available at http://jko.fcom.mil/ or as otherwise identified in the performance work statement.

(d) The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (d), in subcontracts, including subcontracts for commercial items, when subcontractor performance requires routine physical access to a Federally-controlled facility or military installation.

[End of clause]

[FR Doc. 2018–18250 Filed 8–23–18; 8:45 am]
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AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement a section of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 that repeals the Fiscal Year 2015 restrictions on the source of photovoltaic devices in contracts awarded by DoD that result in DoD ownership of photovoltaic devices by means other than DoD purchase of the photovoltaic devices as end products.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before October 23, 2018, to be considered in the formation of a final rule.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by DFARS Case 2018–D007, using any of the following methods:


Search for “DFARS Case 2018–D007”. Select “Comment Now” and follow the instructions provided to submit a comment. Please include “DFARS Case 2018–D007” on any attached documents.

Email: osd.dfars@mail.mil. Include DFARS Case 2018–D007 in the subject line of the message.

Fax: 871–7472–6094.


Comments received generally will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy G. Williams, telephone 571–372–6106.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

DoD is proposing to revise the DFARS to implement section 813(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2018. Section 813(b) repeals section 858 of the NDAA for FY 2015, effective October 1, 2018, but does not repeal section 846 of the NDAA for FY 2011. DoD published the final rule to implement section 858 under DFARS case 2015–D007 in the Federal Register on November 20, 2015 (80 FR 72599).

Section 858 of the NDAA for FY 2015 did not contain specific language to rescind or supersede section 846 of the NDAA for FY 2011, which was first implemented in the DFARS by an interim rule under DFARS Case 2011–D046, published in the Federal Register on December 20, 2011 (76 FR 78858), and then finalized on May 22, 2012 (77 FR 30368).

II. Discussion and Analysis

A. Analysis of Statutory Requirements

1. Covered Contracts

Section 846 applies to contracts awarded by DoD, including energy savings performance contracts, utility energy service contracts, and private housing contracts, to the extent that such contracts result in ownership of photovoltaic devices by DoD. Section 846 further provides that DoD is deemed to own a photovoltaic device if the device is—

• Installed on DoD property or in a facility owned by DoD; and

• Reserved for the exclusive use of DoD for the full economic life of the device.

Section 858 substituted “or” for “and” in connecting the two conditions. Therefore, either one of the conditions would be sufficient to make the law applicable. By repealing section 858, the law does not apply unless both of the conditions are met. Although section 858 explicitly restricted applicability to the United States, that restriction is still equivalent to the section 846 applicability, because the Buy American statute invoked in section 846 does not apply overseas. Land leases are not addressed in this rule because land leases are outside the scope of the FAR and DFARS.

2. Requirements

Section 846 requires that, with some exceptions, photovoltaic devices provided under covered contracts comply with the Buy American statute. The Buy American statute requires, for use inside the United States, that manufactured articles, materials and supplies be manufactured in the United States, substantially all from articles, materials, or supplies mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States. When section 858 was enacted, it imposed basically the same requirement, requiring that any photovoltaic device installed under a covered contract be manufactured in the United States substantially all from articles, materials or supplies mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States, but no longer referenced the Buy American statute.

3. Exceptions

Because the requirement under section 858 was separated from the explicit application of the Buy American statute, the exceptions and waivers that apply to the Buy American statute no longer automatically applied to the restrictions of section 858, unless provided for and authorized by section 858. Now that section 858 has been repealed, the following exceptions are again applicable:

• Exceptions for domestic nonavailability and acquisitions in which the values of the photovoltaic devices does not exceed the micro-purchase threshold.

• Public interest determination. The Buy American statute provides for individual or class determinations that application of the Buy American statute is inconsistent with the public interest. Through public interest class determinations, DoD does not apply the Buy American statute to (1) qualifying country end products; or (2) U.S.-made end products, if the World Trade Organization Government Procurement Agreement applies (i.e., the aggregate value of the photovoltaic devices to be utilized is $180,000 or more). In implementing section 846, this determination was applied to photovoltaic devices not acquired as end products. Section 858 only allowed, on a case-by-case basis, determinations


that application of the restriction in 858
are not in the public interest. Such case-
by-case determinations are no longer
required in order to allow a contractor
to utilize a qualifying country
photovoltaic device or a U.S.-made
photovoltaic device.
• Determination of unreasonable cost.
Both the Buy American statute and
section 858 allow a determination not to
utilize a domestic product if the cost of
the domestic product is unreasonable.
With regard to determining that the cost
of a domestic item is unreasonable,
Executive Order 10582, Prescribing
Uniform Procedures for Certain
Determinations under the Buy-
American Act, provides a methodology
to determine unreasonable cost, using a
minimum differential of 6 percent, but
also provides that the head of an
executive agency may determine that
the use of a higher differential between
the cost of materials of domestic origin
and the cost of materials of foreign
origin ‘‘is not unreasonable.’’ The then
Secretary of Defense, Cyrus Vance,
signed a memorandum on May 7, 1964,
providing for application of a 50 percent
differential under the Buy American
statute. Therefore, DoD proposes to
continue application of a 50 percent
evaluation factor when determining
whether the price of domestic
photovoltaic devices is unreasonable
when the estimated aggregate value of
the photovoltaic devices to be utilized is
less than $180,000 (the World Trade
Organization Government Procurement
Agreement threshold). The application
of an evaluation factor to foreign
products to determine whether the price
of domestic products is reasonable is
not applicable when the World Trade
Organization Government Procurement
Agreement applies, because there is a
prohibition under that agreement to
buying any products that are not
designated, domestic, U.S.-made,
or qualifying country products. DoD has
waived the application of the Buy
American statute to U.S.-made products
so no evaluation factor is applicable.
• Exemption for commercially
available off-the-shelf (COTS) items.
Pursuant to 41 U.S.C., 1907 and
determinations by the Administrator of
Federal Procurement Policy, the
component test of the Buy American
statute does not apply to the acquisition
of COTS items. This exemption no
longer applied to photovoltaic devices
utilized under section 858, but is now
re-instated under section 846.
• Trade agreements or otherwise
provided by law. The restrictions of
both section 846 and section 858 are
subject to the exceptions provided in
the Trade Agreements Act or otherwise
provided by law. The Trade Agreements
Act (19 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.) provides
authority for the President to waive the
Buy American statute and other
discriminatory provisions (e.g., sections
846 and 858) for eligible products from
designated countries. This authority has
been delegated to the United States
Trade Representative (USTR).
B. Regulatory Implementation
This proposed rule essentially
reinstates the DFARS regulations as they
existed prior to publication of the final
rule under DFARS Case 2015–D007 on
November 20, 2015, except for—
• Baseline changes such as increased
trade agreement thresholds and addition
of new qualifying countries;
• Use of the term ‘‘micro-purchase
threshold’’ rather than a specific dollar
value, to provide more flexibility when
the micro-purchase threshold increases;
• Retaining the explicit statement that
these restrictions only apply in the
United States; and
• Restructuring of the certifications in
DFARS provision 252.225–7018. In each
dollar range, the first paragraph is
limited to a certification that the
photovoltaic devices are domestic (or
U.S.-made for paragraph (i)). This
avoids the necessity of identifying the
country of origin for such domestic or
U.S.-made products.
III. Applicability to Contracts at or
Below the Simplified Acquisition
Threshold and for Commercial Items,
Including Commericially Available Off-
The-Shelf Items
This rule does not affect the
applicability of DFARS clause 252.225–
7017, Photovoltaic Devices, and DFARS
provision 252.225–7018, Photovoltaic
Devices—Certification. A determination
was signed by the Director, Defense
Procurement and Acquisition Policy, on
October 13, 2011, to not apply the
requirements of section 846 of the
NDAA for FY 2011 to contracts at or
below the simplified acquisition
threshold, but to apply the rule to
contracts for the acquisition of
commercial items, including COTS
items.
IV. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563
Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and
13563 direct agencies to assess all costs
and benefits of available regulatory
alternatives and, if regulation is
necessary, to select regulatory
approaches that maximize net benefits
(including potential economic,
environmental, public health and safety
effects, distributive impacts, and
equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the
importance of quantifying both costs
and benefits, of reducing costs, of
harmonizing rules, and of promoting
flexibility. This is not a significant
regulatory action and, therefore, was not
subject to review under section 6(b) of
E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and
Review, dated September 30, 1993. This
rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C.
804.
V. Executive Order 13771
This proposed rule is not expected to
be an E.O. 13771, Reducing Regulation
and Controlling Regulatory Costs,
regulatory action, because this proposed
rule is not significant under E.O. 12866.
VI. Regulatory Flexibility Act
DoD does not expect this proposed
rule to have a significant economic
impact on a substantial number of small
entities within the meaning of the
Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601,
et seq. However, an initial regulatory
flexibility analysis has been performed
and is summarized as follows:
This rule proposes to implement the
repeal of section 858 of the Carl Levin
and Howard P. ‘‘Buck’’ McKeon
National Defense Authorization Act
(NDAA) for FY 2015 (Pub. L. 113–291),
while retaining the requirements of
section 846 of the NDAA for FY 2011,
with regard to sources of contractor-
purchased photovoltaic devices that
become the property of DoD.
The objective of this rule is to revert
to the regulations on photovoltaic
devices that were in effect prior to
superimposing the additional
regulations required by section 858 on
November 20, 2015 (80 FR 72599). By
restoring the tie to the Buy American
statute, this rule reinstates the Buy
American exceptions for acquisitions
below the micro-purchase threshold,
nonavailability, unreasonable cost, and
public interest, including the DoD class
determinations that exempt U.S.-made
and qualifying country photovoltaic
devices from the requirement of the Buy
American statute, as well as the
Governmentwide determination that
removes the component test for
commercially-available off-the-shelf
items.
This rule generally applies at the
prime contract level to other than small
entities. When purchasing renewable
power generated via on-site
photovoltaic devices, DoD can either
purchase the photovoltaic devices and
thereby own, operate, and maintain the
devices for their full economic life
(already covered in DFARS part 225
under standard Buy American statute/
Trade Agreements regulations) or, for
example, may do some variation of the
following:
• Enter into an energy savings performance contract, which is a contracting method in which the contractor provides capital to facilitate energy conservation measures and maintains them in exchange for a portion of the energy savings generated. Under this arrangement, the Government would take title to the devices during contract performance or at the conclusion of the contract. For example, DoD uses either the master indefinite delivery-indefinite quantity contract of the Department of Energy or the Army Corps of Engineers and awards task orders off one of those contracts. Generally, the same approved contractors are on each contract. Of the approved contractors, all except one are large businesses. There are subcontracting goals that each contractor has to meet, but the ultimate task order award is most often made to a large business.

