

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–84357; File No. SR–MIAX–2018–25]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Miami International Securities Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fee Schedule Regarding Connectivity Fees for Members and Non-Members; Suspension of and Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Change

October 3, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 18, 2018, Miami International Securities Exchange LLC (“MIAX Options” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons and is, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act, hereby: (i) Temporarily suspending the proposed rule change; and (ii) instituting proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend the MIAX Options Fee Schedule (the “Fee Schedule”) to modify certain of the Exchange’s system connectivity fees.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <http://www.miaxoptions.com/rule-filings>, at MIAX’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Description of the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set

forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule regarding connectivity to the Exchange. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend Sections 5(a) and (b) of the Fee Schedule to increase the network connectivity fees for the 1 Gigabit (“Gb”) fiber connection, the 10Gb fiber connection, and the 10Gb ultra-low latency (“ULL”) fiber connection, which are charged to both Members³ and non-Members of the Exchange for connectivity to the Exchange’s primary/secondary facility. The Exchange also proposes to increase the network connectivity fees for the 1Gb and 10Gb fiber connections for connectivity to the Exchange’s disaster recovery facility. These proposed fee increases are collectively referred to herein as the “Proposed Fee Increases.”

The Exchange initially filed the Proposed Fee Increases on July 31, 2018, designating the Proposed Fee Increases effective August 1, 2018.⁴ The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2018.⁵ The Commission received one comment letter on the proposal.⁶ The Proposed Fee Increases remained in effect until they were temporarily suspended pursuant to a suspension order (the “Suspension Order”) issued by the Commission.⁷ The Suspension Order also instituted proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁸

The Healthy Markets Letter argued that the Exchange did not provide sufficient information in its filing to support a finding that the proposal is consistent with the Act. Specifically, the Healthy Markets Letter objected to the Exchange’s reliance on the fees of other exchanges to demonstrate that its fee increases are consistent with the Act. In

addition, the Healthy Markets Letter argued that the Exchange did not offer any details to support its basis for asserting that the proposed fee increases are consistent with the Act. The Exchange is now re-filing the Proposed Fee Increases, and is also providing additional detail regarding the basis for the Proposed Fee Increases. The proposed rule change is immediately effective upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act.

The Exchange currently offers various bandwidth alternatives for connectivity to the Exchange, consisting of a 1Gb fiber connection, a 10Gb fiber connection, and a 10Gb ULL fiber connection. The 10Gb ULL offering uses an ultra-low latency switch, which provides faster processing of messages sent to it in comparison to the switch used for the other types of connectivity. The Exchange currently assesses the following monthly network connectivity fees to both Members and non-Members for connectivity to the Exchange’s primary/secondary facility: (a) \$1,100 for the 1Gb connection; (b) \$5,500 for the 10Gb connection; and (c) \$8,500 for the 10Gb ULL connection. The Exchange also assesses to both Members and non-Members a monthly per connection network connectivity fee of \$500 for each 1Gb connection to the disaster recovery facility and a monthly per connection network connectivity fee of \$2,500 for each 10Gb connection to the disaster recovery facility.

The Exchange’s MIAX Express Network Interconnect (“MENI”) can be configured to provide Members and non-Members of the Exchange network connectivity to the trading platforms, market data systems, test systems, and disaster recovery facilities of both the Exchange and its affiliate, MIAX PEARL, LLC (“MIAX PEARL”), via a single, shared connection. Members and non-Members utilizing the MENI to connect to the trading platforms, market data systems, test systems and disaster recovery facilities of the Exchange and MIAX PEARL via a single, shared connection are assessed only one monthly network connectivity fee per connection, regardless of the trading platforms, market data systems, test systems, and disaster recovery facilities accessed via such connection.

The Exchange proposes to increase the monthly network connectivity fees for such connections for both Members and non-Members. The network connectivity fees for connectivity to the Exchange’s primary/secondary facility will be increased as follows: (a) From \$1,100 to \$1,400 for the 1Gb connection; (b) from \$5,500 to \$6,100 for the 10Gb

³ The term “Member” means an individual or organization approved to exercise the trading rights associated with a Trading Permit. Members are deemed “members” under the Exchange Act. See Exchange Rule 100.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83786 (August 7, 2018), 83 FR 40106 (August 13, 2018) (SR–MIAX–2018–19).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See Letter from Tyler Gellasch, Executive Director, The Healthy Markets Association, to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, dated September 4, 2018 (“Healthy Markets Letter”).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–84175 (September 17, 2018).

