with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. Docket No(s).: CP2017–251; Filing Title: Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Modification Three to a Global Plus 1D Negotiated Service Agreement; Filing Acceptance Date: September 13, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Kenneth R. Moeller; Comments Due: September 21, 2018.

2. Docket No(s).: CP2017–255; Filing Title: Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Modification Two to a Global Plus 1D Negotiated Service Agreement; Filing Acceptance Date: September 13, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Kenneth R. Moeller; Comments Due: September 21, 2018.

3. Docket No(s).: CP2018–302; Filing Title: Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 8 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: September 12, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Christopher C. Mohr; Comments Due: September 21, 2018.

4. Docket No(s).: CP2018–303; Filing Title: Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 Negotiated Service Agreement; Filing Acceptance Date: September 13, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Christopher C. Mohr; Comments Due: September 21, 2018.

5. *Docket No(s).:* CP2018–304; *Filing Title:* Notice of United States Postal

Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 8 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* September 13, 2018; *Filing Authority:* 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative:* Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due:* September 21, 2018.

6. Docket No(s).: MC2018–219 and CP2018–305; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 89 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: September 13, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., and 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Jennaca D. Upperman; Comments Due: September 24, 2018.

7. Docket No(s).: MC2018–220 and CP2018–306; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 465 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: September 13, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., and 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Jennaca D. Upperman; Comments Due: September 24, 2018.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–20366 Filed 9–18–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service[™].

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List. **DATES:** Date of required notice: September 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on September 13, 2018, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 465 to Competitive Product List. Documents are available at *www.prc.gov*, Docket Nos. MC2018–220, CP2018–306.

Elizabeth Reed,

Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law. [FR Doc. 2018–20314 Filed 9–18–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail and First-Class Package Service Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

DATES: *Date of required notice:* September 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on September 13, 2018, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 89 to Competitive Product List. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2018–219, CP2018–305.

Elizabeth Reed,

Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law. [FR Doc. 2018–20313 Filed 9–18–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84114; File No. SR-BX-2018-043]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq BX, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Fees at Rule 7018(a)

September 13, 2018

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 4, 2018, Nasdaq BX, Inc. ("BX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its transaction fees at Rule 7018(a) to: (i) Increase the level of total Consolidated Volume require to qualify for a \$0.0017 per share executed credit; and (ii) adopt a new \$0.0016 per share executed credit.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at *http://nasdaqbx.cchwallstreet.com/*, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to: (i) Increase the level of total Consolidated Volume require to qualify for a \$0.0017 per share executed credit; and (ii) adopt a new \$0.0016 per share executed credit.

First Change

Under Rule 7018, the Exchange assesses charges and credits for the use of the order execution and routing services of the Nasdaq BX Equities System by members for all securities priced at \$1 or more per share that it trades. The Exchange operates on the "taker-maker" model, whereby it pays credits to members that take liquidity and charges fees to members that provide liquidity. Currently, the Exchange offers several different credits for orders that access liquidity on the Exchange. Among these credits, the Exchange pays a credit of \$0.0017 per share executed for an Order that accesses liquidity (excluding orders with Midpoint pegging and excluding orders that receive price improvement and execute against an order with a Non-displayed price) entered by a member that accesses liquidity equal to or exceeding 0.10% of total Consolidated Volume ³ during a month. The Exchange is proposing to increase the level of total Consolidated Volume required to qualify for the credit from 0.10% to 0.12%.

Second Change

The Exchange is proposing to adopt a new \$0.0016 per share executed credit available for an Order that accesses liquidity (excluding orders with Midpoint pegging and excluding orders that receive price improvement and execute against an order with a Nondisplayed price). To receive the credit a member must (i) add liquidity equal to or exceeding 0.60% of total Consolidated Volume during a month; and (ii) access liquidity equal to or exceeding 0.10% of total Consolidated Volume during a month.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁴ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."⁶

Likewise, in *NetCoalition* v. *Securities* and Exchange Commission⁷ ("NetCoalition") the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission's use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a costbased approach.⁸ As the court emphasized, the Commission "intended in Regulation NMS that 'market forces, rather than regulatory requirements' play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost."⁹

Further, "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the brokerdealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'. . . ."¹⁰

First Change

The Exchange believes that the \$0.0017 per share executed credit is reasonable because it remains unchanged. Consequently, the rationale supporting the credit's reasonableness when it was adopted remains valid. The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to increase the total Consolidated Volume requirement because it is a modest increase in the standard, which will ensure members are providing adequate market participation in return for the credit.

The Exchange believes that increase to the total Consolidated Volume requirement is an equitable allocation and is not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply the same credit to all similarly situated members. The proposed change is a moderate increase to the Consolidated Volume requirement that any member may choose to achieve if it wishes to receive the credit. Moreover, the

³Rule 7018(a) defines Consolidated Volume as the total consolidated volume reported to all consolidated transaction reporting plans by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities during a month in equity securities, excluding executed orders with a size of less than one round lot. For purposes of calculating Consolidated Volume and the extent of a member's trading activity the date of the annual reconstitution of the Russell Investments Indexes shall be excluded from both total Consolidated Volume and the member's trading activity.

^{4 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

⁶ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

⁷ NetCoalition v. SEC, 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

⁸ See NetCoalition, at 534–535.

⁹ Id. at 537.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SR– NYSEArca–2006–21)).

