Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

 This action is not an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866;

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et sea.):
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10,
- · Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act;
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other

required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 30, 2018. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 24, 2018.

# Alexandra Dunn,

Regional Administrator, EPA Region 1.

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

### PART 52—APPROVAL AND **PROMULGATION OF** IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart H—Connecticut

■ 2. Section 52.377 is amended by adding paragraph (t) to read as follows:

# § 52.377 Control strategy: Ozone.

(t) Approval. Revisions to the State Implementation Plan submitted by the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection on January 17, 2017, September 5, 2017, and August 8, 2017, to meet, in part, requirements of the 2008 ozone NAAQS. These revisions satisfy the rate of progress requirement of section 182(b) through 2017, the contingency measure requirements of section 182(c)(9), the emission statement requirements of section 182(a)(3)(B),

and the reasonably available control measure requirement of section 172(c)(1) for the Connecticut portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT area, and the Greater Connecticut moderate ozone nonattainment areas. The January 17, 2017 revision establishes motor vehicle emissions budgets for 2017 of 15.9 tons per day of VOC and 22.2 tons per day of NO<sub>X</sub> to be used in transportation conformity in the Greater Connecticut moderate ozone nonattainment area. The August 8, 2017 revision establishes motor vehicle emissions budgets for 2017 of 17.6 tons per day of VOC and 24.6 tons per day of  $NO_X$  to be used in transportation conformity in the Connecticut portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT moderate ozone nonattainment

■ 3. Section 52.384 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

# §52.384 Emission inventories.

\* \*

(e) The State of Connecticut submitted base year emission inventories representing emissions for calendar year 2011 from the Connecticut portion of the NY-NJ-CT moderate 8-hour ozone nonattainment area and the Greater Connecticut moderate 8-hour ozone nonattainment area on March 9, 2016, as revisions to the State's SIP. The 2011 base year emission inventory requirement of section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990, has been satisfied for these areas. The inventories consist of emission estimates of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides, and cover point, area, non-road mobile, on-road mobile and biogenic sources. The inventories were submitted as revisions to the SIP in partial fulfillment of obligations for nonattainment areas under EPA's 2008 8-hour ozone standard.

[FR Doc. 2018-21150 Filed 9-28-18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2018-0261; FRL-9983-77—Region 7]

Approval of Missouri Air Quality Implementation Plans; Infrastructure SIP Requirements for the 2012 Annual Fine Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National **Ambient Air Quality Standard Interstate Transport** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is finalizing its approval of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) in a State Implementation Plan (SIP) submission from the State of Missouri for the 2012 Annual Fine Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) requires the State to prohibit any source or other type of emissions activity within the State from emitting any air pollutant in amounts which will contribute significantly to nonattainment (prong 1), or interfere with maintenance (prong 2) in any other State with respect to the NAAQs.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on October 31, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No EPA-R07-OAR-2018-0261. All documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through https:// www.regulations.gov or please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional information.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tracey Casburn, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219 at (913) 551–7016, or by email at casburn.tracey@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" refer to the EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following:

I. Background Information
II. Have the Requirements for approval of a SIP submittal been met?
III. The EPA's response to comments
IV. What Action is EPA taking?
V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

### I. Background Information

States are required to have a SIP that provides for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the NAAQS. Whenever EPA promulgates a new or revised NAAQS, States are required to make a SIP submission to establish that they have, or are adding, the provisions necessary to address various requirements to address the new

or revised NAAQS. These SIPs are commonly referred to as

"infrastructure" SIPs. The infrastructure requirements are designed to ensure that the structural components of each State's air quality management program are adequate to meet the State's responsibilities under the CAA. In this action EPA is approving the prong 1 and prong 2 interstate transportation obligations of the State's 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS infrastructure SIP submittal. On June 5, 2018, the EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register proposing to approve the prong 1 and prong 2 elements of the State of Missouri's 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS infrastructure SIP submittal. See 83 FR 25979. The NPRM, and technical support document (TSD) for the action, included: a summary of existing modeling data; a summary of monitoring data from areas downwind of Missouri; and a summary of annual emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), both of which are precursors of  $PM_{2.5}$ . This information showed that local control in Missouri is not necessary to address contribution, with respect to the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS, to nonattainment in, or interfere with maintenance of the NAAQS any other State. As the EPA's rationale for approving the SIP submission was provided in detail in the NPRM and the TSD for the action, and both documents are included in the docket identified in the ADDRESSES section of this document, the rationale will not be restated in detail in this document.

