At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BOX–2017–38 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BOX–2017–38. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BOX–2017–38, and should be submitted on or before January 25, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.7
Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–82423; File No. SR–CboeEDGA–2017–004]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Market Data Fees

December 29, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 15, 2017, Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. ([the “Exchange” or “EDGA”] filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one establishing or changing a member due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder,4 which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the Market Data section of its fee schedule to lower the Internal Distribution 5 fees and to adopt per User fees for the Cboe One Summary Feed.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s website at www.markets.cboe.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Market Data section of its fee schedule to lower the fee for Internal Distribution and to adopt separate fees for Professional6 and Non-Professional Users7 for the Cboe One Summary Feed.

The Cboe One Feed is an optional data feed that disseminates, on a real-

5 A “Distributor” is defined as “any entity that receives the Exchange Market Data product directly from the Exchange or indirectly through another entity and then distributes it internally or externally to a third party.” See the Exchange’s fee schedule available at http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edge/.
6 A “Professional User” is defined as “any User other than a Non-Professional User.” See the Exchange’s fee schedule available at http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edge/.
7 A “Non-Professional User” is currently defined as “a natural person who is not: (i) registered or qualified in any capacity with the Commission, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, any state securities agency, any securities exchange or association, or any commodities or futures contract market or association; (ii) engaged as an “investment adviser” as that term is defined in Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (whether or not registered or qualified under that Act); or (iii) employed by a bank or other organization exempt from registration under federal or state securities laws to perform functions that would require registration or qualification if such functions were performed for an organization not so exempt.” Id. See SR–CboeEDGA–2017–001 (filed December 15, 2017) [amending the definition of Non-Professional User to harmonize it with that of its affiliate exchanges, Cboe Exchange, Inc. and C2 Exchange, Inc. as of January 2, 2018].
time basis, the aggregate best bid and offer ("BBO") of all displayed orders for securities traded on EDGA and its affiliated exchanges and for which they report quotes under the Consolidated Tape Association ("CTA") Plan or the Nasdaq/UTP Plan. The Cboe One Feed also contains the individual last sale information for the Cboe Equity Exchanges (collectively with the aggregate BBO, the "Cboe One Summary Feed"). In addition, the Cboe One Feed contains optional functionality which enables recipients to receive aggregated two-sided quotations from the Cboe Equity Exchanges for up to five (5) price levels ("Cboe One Premium Feed").

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule to lower the fee for Internal Distribution for the Cboe One Summary Feed and to adopt separate fees for Professional and Non-Professional Users. The Exchange does not propose to amend the fees for the Cboe One Premium Feed.

**Distribution Fees.** Currently, each Internal Distributor that receives the Cboe One Summary Feed is charged a fee of $10,000 per month. The Exchange now proposes to lower the fee for Internal Distribution to $1,500 per month.

**User Fees.** Like it does today for External Distributors, the Exchange proposes to adopt per User fees for Internal Distributors that receive the Cboe One Summary Feed. The Exchange currently charges External Distributors that redistribute the Cboe One Summary Feed different fees for their Professional Users and Non-Professional Users. Those fees are $10.00 per month for each Professional User and $0.25 per month for each Non-Professional User. The Exchange has not charged per User fees to Internal Distributors for the Cboe One Summary Feed. To offset the proposed reduction to the monthly Internal Distribution fee, the Exchange proposes to adopt per User fees for Internal Distribution, the amounts of each fee would be the same as the per User fees currently charged to External Distributors described above.

The Exchange also proposes to extend the current $50,000 per month Enterprise Fee available to External Distributors of the Cboe One Summary Feed to Internal Distributors. In lieu of per User fees, the Enterprise fee will permit Internal Distributors who redistribute the Cboe One Summary Feed to an unlimited number of internal Professional and Non-Professional Users for a set fee of $50,000 per month. For example, if an Internal Distributor had 15,000 Professional Users who each receive the Cboe One Summary Feed at $10.00 per month, then that Internal Distributor will pay $150,000 per month in Professional Users fees. Under the proposed Enterprise Fee, the Internal Distributor will pay a flat fee of $50,000 for an unlimited number of internal Professional and Non-Professional Users of the Cboe One Summary Feed. An Internal Distributor that pays the Enterprise Fee will not have to report its number of such Users (as set forth below) on a monthly basis. However, every six months, an Internal Distributor must provide the Exchange with a count of the total number of natural person users of each product, including both Professional and Non-Professional Users. Like for External Distributors, the Enterprise Fee for Internal Distributors would be in addition to the applicable Distribution Fee.

