DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–392]

Importer of Controlled Substances Registration

ACTION: Notice of registration.

SUMMARY: Registrants listed below have applied for and been granted registration by the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) as importers of various classes of controlled substances.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The companies listed below applied to be registered as importers of various basic classes of controlled substances. Information on previously published notices is listed in the table below. No comments or objections were submitted and no requests for hearing were submitted for these notices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>FR docket</th>
<th>Published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fisher Clinical Services, Inc</td>
<td>83 FR 28663</td>
<td>June 20, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unither Manufacturing LLC</td>
<td>83 FR 29136</td>
<td>June 22, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823, 952(a) and 958(a) and determined that the registration of the listed registrants to import the applicable basic classes of schedule I or II controlled substances is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971. The DEA investigated each company’s maintenance of effective controls against diversion by inspecting and testing each company’s physical security systems, verifying each company’s compliance with state and local laws, and reviewing each company’s background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the DEA has granted a registration as an importer for schedule I or II controlled substances to the above listed companies.

Dated: August 17, 2018.

John J. Martin,
Assistant Administrator.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Greg N. Rampey, D.O.; Dismissal of Proceedings

On October 27, 2017, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Greg N. Rampey, D.O. (Registrant), of Tulsa, Oklahoma. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Registrant’s DEA Certificate of Registration No. BR7006085 on the ground that he has “no state authority to handle controlled substances” in the State of Oklahoma, the State in which he is registered with the DEA. Order to Show Cause, Government Exhibit (GX) 2, at 1, 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)). For the same reason, the Order also proposed the denial of any of Registrant’s “applications for renewal or modification of such registration and any applications for any other DEA registrations.” Id. at 1.

With respect to the Agency’s jurisdiction, the Show Cause Order alleged that Registrant is the holder of Certificate of Registration No. BR7006085, pursuant to which he is authorized to dispense controlled substances as a practitioner in schedules II through V, at the registered address of 8596 E. 101st, Ste. B, Tulsa, Oklahoma. Id. The Order also alleged that this registration does not expire until April 30, 2018. Id.

As the substantive ground for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that “on September 21, 2017, the Oklahoma State Board of Osteopathic Examiners cancelled [Registrant’s] osteopathic medical license” and his “Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs registration is inactive.” Id. at 1–2. The Show Cause Order thus alleged that Registrant is “currently without authority to practice medicine or handle controlled substances in the State of Oklahoma, the State in which [he is] registered with the DEA,” and that, as a consequence, “DEA must revoke” his registration. Id. at 2.

The Show Cause Order notified Registrant of (1) his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing, (2) the procedure for electing either option, and (3) the consequence for failing to elect either option. Id. (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The Order also notified Registrant of his right to submit a corrective action plan. Id. at 2–3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

According to an Affidavit of Service filed in this matter, on October 30, 2017, personnel from DEA’s Office of Chief Counsel, Diversion and Regulatory Litigation Section, attempted to serve the Show Cause Order on the Registrant by regular first class mail addressed to the Registrant at his registered address. GX 6. The Government represents that its mailing was not returned as undeliverable. Id. On January 10, 2018, the Government submitted a Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA) representing that Registrant did not request a hearing and “ha[d] not filed any written statement in lieu of a hearing” within 30 days of service and seeking a final order revoking his registration. GX 7, at 2.

On February 6, 2018, the then-Acting Administrator issued an Order noting that the Government’s effort at service in this case was “a departure from the Agency’s traditional practice.” GX 8. The Order further noted that “the Government cites to no authority establishing that a sole effort of mailing by first class mail (with no evidence of delivery to the address) is sufficient to provide constitutionally adequate service for initiating a proceeding under the Due Process Clause.” Id. As a result, the then-Acting Administrator directed the Government to either address why its effort was consistent with the Due Process Clause or to engage in additional reasonable efforts to serve Registrant. Id.

On March 29, 2018, my office received the Government’s Second Request for Final Agency Action (SRFAA) describing a Diversion Investigator’s additional attempts to serve the Show Cause Order and again seeking a final order revoking Registrant’s registration. SRFAA, at 2.
The Government also submitted a Certification of Registration History, which was sworn to on December 19, 2017. GX 1, at 3. In that Certification, the Associate Chief of the Registration and Program Support Section stated that DEA Registration No. BR7006085 “expires on April 30, 2018.” Id. at 1–2. The Associate Chief further stated that “Greg N. Rampey, M.D., has no other pending or valid DEA registration(s) in Oklahoma.” Id. at 3. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 556(e), I take official notice of Registrant’s registration record with the Agency. See also 21 CFR 1316.59(e). According to that record, DEA Registration No. BR7006085 expired on April 30, 2018, and Registrant has not filed an application, whether timely or not, to renew his registration or for any other registration in the State of Oklahoma.

DEA has long held that “if a registrant has not submitted a timely renewal application prior to the expiration date, then the registration expires and there is nothing to revoke.” Donald Brooks Reece II, M.D., 77 FR 35054, 35055 (2012) (quoting Ronald J. Riegel, 63 FR 67312, 67133 (1998)); see also Thomas E. Mitchell, 76 FR 20032, 20033 (2011). “Moreover, in the absence of an application (whether timely filed or not), there is nothing to act upon.” Reece, 77 FR at 35055. Accordingly, because Registrant has allowed his registration to expire and has not filed any application for registration in Oklahoma, this case is now moot and will be dismissed.

Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a) and 28 CFR 100(b), I order that the Order to Show Cause issued to Greg N. Rampey, D.O., be, and it hereby is, dismissed. This Order is effective immediately.

Dated: August 14, 2018.
Utam Dhillon,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2018–18267 Filed 8–22–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–09–P
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Notice of Lodging of Proposed First Amendment of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act


This case involves claims for alleged violations of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration program of the Clean Air Act and related state law requirements against the Nevada Cement Company at its Fernley, Nevada, Portland cement facility. The original Consent Decree resolving the dispute included injunctive relief for installation of control technology to reduce emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), civil penalties, and mitigation of past excess NOx emissions. The proposed First Amendment, if approved by the Court, would change the requirements in the original Consent Decree from Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction control technology to Selective Catalytic Reduction control technology for NOX emissions, and would require greater reductions in NOx, yielding a net NOx emission reduction over the life of the Consent Decree as compared to the original Consent Decree.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the First Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States v. Nevada Cement, Inc., D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–10458. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:
By email ........ pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.
By mail ........ Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the First Amendment may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees. We will provide a paper copy of the First Amendment upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $3.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Henry Friedman,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2018–18172 Filed 8–22–18; 8:45 am]
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Employment and Training Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request: H–2A Recordkeeping Requirement

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL or Department), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This program helps ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly...