DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Maquilacero S.A. de C.V. (Maquilacero) and Regiomontana de Perfiles y Tubos S.A. de C.V. (Regiopytsa) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) August 1, 2016, through July 31, 2017. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 6, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline Heeren or Kent Boydstun, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9179 or (202) 482–5649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 16, 2017, we published the notice of initiation for this administrative review. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of the review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Commerce exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from January 20 through 22, 2018, moving the deadline for the preliminary results to May 6, 2018. On April 5, 2018, we extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of the review to no later than August 31, 2018. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included in the Appendix to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, located in room B8094 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The scope of this order covers certain welded carbon-quality light-walled steel pipe and tube, of rectangular (including square) cross section, having a wall thickness of less than 4 mm. The term carbon-quality steel includes both carbon steel and alloy steel which contains only small amounts of alloying elements. Specifically, the term carbon-quality includes products in which none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity by weight respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, or 2.25 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent of zirconium.

The description of carbon-quality is intended to identify carbon-quality products within the scope. The welded-carbon quality rectangular pipe and tube subject to the order is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7306.61.50.00 and 7306.61.70.60. This tariff classification is provided for convenience and Customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price was calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value was calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that, for the period August 1, 2016, through July 31, 2017, the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maquilacero S.A. de C.V.</td>
<td>4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfiles y Herrajes LM, S.A. de C.V.</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productos Laminados de Monterrey S.A. de C.V.</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regiomontana de Perfiles y Tubos S.A. de C.V.</td>
<td>16.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results of review within five days after the date of publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs. Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of...
the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.\textsuperscript{10} Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.\textsuperscript{11}

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.\textsuperscript{12} Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we intend to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined.\textsuperscript{13} Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Unless extended, we intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.\textsuperscript{14} If a respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or de minimis in the final results of this review, the respondent reported reliable entered values, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales made during the period of review to that importer by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. Where an importer-specific ad valorem assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). If the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the Final Modification for Reviews, i.e., “[w]here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or de minimis, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”\textsuperscript{15} Regarding entries of subject merchandise during the period of review that were produced by Maquilacero and Regioptytsa and for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at all-others rate of 3.76 percent, as established in the less-than-fair-value investigation of the order, if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.\textsuperscript{16} For a full discussion of this matter, see Assessment Policy Notice.\textsuperscript{17} For the firms covered by this review, we intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Maquilacero and Regioptytsa and other companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) if previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be the all-others rate of 3.76 percent. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).


Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
5. Discussion of the Methodology
6. Date of Sale
7. Comparisons to Normal Value
   A. Determination of Comparison Method
   B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
8. Product Comparisons
9. Export Price
10. Normal Value
   A. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
   B. Level of Trade
   C. Sales to Affiliates
   D. Cost of Production
      1. Calculation of Cost of Production
      2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
      3. Results of the Cost of Production Test
     E. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
    F. Price-to-Constructed Value Comparison
   11. Currency Conversion

\textsuperscript{10} See 19 CFR 351.100(c)(2) and (d)(2).
\textsuperscript{11} See 19 CFR 351.303.
\textsuperscript{12} See 19 CFR 351.316(c).
\textsuperscript{13} See 19 CFR 351.310(d).
\textsuperscript{14} See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).
\textsuperscript{15} See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (Final Modification for Reviews).
\textsuperscript{16} See Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders, 80 FR 39994 (July 13, 2015).
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–069]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that rubber bands from the People’s Republic of China (China) are being or are likely to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation (POI) is July 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Applicable September 6, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Stephanie Berger, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4474 or (202) 482–2483, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Background

This preliminary determination is made in accordance with section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). We published the notice of initiation of this investigation on February 27, 2018.1 On June 26, 2018, we postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation. The revised deadline is now August 29, 2018.2 For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.3 A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are rubber bands from China. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope

In accordance with the preamble to Commerce’s regulations,4 the Initiation Notice set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage (i.e., scope).5 Certain interested parties provided comments on the scope of the investigation as it appeared in the Initiation Notice.6 For a summary of the product coverage comments and rebuttal responses submitted to the record for this preliminary determination, and accompanying discussion and analysis of all comments timely received, see the Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum.7 We are preliminarily modifying the scope language as it appeared in the Initiation Notice. See the revised scope in Appendix I to this notice.

Fair-Value Investigation of Rubber Bands from the People’s Republic of China,“ dated August 29, 2018 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Methodology

We are conducting this investigation in accordance with section 731 of the Act. Pursuant to section 776(a) and (b) of the Act, we have preliminarily relied upon facts otherwise available, with adverse inferences, for the China-wide entity because it did not respond to our requests for information. Specifically, all companies to which Commerce issued quantity and value (Q&V) questionnaires failed to respond.8 Thus, no companies have demonstrated their eligibility for a separate rate and are preliminarily found to be part of the China-wide entity. Furthermore, we find that the China-wide entity’s lack of participation, including the failure of certain parts of the China-wide entity to submit Q&V information, constitutes circumstances under which it is reasonable to conclude that the China-wide entity as a whole failed to cooperate to the best of its ability to comply with Commerce’s request for information. For a full description of the methodology underlying Commerce’s preliminary determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances

On June 11, 2018, as revised on August 7, 2018, the petitioner timely filed a critical circumstances allegation, pursuant to section 733(e)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.206, alleging that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of rubber bands from China.9 Based on the failure of all respondents, and thus the China-wide entity, to cooperate to the best of their ability to comply with Commerce’s requests for information, we preliminarily determine that massive imports of rubber bands from China existed for the China-wide entity, based on adverse facts available, pursuant to section 733(e)(1)(B) of the Act. In addition, we have preliminarily determined that there is a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that importers knew, or should have known, that merchandise was being sold for less than fair value and that those sales were likely to cause material injury in accordance with section 733(e)(1)(A)(ii) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology and the results of Commerce’s analysis, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

3 See the memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination in the Less-Than-
4 See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule, 62 FR 27296, 27232 (May 19, 1997).
5 See Initiation Notice.
7 See Memorandum, “Rubber Bands from Thailand and the People’s Republic of China: Scope Comments Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination,” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).