⁸ *Id.*

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

connection; and (c) from \$8,500 to \$9,300 for the 10Gb ULL connection. The network connectivity fees for connectivity to the Exchange's disaster recovery facility will be increased as follows: (a) From \$500 to \$550 for the 1Gb connection; and (b) from \$2,500 to \$2,750 for the 10Gb connection.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable and appropriate to increase its fees charged for use of its connectivity to partially offset increased costs associated with maintaining and enhancing a state-of-the-art exchange network infrastructure in the US options industry. The Exchange notes that other exchanges have similar connectivity alternatives for their participants, including similar low-latency connectivity. For example, Nasdaq PHLX LLC ("Phlx"), NYSE Arca, Inc. ("Arca"), NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American") and Nasdaq ISE, LLC ("ISE") all offer a 1Gb, 10Gb and 10Gb low latency ethernet connectivity alternatives to each of their participants.⁹ The Exchange further notes that Phlx, ISE, Arca and NYSE American each charge higher rates for such similar connectivity to primary and secondary facilities.¹⁰ Additionally, the Exchange's proposed connectivity fees to its disaster recovery facility are within the range of the fees charged by other exchanges for similar connectivity alternatives.¹¹ The Exchange believes that it is reasonable and appropriate to increase its fees charged for use of its connectivity to partially offset increased costs associated with maintaining and enhancing a state-of-the-art exchange network infrastructure in the US options industry.

In particular, the Exchange's increased costs associated with supporting its network are due to several factors, including increased costs associated with maintaining and expanding a team of highly-skilled network engineers, increasing fees

charged by the Exchange's third-party data center operator, and costs associated with projects and initiatives designed to improve overall network performance and stability, through the Exchange's R&D efforts. For example, the Exchange has had to hire additional network engineering staff in the last year, and plans to hire additional staff in the coming months. Further, the Exchange contracts with a third-party data center provider for its data center space. The Exchange does not operate its own data centers. Other exchange operators do operate their own data centers. Thus, they can better control data center costs. They also operate them as profit centers. Conversely, the Exchange is subject to fee increases from its data center provider, which the Exchange experienced in the last year. Further, the Exchange invests significant resources in network R&D to improve the overall performance and stability of its network. For example, the Exchange has a number of network monitoring tools (some of which were developed in-house, and some of which are licensed from third-parties), that continually monitor, detect, and report network performance, many of which serve as significant value-adds to the Exchange's Members and enable the Exchange to provide a high level of customer service. These tools detect and report performance issues, and thus enable the Exchange to proactively notify a Member (and the SIPs) when the Exchange detects a problem with a Member's connectivity. The costs associated with the maintenance and improvement of existing tools and the development of new tools resulted in increased cost to the Exchange. Certain recently developed network aggregation and monitoring tools provide the Exchange with the ability to measure network traffic with a much more granular level of variability. This is important as Exchange Members demand a higher level of network determinism and the ability to measure variability in terms of single digit nanoseconds. Also, the Exchange routinely conducts R&D projects to improve the performance of the network's hardware infrastructure. As an example, in the last year, the Exchange's R&D efforts resulted in a performance improvement in its network switches, requiring the purchase of new switching equipment, and thus resulting in increased costs. In sum, the costs associated with maintaining and enhancing a state-of-the-art exchange network infrastructure in the US options industry is a significant expense for the Exchange

that continues to increase, and thus the Exchange believes that it is reasonable to offset some of those increased costs by increasing its network connectivity fees, as proposed herein. Overall, the Proposed Fee Increases are projected to offset only a portion of the Exchange's increased network connectivity costs.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹² in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act¹³ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among Exchange Members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls. The Exchange also believes the proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁴ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customer, issuers, brokers and dealers.

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act because the fees assessed for connectivity allow the Exchange to cover the costs associated with providing and maintaining the necessary hardware and other infrastructure to support this technology. The Exchange believes that the proposal to increase the fees for connectivity alternatives is fair, equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory because the increased fees are assessed equally among all users of the applicable connections.