# II. Have the requirements for approval of the SIP submittal been met?

The State's submission met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The State held a public comment period from July 27, 2015, to September 3, 2015. The State received no comments during the public comment period. A public hearing was held on August 27, 2015. The submission satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V.

# III. The EPA's Response to Comments

The public comment period for the NPRM closed on July 5, 2018. The EPA received three sets of comments prior to the close of the comment period; all three sets of comments were not directly related to the action and therefore not considered by the EPA to be adverse to the action being taken. As the EPA only responds to adverse comments, there are no responses required for this final action. The comments can be found in the docket to this action at EPA-R07-

OAR–2018–0261. No changes were made to the proposal in this final action after consideration of the comments received. All comments on the proposed action are available in the docket identified in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

#### IV. What action is EPA taking?

As described above, the EPA is approving the prong 1 and prong 2 interstate transportation obligations of the State's 2012  $PM_{2.5}$  NAAQS infrastructure SIP submittal.

# V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866.
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTA) because this

rulemaking does not involve technical standards; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxides.

Dated: September 25, 2018.

#### Edward H. Chu,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

# PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart AA-Missouri

■ 2. In § 52.1320, the table in paragraph (e) is amended by adding the entry "(75)" in numerical order to read as follows:

### § 52.1320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* (e)\* \* \*

### EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI NONREGULATORY SIP PROVISIONS

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Explanation
(75) Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I)—significant contribution to nonattainment (prong 1), and interfering with maintenance of the NAAQs (prong 2) (Interstate Transport) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 Annual Fine Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) NAAQS.	* Statewide	* 10/14/2015	* 10/1/2018, [Insert Federal Register citation].	* This action approves the following CAA elements: 110(a)(1) and 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I)—prongs 1 and 2 [EPA-R07-OAR-2018-0261; FRL-9983-77—Region 7.]

[FR Doc. 2018–21286 Filed 9–28–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 70

[EPA-R07-OAR-2018-0536; FRL-9983-66-Region 7]

# Air Plan Approval; Iowa; Approval of the State Implementation Plan and the Operating Permits Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving revisions to the Iowa State Implementation Plan (SIP), and the Operating Permits Program to clarify submission requirements for construction and operating permit applications. This action also includes minor grammatical corrections. EPA reviewed these revisions and determined that they will not impact air quality and will ensure consistency between the state and federally approved rules.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on October 31, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2018–0536. All

documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through https:// www.regulations.gov or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional information.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Doolan, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219 at (913) 551–7719, or by email at doolan.stephanie@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" refer to EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following:

- I. What is being addressed in this document?
  II. Have the requirements for approval of a
  SIP submission and operating permits
  program been met?
- III. EPA's Response to Comments IV. What action is EPA taking? V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. What is being addressed in this document?

This final action approves a revision from the state of Iowa to revise the Iowa SIP and Operating Permits Program. EPA published in the Federal Register the proposed approval of the State's submission on July 26, 2018, at 83 FR 35451. The revisions to the SIP are to clarify the types of mailing services that may be used for submitting construction permit applications to include the U.S. Postal Service, private parcel delivery services, and hand delivery. Construction permit applications are not required to be submitted by certified mail. The revisions also eliminate the requirement for construction permit

current three-page form.

The revisions to the operating permits program clarifies the types of mailing services that may be used for submitting operating permit applications to include the U.S. Postal Service, private parcel delivery services, and hand delivery. Operating permit applications are not required to be submitted by certified mail. This revision to the operating permits program is being made to require only one copy of the operating permit application instead of two.

applications for projects that will not

emit greenhouses gases to submit the

This action also includes minor grammatical corrections to the SIP for construction permit rules and minor