Exchange has similar credits with lower Consolidated Volume requirements that a member may receive. For example, the Exchange provides a credit of \$0.0015 per share executed for an Order that accesses liquidity (excluding orders with Midpoint pegging and excluding orders that receive price improvement and execute against an order with a Non-displayed price) entered by a member that accesses liquidity equal to or exceeding 0.065% of total Consolidated Volume during month. In sum, members have other opportunities to receive credits under Rule 7018(a) should a member be unable to satisfy the amended qualification criteria required to receive the credit. Consequently, the Exchange believes that the proposed change is an equitable allocation and is not unfairly discriminatory.

Second Change

The Exchange believes that the \$0.0016 per share executed credit is reasonable because it is similar to other credits available under Rule 7018(a). For example, the Exchange offers the \$0.0017 per share executed credit, which is the subject of the first proposed change. As noted above, the \$0.0017 per share executed credit, like the proposed new credit, is provided for an Order that accesses liquidity (excluding orders with Midpoint pegging and excluding orders that receive price improvement and execute against an order with a Non-displayed price). Like the \$0.0017 per share executed credit, the proposed \$0.0016 per share executed credit is provided if a member provides a certain levels of market-improving behavior. As a consequence, the Exchange believes that the proposed new credit is reasonable.

The Exchange believes that the \$0.0016 per share executed credit is an equitable allocation and is not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply the same credit to all similarly situated members. The Exchange believes that the proposed criteria a member is required to satisfy to receive the credit is an equitable allocation and is not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange has similar credits with lower Consolidated Volume requirements that a member may receive. For example, the Exchange provides a credit of \$0.0015 per share executed for an Order that accesses liquidity (excluding orders with Midpoint pegging and excluding orders that receive price improvement and execute against an order with a Non-displayed price) entered by a member that accesses liquidity equal to or exceeding 0.065% of total

Consolidated Volume during month. The Exchange also provides a credit of \$0.0017 per share executed for an Order that accesses liquidity (excluding orders with Midpoint pegging and excluding orders that receive price improvement and execute against an order with a Non-displayed price) entered by a member that accesses liquidity equal to or exceeding 0.10% ¹¹ of total Consolidated Volume during a month. The new credit will require a liquidity provided threshold that ensures members achieving this credit will meaningfully support trading on the exchange by providing liquidity that supports the displayed market and, therefore, market quality. The Exchange believes the proposed credit together with the other existing credits under Rule 7018(a) provide members with choice and flexibility. In sum, members have other opportunities to receive credits under Rule 7018(a) should a member be unable to satisfy the qualification criteria required to receive the proposed credit. Consequently, the Exchange believes that the proposed change is an equitable allocation and is not unfairly discriminatory.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the proposed changes to the credits available to member firms for execution of securities in securities of all three Tapes do not impose a

burden on competition because the Exchange's execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition both from other exchanges and from off-exchange venues. The proposed credit represents a modest increase in the criteria required to qualify for the credit. Members may choose to increase their level of Consolidated Volume to qualify for the credit or alternatively provide less Consolidated Volume and receive a lower credit. The Exchange is also proposing to provide a new opportunity for members to receive a credit. Such a change is procompetitive and reflective of the Exchange's efforts to make it an attractive venue to market participants. In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.¹²

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

¹¹ The Exchange is proposing herein to increase this percentage of total Consolidated Volume to .12%.

^{12 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an email to *rule-comments*@ *sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR– BX–2018–043 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2018-043. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2018-043 and should be submitted on or before October 10, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–20308 Filed 9–18–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

- - - - - -

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84117; File No. SR-C2-2018-019]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating To Expand the Types of Messages That Users May Submit Into Bulk Order Ports

September 13, 2018

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 5, 2018, Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange" or "C2") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a "non-controversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act ³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "C2") proposes to expand the types of messages that Users may submit into bulk order ports. The text of the proposed rule change is provided below.

(additions are *italicized;* deletions are [bracketed])

* * * * *

Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.

Rules

* * * * *

Rule 1.1. Definitions

* * * * *

Port

The term "port" includes the following types of ports:

(a)–(b) No change.

(c) A "bulk order port" is a dedicated logical port that provides Users with the ability to submit single and bulk order messages to enter, modify, or cancel *auction responses or* orders designated as Post Only Orders with a Time-inForce of Day or GTD with an expiration time on that trading day.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's website (*http://www.cboe.com/ AboutCBOE/CBOELegal RegulatoryHome.aspx*), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The proposed rule change expands the types of messages that Users may submit into bulk order ports. A bulk order port is a dedicated logical port that provides Users with the ability to submit single and bulk order messages to enter, modify, or cancel orders designated as Post Only Orders ⁵ with a Time-in-Force of DAY⁶ or GTD⁷ with an expiration time on that trading day. Post Only Orders with a Time-in-Force of Day or GTD are orders that will be posted to and displayed by the Exchange, rather than removing liquidity or routing to another options exchange. The Exchange currently limits the use of bulk order ports to

⁶ An order designated as "Day" means an order that, if not executed, expires at market close. *See* Rule 1.1 (definition of Time-in-Force).

⁷ An order designated as "GTD" means an order that, if after entry into the System, is not fully executed, remains available for potential display or execution until a date and time specified by the entering User unless cancelled by the entering User. *See* Rule 1.1 (definition of Time-in-Force).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

^{3 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴¹⁷ CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁵ A "Post Only" order is an order the System ranks and executes pursuant to Rule 6.12, subjects to the Price Adjust process pursuant to Rule 6.12, or cancels or rejects (including if it is not subject to the Price Adjust process and locks or cross a Protected Quotation of another exchange), as applicable (in accordance with User instructions), except the order may not remove liquidity from the Book or route away to another exchange. *See* Rule 1.1 (paragraph (h) of definition of Order Instruction).