As discussed above, Phlx and ISE each offer different connections with respect to latency, and Arca and NYSE American both offer similar connectivity alternatives.¹⁵ Despite this, Phlx, ISE, Arca and NYSE American charge a higher fee than the Exchange currently charges for similar connections to primary and secondary facilities.¹⁶ Furthermore, the connectivity fees for the disaster recovery facilities of other exchanges are within the range of the proposed fees of the Exchange.¹⁷ For these reasons, the

⁹ See Phlx and ISE Rules, General Equity and Options Rules, General 8, Section 1(b). Phlx and ISE each charge a monthly fee of \$2,500 for each 1Gb connection, \$10,000 for each 10Gb connection and \$15,000 for each 10Gb Ultra connection, which the equivalent of the Exchange's 10Gb ULL connection. See also NYSE American Fee Schedule, Section V.B, and Arca Fees and Charges, Co-Location Fees. NYSE American and Arca each charge a monthly fee of \$5,000 for each 1Gb circuit, \$14,000 for each 10Gb circuit and \$22,000 for each 10Gb LX circuit, which the equivalent of the Exchange's 10Gb ULL connection.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ See Nasdaq ISE Schedule of Fees, IX(D) (charging \$3,000 for disaster recovery testing & relocation services); see also Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe") Fees Schedule, p. 14, Cboe Command Connectivity Charges (charging a monthly fee of \$2,000 for a 1Gb disaster recovery network access port and a monthly fee of \$6,000 for a 10Gb disaster recovery network access port).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁵ See *supra* note 9.

¹⁶ *Id.*

¹⁷ See *supra* note 11.

Exchange believes the proposed increase in the fees for the fiber connectivity to the Exchange is reasonable and not unfairly discriminatory.

The Exchange believes that the proposal to increase the fees for connectivity alternatives is fair, equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory because the increased fees will only partially offset the Exchange's increased costs associated with maintaining its network infrastructure. In particular, the Exchange's increased costs associated with supporting its network are due to several factors, including increased costs associated with maintaining and expanding a team of highly-skilled network engineers, increasing fees charged by the Exchange's third-party data center operator, and costs associated with projects and initiatives designed to improve overall network performance and stability, through the Exchange's R&D efforts. For example, the Exchange has had to hire additional network engineering staff in the last year, and plans to hire additional staff in the coming months. Further, the Exchange contracts with a third-party data center provider for its data center space. The Exchange does not operate its own data centers. Other exchange operators do operate their own data centers. Thus, they can better control data center costs. They also operate their data centers as profit centers. Conversely, the Exchange is subject to fee increases from its data center provider, which the Exchange experienced in the last year. Further, the Exchange invests significant resources in network R&D to improve the overall performance and stability of its network. For example, the Exchange has a number of network monitoring tools (some of which were developed in-house, and some of which are licensed from third-parties), that continually monitor, detect, and report network performance, many of which serve as significant value-adds to the Exchange's Members and enable the Exchange to provide a high level of customer service. These tools detect and report performance issues, and thus enable the Exchange to proactively notify a Member (and the SIPs) when the Exchange detects a problem with a Member's connectivity. The costs associated with the maintenance and improvement of existing tools and the development of new tools resulted in increased cost to the Exchange. Certain recently developed network aggregation and monitoring tools provide the Exchange with the ability to measure

network traffic with a much more granular level of variability. This is important as Exchange Members demand a higher level of network determinism and the ability to measure variability in terms of single digit nanoseconds. Also, the Exchange routinely conducts R&D projects to improve the performance of the network's hardware infrastructure. As an example, in the last year, the Exchange's R&D efforts resulted in a performance improvement in its network switches, requiring the purchase of new switching equipment, and thus resulting in increased costs. In sum, the costs associated with maintaining and enhancing a state-of-the-art exchange network infrastructure in the US options industry is a significant expense for the Exchange that continues to increase, and thus the Exchange believes that it is fair, equitable, and not unreasonably discriminatory to offset some of those increased costs by increasing its network connectivity fees, as proposed herein. Overall, the Proposed Fee Increases are projected to offset only a portion of the Exchange's increased network connectivity costs.

The Exchange also believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁸ because all MIAX Options participants have the opportunity to subscribe to the Exchange's connections. There is also no differentiation among MIAX Options participants with regard to the fees charged for these services.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. On the contrary, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes should increase both intermarket and intramarket competition. Specifically, the Exchange believes that the changes will promote competition by increasing the connectivity fees to become more within the range of comparable fees assessed by other competing exchanges.¹⁹

The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges. The Exchange believes that

the proposed changes reflect this competitive environment. To the extent that this purpose is achieved, all the Exchange's market participants should benefit from the improved market liquidity.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Suspension of the Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act,²⁰ at any time within 60 days of the date of filing of a proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Act,²¹ the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend the change in the rules of a self-regulatory organization ("SRO") if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. As discussed below, the Commission believes a temporary suspension of the proposed rule change is necessary and appropriate to allow for additional analysis of the proposed rule change's consistency with the Act and the rules thereunder.