• Enter into a power purchase agreement, also referred to as a utility service contract, for the purchase of the power output of photovoltaic devices that are installed on DoD land or buildings, but owned, operated, and maintained by the contractor. At the conclusion of the contract, DoD would either require the contractor to dismantle and remove the photovoltaic equipment or abandon the equipment in place. Prime contractors for this type of contract would generally be large businesses, based on the capital costs involved in these projects. However, many developers tend to subcontract out the majority of work to smaller companies.

There are approximately 80 manufacturers of photovoltaic devices. DoD does not currently have data available on whether any of the manufacturers of photovoltaic devices are small entities, because the Federal Procurement Data System does not collect such data on subcontractors.

There are no new reporting burdens under this rule. In fact, there is a de minimis reduction in burden, because no certification will be required if the value of the photovoltaic devices does not exceed the micro-purchase threshold, and identification of country of origin will no longer be required if the photovoltaic devices are domestic or U.S.-made. Contracting officers will no longer be required to do a determination and findings in order to allow utilization of qualifying country or U.S.-made photovoltaic devices or other foreign photovoltaic devices on the bases of unreasonable cost.

Futhermore, since the prime contractors subject to this rule are other than small businesses, the existing reporting requirements do not impact small entities.

The rule does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rules. DoD did not identify any significant alternatives that meet the requirements of the statute and would have less impact on small entities. The overall effect of this rule is deregulatory and it does not have significant impact on small entities.

DoD invites comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

DoD will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by this rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 610 (DFARS Case 2018–D007), in correspondence.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule contains information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C chapter 35); however, these changes to the DFARS do not impose additional information collection requirements to the paperwork burden previously approved under OMB Control Number 0704–0229, entitled “Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Part 225, Foreign Acquisition, and related clauses at DFARS 225.222.”

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 212, 225, and 252

Government procurement.

Jennifer Lee Hawes, Regulatory Control Officer, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Therefore, 48 CFR parts 212, 225, and 252 are proposed to be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 212, 225, and 252 continues to read as follows:


PART 225—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

3. Amend section 225.7017–1 by revising the definitions of “Covered contract” and “Domestic photovoltaic device” to read as follows:

225.7017–1 Definitions.

Covered contract means an energy savings performance contract, a utility service contract, or a private housing contract awarded by DoD to be performed in the United States, if such contract results in DoD ownership of photovoltaic devices, by means other than DoD purchase as end products.

DoD is deemed to own a photovoltaic device if the device is—

(1) Installed in the United States on DoD property or in a facility owned by DoD; and

(2) Reserved for the exclusive use of DoD in the United States for the full economic life of the device.

Domestic photovoltaic device means a photovoltaic device that is manufactured in the United States.

4. Revise section 225.7017–2 to read as follows:

225.7017–2 Restriction.

In accordance with section 846 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011, photovoltaic devices provided under any covered contract shall comply with 41 U.S.C. chapter 83, Buy American, subject to the exceptions to that statute provided in the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.).

5. Revise section 225.7017–3 to read as follows:

225.7017–3 Exceptions.

