

does not exceed or alter a design basis or safety limit. Therefore, granting this exemption does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

As all of the responses to the above questions are in the negative, under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i), the NRC staff has concluded that the requested exemption involves no significant hazards consideration.

The requested exemption does not alter the design, function, or operation of any plant equipment. There are no changes to effluent types, plant radiological or non-radiological effluent release quantities, any effluent release path, or the functionality of any design or operational features credited with controlling the release of effluents during plant operation or construction. Therefore, under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(ii), the NRC staff concludes that the proposed exemption does not involve a significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

There are no changes to plant radiation zones and no changes to controls required under 10 CFR part 20, which preclude a significant increase in occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(iii), the NRC staff concludes that the proposed exemption does not involve a significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure.

The requested exemption does not alter the design, function, or operation of any plant equipment. No change to the facility is being made as a result of this exemption. Therefore, under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(iv), the NRC staff concludes that the proposed exemption does not involve a significant construction impact.

The requested exemption does not alter the design, function, or operation of any plant equipment. There are no changes to plant radiation zones and no changes to controls required under 10 CFR part 20, which preclude a significant increase in occupational radiation exposure.

Therefore, under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(v), the NRC staff concludes that the proposed exemption does not involve a significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents.

The requested exemption involves reporting requirements related to the timing of using NRC-endorsed consensus standards on PRA which detail the initiating events and modes that must be covered in the PRA. Therefore, under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(vi)(B), the NRC staff concludes that the

proposed exemption involves a reporting requirement.

Based on the evaluation above, the NRC staff concludes that the exemption meets the criteria of 10 CFR 51.22(c). Therefore, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22(b), an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment is not required for the NRC staff's consideration of this exemption request.

#### IV. Conclusions

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants SNC an exemption from 10 CFR 50.71(h)(1) to modify the requirement for the level 1 and level 2 PRA for VEGP Units 3 and 4 to cover those initiating events and modes for which RG 1.200, Rev. 2, endorses standards.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of October 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael D. McCoppin,**

*Deputy Director (Acting), Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis, Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. 2018-23840 Filed 10-31-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

#### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52-025 and 52-026; NRC-2008-0252]

#### Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4, Equipment Survivability Assessment

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Exemption and combined license amendment; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is granting an exemption to allow a departure from the certification information of Tier 1 of the generic design control document (DCD) and is issuing License Amendment Nos. 139 and 138 to Combined Licenses (COLs), NPF-91 and NPF-92. The COLs were issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, MEAG Power SPVM, LLC, MEAG Power SPVJ, LLC, MEAG Power SPVP, LLC, and the City of Dalton, Georgia (collectively SNC); for construction and operation of the Vogtle

Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Units 3 and 4, located in Burke County, Georgia.

The granting of the exemption allows the changes to Tier 1 information asked for the amendment. Because the acceptability of the exemption was determined in part by the acceptability of the amendment, the exemption and amendment are being issued concurrently.

**DATES:** The exemption and amendment were issued on August 24, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2008-0252 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available, using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2008-0252. Address questions about *Regulations.gov* Docket IDs to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: [Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov](mailto:Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced. The request for the amendment and exemption was submitted by letter dated April 6, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18096B463).

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Kallan, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2809; email: [Paul.Kallan@nrc.gov](mailto:Paul.Kallan@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The NRC is granting exemptions from paragraph B of section III, "Scope and Contents," of appendix D, "Design

Certification Rule for the AP1000,” to part 52 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), and issuing License Amendment Nos. 139 and 138 to COLs, NPF–91 and NPF–92, to SNC. The exemptions are required by paragraph A.4 of section VIII, “Processes for Changes and Departures,” appendix D, to 10 CFR part 52 to allow SNC to depart from Tier 1 information. With the requested amendment, SNC proposes changes to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) in the form of departures from the incorporated plant-specific Design Control Document (DCD) Tier 2 information and related changes to the VEGP Units 3 and 4 COL and COL Appendix C (and corresponding plant-specific DCD Tier 1) information. Specifically, the requested amendment includes changes to the equipment survivability assessment requirements associated with hydrogen burns during beyond design basis accidents as described in the licensing basis documents, including COL Condition 2.D(12)(g)9 and plant-specific Tier 1 Sections 2.2.3 and 2.3.9.