Like External Distributors of the Cboe One Summary Feed, Internal Distributors that receive the Cboe One Summary Feed will be required to count every Professional User and Non-Professional User to which they provide the Cboe One Summary Feed, the requirements for which are identical to that currently in place for External Distributors of the Cboe One Summary Feed and other market data products offered by the Exchange. Thus, the Internal Distributor’s count will include every person and device that accesses the data regardless of the purpose for which the individual or device uses the data. Internal Distributors must report all Professional and Non-Professional Users in accordance with the following:

- In connection with an Internal Distributor’s distribution of the Cboe One Summary Feed, the Internal Distributor must count as one User each unique User that the Internal Distributor has entitled to have access to the Cboe One Summary Feed. However, where a device is dedicated specifically to a single individual, the Internal Distributor must count only the individual and need not count the device.

The Internal Distributor must identify and report each unique User. If a User uses the same unique method to gain access to the Cboe One Summary Feed, the Internal Distributor must count that as one User. However, if a unique User uses multiple methods to gain access to the Cboe One Summary Feed (e.g., a single User has multiple passwords and user identifications), the Internal Distributor must report each of those methods as an individual User.

- Internal Distributors must report each unique individual person who receives access through multiple devices as one User so long as each device is dedicated specifically to that individual.

If an Internal Distributor entitles one or more individuals to use the same device, the Distributor must include only the individuals, and not the device, in the count.

**Implementation Date**

The Exchange intends to implement the proposed fees on January 2, 2018.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the objectives of Section 6 of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4), in particular, as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its members and other recipients of Exchange data. The Exchange believes that the proposed rates are equitable and non-discriminatory in that they apply uniformly to all recipients of Exchange data. The Exchange believes the proposed fees are competitive with those charged by other venues and, therefore, reasonable and equitably allocated to recipients.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 11(A) of the Act in that it supports (i) fair competition among

---

8 EDGA’s affiliated exchanges are Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. (“BYX”), Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”), and Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“BZX”), together with EDGX, EDGA, and BYX, the “Cboe Equity Exchanges”).


10 The Exchange also proposes a non-substantive, immaterial change to the fee table headings to conform to other heading within the Market Data Section of the fee schedule. In particular, the Exchange proposes to change the term “Distributor” to “Distribution” in both the Internal Distributor and External Distributor headings under the Cboe One Feed.


brokers and dealers, among exchange markets, and between exchange markets and markets other than exchange markets and (ii) the availability to brokers, dealers, and investors of information with respect to quotations for and transactions in securities. Furthermore, the proposed rule change is consistent with Rule 603 of Regulation NMS,15 which provides that

for and transactions in securities.

information with respect to quotations for or transactions in an NMS stock do so on terms that are not unreasonably discriminatory. In adopting Regulation NMS, the Commission granted self-regulatory organizations and broker-dealers increased authority and flexibility to offer new and unique market data to the public. It was believed that this authority would expand the amount of data available to consumers, and also spur innovation and competition for the provision of market data.

In addition, the proposed fees would not permit unfair discrimination because all of the Exchange’s customers and market data vendors who subscribe to the Cboe One Summary Feed will be subject to the proposed fees. The Cboe One Summary Feed is distributed and purchased on a voluntary basis, in that neither the Exchange nor market data distributors are required by any rule or regulation purchase this data or to make this data available. Accordingly, Distributors and Users can discontinue use at any time and for any reason, including due to an assessment of the reasonableness of fees charged. Firms have a wide variety of alternative market data products from which to choose, such as similar proprietary data products offered by other exchanges and consolidated data. Moreover, the

Exchange is not required to make any proprietary data products available or to offer any specific pricing alternatives to any customers.

In addition, the fees that are the subject of this rule filing are constrained by competition. As explained below in the Exchange’s Statement on Burden on Competition, the existence of alternatives to the Cboe One Summary Feed further ensure that the Exchange cannot set unreasonable fees, or fees that are unreasonably discriminatory, when vendors and subscribers can elect such alternatives. That is, the Exchange competes with other exchanges (and their affiliates) that provide similar market data products. For example, the Cboe One Summary Feed provides investors with alternative market data and competes with similar market data

product currently offered by other exchanges. If another exchange (or its affiliate) were to charge less to distribute its similar product than the Exchange charges to create the Cboe One Summary Feed, prospective Users likely would not subscribe to, or would cease subscribing to either market data product.