Identical fee increases to those proposed herein were originally filed on July 31, 2018, and designated effective August 1, 2018.²² That proposal, MIAX-2018-19, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2018.²³ The Commission received one comment letter on that proposal.²⁴ On September 17, 2018, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act, the Commission: (1) Temporarily suspended the proposed rule change; and (2) instituted proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposal.²⁵ The instant filing proposes identical fees and raises similar concerns as to whether they are consistent with the Act.²⁶

When exchanges file their proposed rule changes with the Commission, including fee filings like the Exchange's present proposal, they are required to provide a statement supporting the proposal's basis under the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

²² See *supra* note 4, and accompanying text.

²³ See *supra* note 5, and accompanying text.

²⁴ See *supra* note 6.

²⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84175, 83 FR 47955 (September 21, 2018).

²⁶ See *id.*

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁹ See *supra* note 9.

applicable to the exchange.²⁷ The instructions to Form 19b-4, on which exchanges file their proposed rule changes, specify that such statement “should be sufficiently detailed and specific to support a finding that the proposed rule change is consistent with [those] requirements.”²⁸

Among other things, exchange proposed rule changes are subject to Section 6 of the Act, including Sections 6(b)(4), (5), and (8), which requires the rules of an exchange to (1) provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable fees among members, issuers, and other persons using the exchange’s facilities;²⁹ (2) perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, protect investors and the public interest, and not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers;³⁰ and (3) not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.³¹

In temporarily suspending the Exchange’s fee change, the Commission intends to further consider whether increasing certain connectivity fees to the Exchange is consistent with the statutory requirements applicable to a national securities exchange under the Act. In particular, the Commission will consider whether the proposed rule change satisfies the standards under the Act and the rules thereunder requiring, among other things, that an exchange’s rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable fees among members, issuers, and other persons using its facilities; not permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers; and do not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.³²

Therefore, the Commission finds that it is appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, and otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, to temporarily suspend the proposed rule changes.³³

²⁷ See 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (Item 3 entitled “Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change”).

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

³⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

³¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

³² See 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5), and (8), respectively.

³³ For purposes of temporarily suspending the proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

IV. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Change

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Sections 19(b)(3)(C)³⁴ and 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act³⁵ to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide additional comment on the proposed rule change to inform the Commission’s analysis of whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act,³⁶ the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for possible disapproval under consideration:

- Section 6(b)(4) of the Act, which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange “provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities.”³⁷
- Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be “designed to perfect the operation of a free and open market and a national market system” and “protect investors and the public interest,” and not be “designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers,”³⁸ and
- Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange “not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of [the Act].”³⁹

As noted above, the proposal increases connectivity fees for physical connections to the Exchange. The Exchange states that this fee increase would partially offset costs associated with providing and maintaining this technology.⁴⁰ In the instant filing the Exchange states that its increased costs relate to maintaining and expanding a team of highly-skilled network engineers, increasing fees charged by the Exchange’s third-party data center

³⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C). Once the Commission temporarily suspends a proposed rule change, Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act requires that the Commission institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) to determine whether a proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

³⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

³⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

³⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

³⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

⁴⁰ See *supra* Section II.A.1.

operator, and costs associated with projects and initiatives designed to improve overall network performance and stability.⁴¹

Under the Commission’s Rules of Practice, the “burden to demonstrate that a proposed rule change is consistent with the [Act] and the rules and regulations issued thereunder . . . is on the [SRO] that proposed the rule change.”⁴² The description of a proposed rule change, its purpose and operation, its effect, and a legal analysis of its consistency with applicable requirements must all be sufficiently detailed and specific to support an affirmative Commission finding,⁴³ and any failure of an SRO to provide this information may result in the Commission not having a sufficient basis to make an affirmative finding that a proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the applicable rules and regulations.⁴⁴

The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional consideration and comment on the issues raised herein, including as to whether the proposed fees are consistent with the Act, and specifically, with its requirements that exchange fees be reasonable and equitably allocated; be designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and the national market system, protect investors and the public interest, and not be unfairly discriminatory; or not impose an unnecessary or inappropriate burden on competition.⁴⁵

V. Commission’s Solicitation of Comments

The Commission requests written views, data, and arguments with respect to the concerns identified above as well as any other relevant concerns. Such comments should be submitted by October 31, 2018. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by November 14, 2018. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval which would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.⁴⁶

⁴¹ See *id.*

⁴² Rule 700(b)(3), Commission Rules of Practice, 17 CFR 201.700(b)(3).