Part of the justification for granting the exemptions was provided by the review of the amendments. Because the exemption is necessary in order to issue the requested license amendment, the NRC granted the exemptions and issued the amendments concurrently, rather than in sequence. This included issuing a combined safety evaluation containing the NRC staff’s review of both the exemption request and the license amendment. The exemptions met all applicable regulatory criteria set forth in sections 50.12, 10 CFR 52.7, and Section VIII.A.4 of appendix D to 10 CFR part 52. The license amendments were found to be acceptable as well. The combined safety evaluation is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18207A482.

Identical exemption documents (except for referenced unit numbers and license numbers) were issued to SNC for VEGP Units 3 and 4 (COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92). The exemption documents for VEGP Units 3 and 4 can be found in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML18207A476 and ML18207A477, respectively. The exemption is reproduced (with the exception of abbreviated titles and additional citations) in Section II of this document. The amendment documents for COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92 are available in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML18207A478 and ML18207A480, respectively. A summary of the amendment documents is provided in Section III of this document.

## II. Exemption

Reproduced below is the exemption document issued to VEGP Units 3 and Unit 4. It makes reference to the combined safety evaluation that provides the reasoning for the findings made by the NRC (and listed under Item 1) in order to grant the exemption:

1. In a letter dated April 6, 2018, SNC requested from the Commission an exemption from the provisions of 10 CFR part 52, appendix D, section III.B, as part of license amendment request (LAR) 18–001, “Equipment Survivability Assessment.”

For the reasons set forth in Section 3.1, “Evaluation of Exemption,” of the NRC staff’s safety evaluation, which can be found in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18207A482, the Commission finds that:

- A. The exemption is authorized by law;
- B. The exemption presents no undue risk to public health and safety;
- C. The exemption is consistent with the common defense and security;
- D. Special circumstances are present in that the application of the rule in this circumstance is not necessary to serve the underlying purpose of the rule;
- E. The special circumstances outweigh any decrease in safety that may result from the reduction in standardization caused by the exemption; and

F. The exemption will not result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.

2. Accordingly, SNC is granted an exemption from the certified DCD Tier 1 information, with corresponding changes to appendix C of the Facility Combined License as described in the licensee’s request dated April 6, 2018. This exemption is related to, and necessary for, the granting of License Amendment Nos. 139 (Unit 3) and 138 (Unit 4), which is being issued concurrently with this exemption.

3. As explained in Section 5.0, “Environmental Consideration,” of the NRC staff’s safety evaluation (ADAMS Accession No. ML18207A482), this exemption meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment needs to be prepared in connection with the issuance of the exemption.

4. This exemption is effective as of the date of its issuance.

## III. License Amendment Request

By letter dated April 6, 2018, SNC requested that the NRC amend the COLs

for VEGP, Units 3 and 4, COL Nos. NPF–91 and NPF–92. The proposed amendment is described in Section I of this **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission has determined for these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission’s rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission’s rules and regulations in 10 CFR chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

A notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to facility operating license or combined license, as applicable, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a hearing in connection with these actions, was published in the **Federal Register** on May 22, 2018 (83 FR 23738). No comments were received during the 30-day comment period.

The Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments.

## IV. Conclusion

Using the reasons set forth in the combined safety evaluation, the staff granted the exemption and issued the amendment that SNC requested on April 6, 2018. The exemptions and amendments were issued on August 24, 2018, as part of a combined package to SNC (ADAMS Accession No. ML18207A488).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of October, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Jennifer L. Dixon-Herrity,**

*Chief, Licensing Branch 4, Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis, Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. 2018–23869 Filed 10–31–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**