection Act at a refinery owned and operated by defendants in Roxana and Hartford, Illinois (“Wood River Refinery”). The complaint sought injunctive relief and civil penalties. Under the proposed consent decree, WRB/P66 will implement a flare minimization and flare efficiency program to reduce emissions of volatile organic compounds; undertake a variety of practices to reduce pollution from valves and pumps; limit benzene emissions from wastewater management units; and develop and implement an operation and maintenance plan to improve the operation of the continuous emissions monitoring systems at the Wood River Refinery. As mitigation for past excess emissions, WRB/P66, among other things, will install a new vacuum truck unloading facility; set up monitoring devices around its wastewater treatment plant; and use low emissions valves when it has to replace...