⁴³ See *id.*

⁴⁴ See *id.*

⁴⁵ See 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5), and (8).

⁴⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2). Section 19(b)(2) of the Act grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate

The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency and merit of the Exchange's statements in support of the proposal, in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the proposed rule change. Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the proposed rule change, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-MIAX-2018-25 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAX-2018-25. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All

for consideration of a particular proposal by an SRO. See Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).

submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAX-2018-25 and should be submitted on or before October 31, 2018. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by November 14, 2018.

VI. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act,⁴⁷ that File Number SR-MIAX-2018-25 be and hereby is, temporarily suspended. In addition, the Commission is instituting proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴⁸

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assitant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-21921 Filed 10-9-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84351; File No. SR-NYSE-2018-30]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change To Provide for the Listing of Exchange Traded Products With No Component NMS Stock Listed on the Exchange, Delete Obsolete Listing Rules for Exchange Traded Products and Amend Rules Regarding Unlisted Trading Privileges

October 3, 2018.

I. Introduction

On June 15, 2018, the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("Exchange" or "NYSE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to provide for the listing of exchange traded products ("ETPs") that do not have any component NMS Stock³ listed on the Exchange, delete obsolete listing rules for ETPs, and amend rules regarding unlisted trading privileges ("UTP"). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 6, 2018.⁴ On July 24, 2018, the Exchange submitted partial Amendment No. 1 to

⁴⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

⁴⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(57) and (58).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ NMS Stock is defined in Rule 600 of Regulation NMS, 17 CFR 242.600(b)(47).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83560 (June 29, 2018), 83 FR 31585 ("Notice").

the proposed rule change. On August 16, 2018, the Commission extended the time period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁵ On August 23, 2018, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁶ The Commission received no comment letters on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

As described in more detail in the Notice,⁷ the Exchange proposes to: (1) Provide for the listing of certain ETPs, provided that an ETP meets the applicable requirements of NYSE Rules 5P and 8P and does not have any component NMS Stock that is listed on the Exchange or is based on, or represents an interest in, an underlying index or reference asset that includes an NMS Stock listed on the Exchange;⁸ (2) delete a sentence in NYSE Rule 5.1(a)(1) that is no longer relevant given the Exchange's addition of Section 303A to the Listed Company Manual,⁹ which requires all NYSE-listed companies, including any ETPs listed on the Exchange, to comply with Section 303A of the Listed Company Manual; (3) delete certain listing rules that would be superseded by the ETP listing and trading requirements proposed in NYSE Rules 5P and 8P; (4) delete all references in NYSE Rules 5P and 8P that imply

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83860, 83 FR 42534 (August 22, 2018).

⁶ Amendment No. 2 replaced and superseded the original filing, as modified by partial Amendment No. 1, in its entirety. In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange: (i) Provided background information regarding its Pillar platform and clarified that it would not be listing ETPs on its Pillar platform at this time but that it would announce via trader update when it plans to do so; (ii) designated as "Reserve" certain sections of the Listed Company Manual which rules are being deleted by this proposal; (iii) represented that the Commission approved Exchange listing rules that are substantially identical to those on NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") for certain ETPs; and (iv) made technical changes to the rule text and proposal. Because Amendment No. 2 does not materially alter the substance of the proposed rule change or raise unique or novel regulatory issues under the Act, it is not subject to notice and comment. Amendment No. 2 is available at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyse-2018-30/nyse201830-4274809-173136.pdf>.

⁷ See Notice, *supra* note 4.

⁸ The Exchange represents that its proposed rules for the qualification, listing, and trading of these products are substantially identical (other than certain non-substantive and technical amendments) as the rules of NYSE Arca and the Exchange's other affiliates, including NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American").

⁹ Section 303A implements the requirements of Rules 10A-3 and 10C-1 under the Act.