The Exchange notes that the Commission is not required to undertake a cost-of-service or rate-making approach. The Exchange believes that, even if it were possible as a matter of economic theory, cost-based pricing for non-core market data would be so complicated that it could not be done practically.16

The Exchange believes that lowering the Internal Distribution fee for the Cboe One Summary Feed is equitable and reasonable because the lower fee coupled with the adoption of per User fees is designed to provide a price structure for Internal Distributors that is competitive and attracts additional subscribers to each market data feed. The Exchange also believes that it is reasonable to charge a lower fee to Internal Distributors than External Distributors because External Distributors redistribute the data to their subscribers for a fee while Internal Distributors do not.

The Exchange believes that implementing the Professional and Non-Professional User fees for the Cboe One

Summary Feed are equitable and reasonable because they will result in greater availability to Professional and Non-Professional Users. The addition of per User fees also enables the fee for Internal Distribution, thereby lowering their overall costs where the number of Users they account for is low. Moreover, introducing a modest Non-Professional User fee is reasonable because it provides an additional method for Non-Professional investors to access the data by providing the same data that is available to Professional Users. The Exchange believes that the proposed fees are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they will be charged uniformly to Internal Distributors and Users. The Exchange notes that the amount of the per User fees for Internal Distribution equal those charged for External Distribution for the Cboe One Summary Feed.

The fee structure of differentiated Professional and Non-Professional fees is utilized by the Exchange for the Cboe One Feed and has long been used by other exchanges for their proprietary data products, and by the Nasdaq UTP and the CTA and CQ Plans in order to reduce the price of data to retail investors and make it more broadly available.17 Offering the Cboe One Summary Feed to Non-Professional Users with the same data available to Professional Users results in greater equity among data recipients.

The proposed expansion of the Enterprise Fee to Internal Distributors of the Cboe One Summary Feed is reasonable because it could result in a fee reduction for Internal Distributors with a large number of Professional and Non-Professional Users. If an Internal Distributor has a smaller number of Professional Users of the Cboe One Summary Feed, then it may continue using the per User structure. By reducing prices for Internal Distributors with a large number of Professional and Non-Professional Users, the Exchange believes that more Internal Distributors may choose to receive and to distribute the Cboe One Summary Feed, thereby expanding the distribution of this market data for the benefit of investors.

The Exchange further believes that the proposed Enterprise Fee is reasonable

15 The Exchange believes that cost-based pricing would be impractical because it would create enormous administrative burdens for all parties, including the Commission, to cost-regulate a large number of participants and standardize and analyze extraordinary amounts of data, account, and reports. In addition, it is impossible to regulate market data prices in isolation from prices charged by markets for other services that are joint products. Cost-based ratemaking would also lead to litigation and may distort incentives, including those to minimize costs and to innovate, leading to further waste. Under cost-based pricing, the Commission would be burdened with determining a fair rate of return, and the industry could experience frequent rate increases based on escalating expense levels. Even in industries historically subject to utility regulation, cost-based ratemaking has been discredited. As such, the Exchange believes that cost-based ratemaking would be inappropriate for proprietary market data and inconsistent with Congress’s direction that the Commission use its authority to foster the development of the national market system, and that market forces will continue to provide appropriate pricing discipline. See Appendix C to NYSE’s comments to the Commission’s 2000 Concept Release on the Regulation of Market Information Fees and Revenues, which can be found on the Commission’s website at http://www.sec.gov/rules/concept/s72899/buck1.htm. See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 73816 (December 11, 2014); 79 FR 75200 (December 17, 2014) (SR–NYSE–2014–64) (Notice Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Establish an Access Fee for the NYSE Best Quote and Trades Data Feed, Operative December 1, 2014).

16 The Exchange notes that the amount of the Enterprise Fee to Internal Distributors for the Cboe One Summary Feed is equitable and reasonable because the lower fees charged for the Exchange’s similar product than the Exchange’s Cboe One Feed are.

because it will simplify reporting for certain Internal Distributors that have large numbers of Professional and Non-Professional Users. Internal Distributors that pay the proposed Enterprise Fee will not have to report the number of Users on a monthly basis as they currently do, but rather will only have to count natural person users every six months, which is a significant reduction in administrative burden. Finally, the Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to establish an Enterprise Fee because it reduces the Exchange’s costs and the Distributor’s administrative burdens in tracking and auditing large numbers of Users.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. The Exchange believes that the proposed Enterprise Fee will provide an alternative market data and competes with the similar products currently offered by other exchanges.19

In addition, the Exchange notes the concerns regarding whether a competing vendor could create a similar product on the same price basis as the Exchange is not present here. The proposed changes are limited to fees for Internal Distributors who use the data for internal use only and not for the redistribution and sale to external parties.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act20 and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.21 At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–CboeEDGA–2017–004 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CboeEDGA–2017–004. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements in response to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are encouraged to submit comments electronically. Comments with respect to a proposed rule change received more than 60 days after the filing date may not be accepted for filing by the Commission.

