

time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Dated: August 31, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-19409 Filed 9-6-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP17-495-000; CP17-494-000]

Notice of Anticipated Schedule of Final Order for the Jordan Cove Project: Jordan Cove Energy Project, LP; Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline, LP

On September 21, 2017, Jordan Cove Energy Project, L.P. filed an application in Docket No. CP17-495-000, pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 153 of the Commission's regulations, for authorization to site, construct and operate a liquefied natural gas export terminal and associated facilities on the bay side of the North Spit of Coos Bay in unincorporated Coos County, Oregon. On the same day, Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline, LP filed an application in Docket No. CP17-494-000 requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, pursuant to section 7(c) of the NGA and Parts 157 and 284 of the Commission's regulations, to construct, operate, and maintain an approximately 220-mile-long natural gas pipeline to be located in Klamath, Jackson, Douglas, and Coos Counties, Oregon. The combined proposed projects, known as the Jordan Cove Project (Project), would liquefy and export about 7.8 million metric tons of natural gas per annum.

In accordance with Title 41 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act, enacted on December 4, 2015, agencies are to publish completion dates for all federal environmental reviews and authorizations. This notice identifies the Commission's anticipated schedule for issuance of the final order for the Project, which is based on the anticipated date of issuance of the final Environmental Impact Statement.

Accordingly, we currently anticipate issuing a final order for the Project no later than:

Issuance of Final Order—November 29, 2019

If a schedule change becomes necessary for the final order, an additional notice will be provided so that interested parties and government agencies are kept informed of the Project's progress.

Dated: August 31, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-19404 Filed 9-6-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP17-470-000]

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.; Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review of the Train 4 Project

On June 29, 2017, Freeport LNG Development, L.P. (Freeport LNG) filed an application in Docket No. CP17-470-000 requesting an Authorization for siting, construction, and operation of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) facility pursuant to Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act. The proposed project is known as the Train 4 Project (Project), and would increase Freeport LNG's ability to liquefy and export by 5.1 million metric tonnes per annum of natural gas at the existing Freeport LNG terminal.

On July 14, 2017, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) issued its Notice of Application for the Project. Among other things, that notice alerted agencies issuing federal authorizations of the requirement to complete all necessary reviews and to reach a final decision on a request for a federal authorization within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Project. This instant notice identifies the FERC staff's planned schedule for the completion of the EA for the Project.

Schedule for Environmental Review

Issuance of the EA—November 2, 2018
90-day Federal Authorization Decision
Deadline—January 31, 2019

If a schedule change becomes necessary, additional notice will be provided so that the relevant agencies are kept informed of the Project's progress.

Project Description

The Project would add a fourth liquefaction unit (Train 4) and associated infrastructure and utilities to Freeport LNG's terminal on Quintana Island in Brazoria County, Texas. The Project would also expand the Pretreatment Plant located about 4 miles north of the terminal in Freeport, Texas and includes a new pipeline to connect various facilities. The Project would be located adjacent to the facilities authorized and currently under construction for the Phase II Modification Project (Docket No. CP12-29-000) and Liquefaction Project (Docket No. CP12-509-000), which comprises three liquefaction trains and related facilities. The proposed fourth liquefaction train would be within the existing Freeport LNG Terminal site and the additions to the Pretreatment Plant would be within the current construction footprint. The proposed 10.6-mile-long, 42-inch-diameter pipeline would connect the existing Stratton Ridge meter station, the Pretreatment Plant, and the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Plant.

Background

On August 19, 2015 the Commission issued a *Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Planned Train 4 Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues* (NOI). A subsequent change to the Project resulted in the Commission issuing the *Supplemental Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Planned Freeport LNG Train 4 Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues* (Supplemental NOI) on August 31, 2016. The NOI and the Supplemental NOI were issued during the pre-filing review of the Project in Docket No. PF15-25-000 and were sent to affected landowners; federal, state, and local government agencies; elected officials; environmental and public interest groups; Native American tribes; other interested parties; and local libraries and newspapers. In response to the NOI and Supplemental NOI, the Commission received comments from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Texas Historical Commission, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Texas Parks and Wildlife, Sierra Club, and eight members of the public. The primary issues raised by the commentors are alternative pipeline routes, and impacts on land use, wetlands, protected species, visual landscape, noise environment, air quality, road traffic, marine traffic, and safety.