the name of your company), or (2) the list of whole or partial blocks that you are nominating. Information that is not labeled as privileged or confidential will be regarded by BOEM as suitable for public release.

8.2 Personal Identifying Information

BOEM does not consider anonymous comments; please include your name and address as part of your submittal. You should be aware that your entire comment, including your name, address, and your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. All submissions from identified individuals, businesses and organizations will be available for public viewing on regulations.gov. In order for BOEM to withhold from disclosure your personal identifying information, you must identify any information contained in the submittal of your comments that, if released, would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of your personal privacy. You must also briefly describe any possible harmful consequence of the disclosure of information, such as embarrassment, injury or other harm.

8.3 Section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470w–3(a))

BOEM is required, after consultation with the Secretary, to withhold the location, character, or ownership of historic resources if it determines that disclosure may, among other things, risk harm to the historic resources or impede the use of a traditional religious site by practitioners. Tribal entities should be regarded by BOEM as suitable for facilities and activities), and potential mitigation measures to be analyzed in the EIS, as well as provide additional information. BOEM will also use the NEPA commenting process to initiate the Section 106 consultation process under the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. 300101 et seq.), as permitted by 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3). Through this notice, BOEM additionally intends to inform its Section 106 consultation by seeking public comment and input regarding the identification of historic properties or potential effects to historic properties from activities associated with approval of the DWSF COP.

Pursuant to the regulations implementing NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), BOEM will hold public scoping meetings for the DWSF COP. BOEM’s scoping meetings will be held at the following places and times:
- Amagansett, New York: Monday, November 5, 2018; American Legion Post 419, 15 Montauk Highway (across from Brent’s), Amagansett, New York 11930; Open House 5:00–8:00 p.m.; Presentation and Q&A 6:00 p.m.
- New Bedford, Massachusetts: Wednesday, November 7, 2018; University of Massachusetts Dartmouth School for Marine Science and Technology East, 836 South Rodney French Boulevard, New Bedford, Massachusetts 02744; Open House 5:00–8:00 p.m.; Presentation and Q&A 6:00 p.m.
- Narragansett, Rhode Island: Thursday, November 8, 2018; Narragansett Community Center, 53 Mumford Road, Narragansett, Rhode Island 02882; Open House 5:00–8:00 p.m.; Presentation and Q&A 6:00 p.m.

Cooperating Agencies: BOEM invites other Federal agencies and state, tribal, and local governments to consider becoming cooperating agencies in the preparation of its EIS analyzing the proposed DWSF COP. According to Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) guidelines, qualified agencies and governments are those with “jurisdiction by law or special expertise.” Potential cooperating agencies should consider their authority and capacity to assume the responsibilities of a cooperating agency, and should be aware that an agency’s role in the environmental analysis neither enlarges nor diminishes the final decision-making authority of any other agency involved in the NEPA process. Upon request, BOEM will provide potential cooperating agencies with a written summary of expectations for cooperating agencies, including time schedules and critical action dates, milestones, responsibilities, scope and
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1138]

Certain LTE- and 3G-Compliant Cellular Communications Devices Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 14, 2018, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of INVIT SPE LLC of San Francisco, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,760,590 ("the ’590 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,206,587 ("the ’587 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,764,711 ("the ’711 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,848,439 ("the ’439 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 7,339,949 ("the ’949 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 15, 2018, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 3 and 4 of the ’590 patent; claim 4 of the ’587 patent; claims 1, 2, and 4 of the ’711 patent; claims 1–3 of the ’439 patent; and claim 16 of the ’949 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “personal electronic devices that are compliant with the LTE and/or 3G 3GPP specifications, and which enable LTE and/or 3G data transfer and communications”; and

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to

This document is a notice of investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, that was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) on September 14, 2018. The complaint was filed on behalf of INVIT SPE LLC of San Francisco, California, alleging violations of section 337 based on infringement of certain patents held by INVIT SPE LLC. The complaint requests an investigation to determine whether there is a violation of section 337 in the importation of certain products into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of these products. The ITC is tasked with determining if an industry in the United States exists and if there is a violation of section 337. The investigation will consider the accused products identified in the complaint, which are personal electronic devices that are compliant with the LTE and/or 3G 3GPP specifications, and which enable LTE and/or 3G data transfer and communications. A hearing or other evidence-taking process will be conducted, and the ITC will issue a final decision based on the investigation's findings.