DoD requires the contractor to utilize domestic photovoltaic devices in covered contracts that exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, with the following exceptions:

(a) Qualifying country. Qualifying country photovoltaic devices may be utilized in any covered contract, because 225.103(a)(1)(A) provides an exception to the Buy American statute for products of qualifying countries, as defined in 225.003.
(b) Buy American—unreasonable cost. For a covered contract that utilizes photovoltaic devices valued at less than $180,000, the exception for unreasonable cost may apply (see FAR 25.103(c)). If the cost of a foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device, then the foreign photovoltaic device may be utilized.

(c) Trade agreements.

(1) Free Trade Agreements. For a covered contract that utilizes photovoltaic devices valued at $25,000 or more, photovoltaic devices may be utilized from a country covered under the acquisition by a Free Trade Agreement, depending upon dollar threshold (see FAR subpart 25.4).

(2) World Trade Organization—Government Procurement Agreement. For covered contracts that utilize photovoltaic devices that are valued at $180,000 or more, only U.S.-made photovoltaic devices, designated country photovoltaic devices, or qualifying country photovoltaic devices may be utilized.

225.7017–4 [Removed]

225.7017–5 [Redesignated as 225.7017–4]
7. Redesignate section 225.7017–5 as 225.7017–4 and in the newly redesignated section 225.7017–4, revise paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

225.7017–4 Solicitation provision and contract clause.

(a)(1) Use the clause at 252.225–7017, Photovoltaic Devices, in solicitations, including solicitations using FAR part 12 procedures for the acquisition of commercial items, for a contract expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold that may be a covered contract, i.e., an energy savings performance contract, a utility service contract, or a private housing contract awarded by DoD, if such contract will result in DoD ownership of photovoltaic devices, by means other than DoD purchase as end products.

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

8. Amend section 252.225–7017 by—

a. In the introductory text, removing “225.7017–5(a)” and adding “225.7017–4(a)” in its place; and

b. Removing clause date “(JAN 2018)” and adding “[DATE]” in its place; and

c. In paragraph (i), removing subparagraph designations “(i)” and “(ii)” and adding “(1)” and “(2)” in its place wherever it appears;

ii. Removing subparagraph designations “(iii)” and “(iv)” and adding “(3)” and “(4)” in its place;

iii. Revising the definition of “Domestic photovoltaic device”;


v. In paragraph (c)—

i. Revising paragraph (c)(1);

ii. In paragraph (c)(2), removing “photovoltaic device as specified, or,” and adding “photovoltaic device, or,” in its place; and

iii. Revising paragraphs (c)(3), (4), and (5).

The revisions read as follows:


(a) * * *

Domestic photovoltaic device means a photovoltaic device that is manufactured in the United States.

(c) * * *

(1) More than the micro-purchase threshold but less than $25,000, then the Contractor shall utilize only domestic photovoltaic devices unless, in its offer, it specified utilization of qualifying country or other foreign photovoltaic devices in paragraph (d)(2) of the Photovoltaic Devices—Certificate provision of the solicitation;

(3) $80,317 or more but less than $100,000, then the Contractor shall utilize under this contract only domestic photovoltaic devices, unless, in its offer, it specified utilization of Free Trade Agreement country photovoltaic devices (other than Bahrainian, Korean, Moroccan, Panamanian, or Peruvian photovoltaic devices), qualifying country photovoltaic devices, or other foreign photovoltaic devices in paragraph (d)(5) of the Photovoltaic Devices—Certificate provision of the solicitation. If the Contractor certified in its offer that it will utilize a Free Trade Agreement country photovoltaic device (other than a Bahrainian, Moroccan, Panamanian, or Peruvian photovoltaic device) or a qualifying country photovoltaic device; or, at the Contractor’s option, a domestic photovoltaic device; or

(5) $180,000 or more, then the Contractor shall utilize under this contract only U.S.-made, designated country, or qualifying country photovoltaic devices.

(End of clause)
(d) * * *
(1) No photovoltaic devices will be utilized in performance of the contract, or such photovoltaic devices have an estimated value that does not exceed the micro-purchase threshold.
(2) If more than the micro-purchase threshold but less than $25,000—

* * *
(3) If $25,000 or more but less than $80,317—

(ii) The offeror certifies that each photovoltaic device to be utilized in performance of the contract is a domestic photovoltaic device.

(i) The offeror certifies that each photovoltaic device to be utilized in performance of the contract is a Canadian photovoltaic device or a qualifying country photovoltaic device [Offeror to specify country of origin _______]; or

(iii) The foreign (other than Canadian or qualifying country) photovoltaic devices to be utilized in performance of the contract are the product of: [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.] [Offeror to specify country of origin, if known, and provide documentation that the cost of a domestic photovoltaic device would be unreasonable in comparison to the cost of the proposed foreign photovoltaic device, i.e. that the price of the foreign photovoltaic device plus 50 percent is less than the price of a comparable domestic photovoltaic device.]