cycle facilities, NRC material licensees, and non-power reactors.
7. The estimated number of annual responses: 537.
8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 200.
9. The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request: 268.5 hours.
10. Abstract: The NRC requires its licensees to report by telephone certain reactor events and emergencies that have potential impact to public health and safety. In order to efficiently process the information received through such reports for reactors, the NRC created Forms 361 to provide a templated worksheet for recording the information. NRC licensees are not required to fill out or submit the worksheet, but the form provides the usual order of questions and discussion to enable a licensee to prepare answers for a more clear and complete telephonic notification. Without the templated format of the NRC Forms 361, the information exchange between licensees and NRC Headquarters Operations Officers via telephone could result in delays as well as unnecessary transposition errors.

III. Specific Requests for Comments
The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:
1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of September, 2018.
For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David C. Cuillin,
NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
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Proposed Revisions to Standard Review Plan Section 14.3.3, Piping Systems and Components—Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Standard review plan-draft section revision; request for comment.


DATES: Comments must be filed no later than November 26, 2018. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:
• Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2017–0187. Address questions about docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301–287–9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

• Mail comments to: May Ma, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWFN–7–A60M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2017–0187 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:
• NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The draft revision and current revision to NUREG–0800, Section 14.3.3, “Piping Systems and Components—Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria,” is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18088A069 and ML070660622. The redline-strikeout version comparing the draft revision 1 and the current version of revision 0 is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18092A046.

• NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2017–0187 in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at http://www.regulations.gov as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment.
II. Further Information

The NRC seeks public comment on the proposed SRP-draft section revision of Section 14.3.3, “Piping Systems and Components—Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria.” This renotice includes revisions initiated by the staff to address editorial issues and provide further clarification on particular issues. This revision supersedes the previous revision issued on September 11, 2017, and the staff will not be addressing previously submitted comments. Following NRC staff evaluation of public comments, the NRC intends to finalize SRP Section 14.3.3, Revision 1 in ADAMS and post it on the NRC’s public website at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/. The SRP is guidance for the NRC staff. The SRP is not a substitute for the NRC regulations, and compliance with the SRP is not required.

III. Backfitting and Issue Finality

Issuance of this draft SRP, if finalized, would not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule), or otherwise be inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The staff’s position is based upon the following considerations.

1. The draft SRP positions, if finalized, do not constitute backfitting, inasmuch as the SRP is guidance directed to the NRC staff with respect to its regulatory responsibilities.

The SRP provides interim guidance to the staff on how to review an application for NRC regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in staff guidance intended for use by the staff are not matters that constitute backfitting as that term is defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1) or involve the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52. The staff’s position is based upon the following considerations.

2. Backfitting and issue finality—with certain exceptions discussed below—do not apply to current or future applicants.

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, the subject of either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. This is because neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52 were intended to apply to every NRC action that substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to this general principle are applicable whenever a 10 CFR part 52 operating license applicant references a construction permit or a 10 CFR part 52 combined license applicant references a license (e.g., an early site permit) and/or an NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) for which specified issue finality provisions apply.

The NRC staff does not currently intend to impose the positions represented in the draft SRP section in a manner that constitutes backfitting or is inconsistent with any issue finality provision in 10 CFR part 52. If in the future the NRC staff seeks to impose a position stated in this draft SRP section in a manner that would constitute backfitting or be inconsistent with these issue finality provisions, the NRC staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule or address the regulatory criteria for set forth in the applicable issue finality provision, as applicable, that would allow the staff to impose the position.

3. The staff has no intention to impose the draft SRP positions on existing nuclear power plant licenses either now or in the future (absent a voluntary request for a change from the licensee, holder of a regulatory approval, or a design certification applicant).

The NRC staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in this draft SRP section to existing (already issued) licenses (e.g., operating licenses and combined licenses) and regulatory approvals. Hence, this draft SRP guidance—even if considered guidance subject to the Backfit rule or the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52—need not be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with these issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the NRC staff seeks to impose a position in this draft SRP section on holders of already issued licenses in a manner that would constitute backfitting or does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule or address the criteria set forth in the applicable issue finality provision, as applicable, that would allow the staff to impose the position.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of September 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jennivine K. Rankin.
Acting Chief, Licensing Branch 3, Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis, Office of New Reactors.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Commentary .01 to NYSE Arca Rule 8.600–E Relating to Certain Generic Listing Standards for Managed Fund Shares

September 19, 2018.

On July 18, 2018, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 a proposed rule change to amend Commentary .01 to NYSE Arca Rule 8.600–E relating to certain generic listing standards for Managed Fund Shares. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on August 7, 2018. 3 The Commission has received no comments on the proposed rule change.

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act 4 provides that, within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is September 21, 2018. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds that it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, 5 designates November 5, 2018, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the

5 Id.