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Septemer 26, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on September 18, 2018, Nasdaq PHXL LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange Rules 1000, 1064, and 1069 to allow for the Snapshot functionality of the Floor Based Management System (“FBMS”) to be used for all orders.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://nasdaqphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On October 30, 2017, the Commission approved the Exchange’s proposal to establish the “Snapshot” functionality within the Floor Broker Management System (now known as the “Floor Based Management System” or “FBMS”).3 On July 17, 2018, the Commission approved another Exchange proposal to expand the availability of Snapshot to Registered Options Traders (“ROTs”) and Specialists.4

As set forth in Rule 1069, Snapshot allows a Floor Broker, ROT, or Specialist, at the time when they “provisionally execute” a trade in the...
trading crowd, to capture and record the market conditions that prevailed at the time of the provisional execution. Once a member triggers a Snapshot by pressing a button on FBMS, the member has up to 30 seconds to use the information captured by the Snapshot for purposes of entering the terms of the provisionally-executed trade into FBMS and submitting the trade to the Trading System. After 30 seconds, a Snapshot expires and can no longer be used to capture the market that existed at the time when it was taken. When a trade that is subject to a valid Snapshot is submitted to the Trading System, the trade will execute only to the extent that it is consistent with applicable priority and trade-through rules based upon the prevailing market at the time of the Snapshot. The Trading System will reject a trade subject to a Snapshot if it would violate trade-through or priority rules. Whenever a Snapshot becomes invalid due to expiration, rejection by the Trading System, or cancellation in anticipation of expiration or rejection, a member may take a new Snapshot that reflects the market prevailing at the time the new Snapshot is taken, provided that the member first re-announces the trade to the trading crowd and reaches a new agreement as to the terms of the trade.

Snapshot exists to provide members with a means of mitigating risks that are inherent in a Floor-based options trading environment. In particular, Snapshot mitigates the risk that market conditions will shift between the time when members provisionally execute trades on the Floor in open outcry and the time when they enter such trades into FBMS and submit them to the Trading System for execution. This risk exists because, even with the advent of FBMS, which is the Exchange’s electronic Floor order entry system, a member still must manually enter the terms of a trade into FBMS prior to submission to the Trading System. This manual process can take several seconds or more to complete, depending upon the complexity of the trade. The Exchange notes that this manual process is not required when trading in an all-electronic environment, such that Snapshot also serves the purpose of rendering Floor trading venues more competitive with electronic venues.

When the Exchange developed Snapshot, it made certain design choices, in coordination with the Commission, to mitigate the risk that Snapshot could be subject to overuse or abuse. For example, the Exchange limited the time period during which a Snapshot remains valid to 30 seconds. Moreover, once a Snapshot expires, a member may take a new Snapshot only after it re-announces the trade to the trading crowd and reaches a new agreement regarding its terms. Additionally, a member may have only one Snapshot outstanding across all option classes and series at a time. The Exchange also prohibits members from triggering Snapshot to obtain favorable priority or trade-through conditions or to improperly avoid unfavorable priority or trade-through conditions, and it surveils the market for proper use of Snapshot, both at a post-trade and a real-time basis.

Finally, to mitigate the risk of Snapshot overuse, the Exchange initially limited its applicability only to multi-leg orders and simple orders involving options on exchange traded funds (“ETFs”) that are included in the Options Penny Pilot. The Exchange limited Snapshot to these two categories of orders because they presented the most immediately compelling use cases for Snapshot.

Snapshot became available for use by Floor Brokers on December 4, 2017 and it recently became available to ROTS and Specialists. Since the Exchange first introduced Snapshot, it has monitored when Snapshot has been used and the frequency of such uses. Such monitoring reveals that concerns regarding the prospective misuse and abuse of Snapshot were greatly overstated. In fact, Snapshot was utilized only 24 times (21 times in executed trades, 3 times in rejected trades) over the course of eight months (December 2017–July 2018). In four of the eight months, it was not used at all. In the other four months in which Snapshot was used, it was used successfully or otherwise only once more than 10 times in a month and otherwise, no more than six times in a month.

To improve the competitive position of the Exchange Floor relative to other venues, the Exchange now proposes to broaden the applicability of Snapshot to all orders. The Exchange believes that this proposal will make Snapshot simpler, more consistent, and useful in more circumstances than it is now. Moreover, the Exchange believes that its experience with Snapshot demonstrates that it can accommodate this proposal while continuing to systematically enforce trade-through and priority rules and without materially raising the risk that Snapshot will be overused or abused. The existing design controls that mitigate such risks will continue to apply, and if Surveillance—which will continue monitor Snapshot usage closely—detects a significant uptick in improper usage, then the Exchange will evaluate whether additional controls are appropriate.

The Exchange expects to begin making Snapshot available for all orders before the end of the fourth quarter of 2018. The Exchange will notify members via an Options Trader Alert, to be posted on the Exchange’s website, at least seven calendar days prior to the date when Snapshot will be available for expanded use.

Lastly, the Exchange proposes to remove from Rules 1000 and 1064 language that announced the initial launch of the Snapshot functionality in Q4 2017. This language is no longer required as Snapshot is operational.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act in general, and further’s the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and to protect investors and the public interest.

Snapshot promotes just and equitable principles of trade and serves the interests of investors and the public by increasing the likelihood that investors will be able to execute their orders and do so in line with their expectations and needs. Similarly, Snapshot mitigates the risk that the Trading System will reject
a trade due to a change in market conditions that occurs between the time when the parties negotiate a lawful and valid trade on the Floor and the time when the Trading System receives it. The proposal to expand the applicability of Snapshot to all orders will broaden the scope of such protections.

The expansion of Snapshot to all orders will also help Snapshot to better achieve its intended purpose of rendering the Exchange Floor more competitive with other trading venues at which the Exchange observes trade executions occurring seconds or even minutes after verifications occur, but on trading terms that existed as of the time of verification.

The Exchange believes that it is consistent with the Act to broaden the applicability of Snapshot to all option orders traded on the Exchange Floor. Although multi-leg orders and simple orders in options on Options Penny Pilot ETPs were perhaps the most immediately compelling use cases for Snapshot, they are by no means the only use cases for the functionality. Indeed, all options orders that are negotiated on the Exchange Floor are subject to the same risk of market movement, to varying extents, from the time of their negotiation in open outcry to the time of their submission to the Trading System. For all of these orders, Snapshot will help to mitigate this risk. Enabling members to provisionally execute all categories of options on the Floor (using Snapshot when needed), rather than execute them in the Trading System, will not adversely impact investors or the quality of the market due to the controls that the Exchange proposes on the circumstances in which members may use Snapshot. In fact, the proposal will protect investors and the public interest by improving members’ ability to execute orders negotiated on the Floor while continuing to ensure that all priority and trade through rules are systematically enforced.

Moreover, this proposal is consistent with Rule 611 of Regulation NMS,11 which requires the Exchange to establish policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to prevent trade-throughs of protected quotations. Presently, the Exchange verifies that a proposed trade complies with the trade-through and priority rules as of the time when the Trading System receives the trade from FBMS; if the trade complies, then the Trading System executes the trade and reports it to the consolidated tape. However, the proposal would serve as an exception to this practice. It would permit members, upon reaching a meeting of the minds in the trading crowd regarding the terms of a trade, to take a Snapshot that provisionally executes the trade on the Floor. When the member submits the trade to the Trading System using Snapshot, the Trading System will verify that the provisionally executed trade complied with the trade-through and priority rules as of the time of its execution—i.e., the time when the crowd agreed to the terms of the trade and Snapshot was taken—rather than at the time when the Trading System receives the trade. If the Trading System determines that the provisionally executed trade complied with the trade-through and priority rules, then it will report the trade to the Consolidated Tape. If, however, the Trading System determines that the provisionally executed trade was non-compliant with the trade-through and priority rules as of the time when the Snapshot was taken, then it will reject the trade. In other words, even though the proposal will change the time of execution of a trade for purposes of verifying compliance with the trade-through and priority rules, the automated compliance verification process will otherwise be unchanged and will still apply to systematically prevent any violation of the trade-through and priority rules for all trades, including those utilizing Snapshot.

Finally, the Exchange’s proposal accomplishes the above in a manner that: (1) Continues to provide automated and verifiable enforcement of applicable trade-through and priority rules; (2) is documented in writing and transparent; (3) provides for trade reporting to occur in a timely fashion, even for the most complex trades, and within a 30 second time frame that is far less than the maximum 90 second reporting period allowable; and (4) imposes surveillance and responsible limitations upon Snapshot that ensure appropriate usage and prevents violations and abuse.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In fact, the proposal is pro-competitive for several reasons. The Exchange believes that the Snapshot feature will result in the Exchange’s Floor operating more efficiently, which will help it compete with other floor-based exchanges.

Moreover, the proposal helps the Exchange compete by ensuring the robustness of its regulatory program, ensuring members’ compliance with that program, and by enhancing customer protections through further utilization of electronic tools by members. The Exchange considers all of these things to be differentiators in attracting participants and order flow.

Finally, the proposal does not impose an unreasonable burden on intratrade competition because the Exchange would make Snapshot available for use for all orders by all members that trade on the Options Floor.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission shall: (a) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or (b) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–Phlx–2018–59 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2018–59. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/).
I. Introduction

On January 30, 2018, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to modify the listing requirements contained in Nasdaq Rule 5635(d) to (1) change the definition of market value for purposes of shareholder approval under Nasdaq Rule 5635(d); (2) eliminate the requirement for shareholder approval of issuances at a price less than book value but greater than market value; and (3) make other conforming changes. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on February 20, 2018.3 The Commission received three comments on the proposed rule change.4 On April 4, 2018, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,5 the Commission designated a longer period within which to either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.6 On May 21, 2018, the Commission instituted proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.7 The Commission thereafter received a response to the Order Instituting Proceedings from the Exchange.8 On August 16, 2018, the Commission designated a longer period for Commission action on the proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.9 On August 16, 2018, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.10 The Commission is publishing notice of the filing of Amendment No. 1 to solicit comment from interested persons and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, on an accelerated basis.

II. Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

The Exchange has proposed to amend Nasdaq Rule 5635(d) to modify the circumstances in which shareholder approval is required for issuances of securities in private placement transactions. Currently, under Nasdaq Rule 5635(d), the Exchange requires a Nasdaq-listed company to obtain shareholder approval prior to the issuance of securities in connection with a private placement transaction (i.e., a transaction other than a public offering11) involving: (1) The sale, issuance, or potential issuance by the company of common stock (or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock) at a price less than the greater of book or market value which, together with sales by officers, directors, or Substantial Shareholders12 of the company, equals 20% or more of common stock or 20% or more of the voting power outstanding before the issuance; or (2) the sale, issuance, or potential issuance by the company of common stock (or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock) equal to 20% or more of the common stock or 20% or more of the voting power outstanding before the issuance for less than the greater of book or market value of the stock.13 As extended the date by which the Commission shall approve or disapprove the proposed rule change to October 18, 2018.14 In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange clarified that: (i) In the new definition of “Minimum Price,” the closing price (as reflected on Nasdaq.com) is measured immediately preceding the signing of the binding agreement, and (ii) a private placement is a transaction other than a public offering.


11 See Nasdaq Rule IM–5635–3 (Definition of a Public Offering).

12 An interest consisting of less than either 5% of the number of shares of common stock or 5% of the voting power outstanding of a Company or party will not be considered a substantial interest or cause the holder of such interest to be regarded as a "Substantial Shareholder." See Nasdaq Rule 5635(d)(3).

13 See Nasdaq Rule 5635(d). The Commission notes that Nasdaq Rule 5635 also requires shareholder approval under Nasdaq Rules 5635(a), (b), and (c) for issuances involving an acquisition of stock or assets of another company, a change of control, and equity compensation. Nasdaq is not proposing to amend these other shareholder approval provisions in its proposal.