**Footnotes:**


cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ChoeEDGA–2017–004 and should be submitted on or before January 25, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.22
Robert W. Errett, Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2017–28494 Filed 1–3–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 10251]

Notice of Public Meeting

The Department of State will conduct an open meeting at 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday, January 24, 2018, in Room 6K15–15 of the Douglas A. Munro Coast Guard Headquarters Building at St. Elizabeth’s, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20593. The primary purpose of the meeting is to prepare for the fifth session of the International Maritime Organization’s (IMO) Sub-Committee on Pollution Prevention and Response (PPR 5) to be held at the IMO Headquarters, United Kingdom, on February 5–9, 2018. The agenda items to be considered include:
—Adoption of the agenda
—Decisions of other IMO bodies
—Safety and pollution hazards of chemicals and preparation of consequential amendments to the IBC Code
—Review of MARPOL Annex II requirements that have an impact on cargo residues and tank washings of high viscosity and persistent floating products
—Revised guidance on ballast water sampling and analysis
—Revised guidance on methodologies that may be used for enumerating viable organisms
—Consideration of the impact on the Arctic of emissions of Black Carbon from international shipping
—Standards for shipboard gasification of waste systems and associated amendments to regulation 16 of MARPOL Annex VI
—Guidelines for the discharge of exhaust gas recirculation bleed-off water
—Revised certification requirements for SCR systems under the NOx Technical Code
—Review of the 2015 Guidelines for Exhaust Gas Cleaning Systems (resolution MEPC.259(68))
—Amendments to regulation 14 of MARPOL Annex VI to require a dedicated sampling point for fuel oil
—Consistent implementation of regulation 14.1.3 of MARPOL Annex VI
—Revised Guidelines for the application of MARPOL Annex I requirements to FPSOs and FSUs
—Review of the IBTS Guidelines and amendments to the IOPP Certificate and Oil Record Book
—Updated IMO Dispersant Guidelines (Part IV)
—Guide on practical methods for the implementation of the OPRC Convention and the OPRC–HNS Protocol
—Use of electronic record books
—Consideration of an initial proposal to amend annex 1 to the AFS Convention to include controls of cybutryne
—Unified interpretation to provisions of IMO environment-related Conventions
—Biennial status report and provisional agenda for PPR 6
—Election of Chair and Vice-Chair for 2019
—Any other business
—Report to the Marine Environmental Protection Committee

Members of the public may attend this meeting up to the seating capacity of the room. Upon request to the meeting coordinator, members of the public may also participate via teleconference, up to the capacity of the teleconference phone line. To access the teleconference line, participants should call (202) 475–4000 and use Participant Code: 887 809 72. To facilitate the building security process, and to request reasonable accommodation, those who plan to attend should contact the meeting coordinator, Mr. Patrick Keffler, by email at Patrick.Keffler@uscg.mil, by phone at (202) 372–1424, or in writing at 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. SE, Stop 7509, Washington DC 20593–7509, not later than January 17, 2018, five business days prior to the meeting. Requests made after January 17, 2018 might not be able to be accommodated. Please note that due to security considerations, two valid, government issued photo identifications must be presented to gain entrance to the Coast Guard Headquarters building. The building is accessible by taxi, public transportation, and privately owned conveyance (parking is available upon request).

Peter J. Ganser,
Senior Advisor, Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs, Department of State.
[FR Doc. 2017–28462 Filed 1–3–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 10250]

Notice of Renewal of the Charter of the Department of State’s Advisory Committee on Private International Law

The Department of State has renewed the Charter of the Advisory Committee on Private International Law. Through the Committee, the Department of State obtains the views of the public with respect to significant private international law issues that arise in international organizations of which the United States is a Member State, in international bodies in whose work the United States has an interest, or in the foreign relations of the United States. The Committee is comprised of representatives from other government agencies, representatives of national organizations, and experts and professionals active in the field of international law.

Comments should be sent to the Office of the Assistant Legal Adviser for Private International Law at PL@state.gov. Copies of the draft Charter may be obtained by contacting Tricia Smeltzer at smeltzerk@state.gov.

Michael S. Coffee,
Attorney-Adviser, Office of Private International Law, Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State.
[FR Doc. 2017–28461 Filed 1–3–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

United States Mint

Pricing Changes for 2018 United States Mint Numismatic Products

AGENCY: United States Mint, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

The United States Mint is announcing pricing changes for some 2018 United States Mint Numismatic Products. Please see the table below:

---