another in the trade between all ports in the U.S. on the one hand and ports in Libya and Lebanon on the other hand.

Proposed Effective Date: 11/20/2018.
Location: https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/20309.
Agreement No.: 201143–018.
Agreement Name: West Coast MTO Agreement.

Parties: APM Terminals Pacific LLC; Fenix Marine Services, Ltd.; Everport Terminal Services, Inc.; International Transportation Service, Inc.; LBCT LLC dba Long Beach Container Terminal LLC; Total Terminals International LLC; West Basin Container Terminal LLC; Pacific Maritime Services L.L.C.; SSAT (Pier A), LLC; Trapac LLC; Yusen Terminal Services, Inc.; International Fenix Marine Services, Ltd.; Everport Ocean Services Limited, Inc.

Filing Party: Wayne Rohde; Cozen O’Connor.

Synopsis: The amendment reflects the decision of Eagle Marine Services, Ltd. to change its name to Fenix Marine Services, Ltd. The amendment also corrects the names and/or addresses of Fenix and other parties to the Agreement.

Proposed Effective Date: 11/21/2018.
Location: https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/2090.
Agreement No.: 201285.
Agreement Name: Accordia Shipping LLC Space Charter Agreement.

Parties: Siem Car Carriers AS/Accordia Shipping LLC Space Charter Agreement.

Filing Party: Elizabeth Lowe; Venable LLP.

Synopsis: The Agreement authorizes the parties to charter space to each other in the trade between the United States and Mexico.

Proposed Effective Date: 11/21/2018.
Location: https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/20311.
Agreement No.: 201157–008.
Agreement Name: USMX–ILA Master Contract.

Parties: International Longshoremen’s Association, AFL–CIO and United States Maritime Alliance, Ltd.

Filing Party: William Spelman, The Upchurch Family Group, Bryan, Texas, (Robert E.L. Upchurch III, Trustees), to join the Upchurch Family Group, as a group acting in concert; to acquire shares of Bedias Financial Corporation and thereby acquire shares of First State Bank of Bedias, both of Bedias, Texas.

Filing Party: Wayne Rohde; Cozen O’Connor.

Synopsis: The amendment deletes Hamburg Sud as a party and replaces it with Maersk Line, changes the name of the Agreement and restates the Agreement.

Proposed Effective Date: 1/10/2019.
Location: https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/544.
Dated: November 26, 2018.
JoAnne D. O’Bryant,
Program Analyst.

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board’s Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)). The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than December 17, 2018.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas
(Robert L. Triplett III, Senior Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201–2272:

1. Mary Candice Barousse, Montgomery, Texas, individually and as trustee of the Candice U. Barousse Exempt Trust (“Notificant”) and Divin L. Upchurch, Bryan, Texas, Robert E.L. Upchurch, IV, Denton, Texas, the Robert E.L. Upchurch III Exempt Trust, Bedias, Texas, (Robert E.L. Upchurch, Trustee) the Candice U. Barousse Exempt Trust, Montgomery, Texas, and the estate of Kathryn D. Upchurch, (Notificant and Robert E.L. Upchurch III, Trustees), to join the Upchurch Family Group, as a group acting in concert; to acquire shares of Bedias Financial Corporation and thereby acquire shares of First State Bank of Bedias, both of Bedias, Texas.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of New York
(Robert H. McDermott, Assistant Secretary of the Board) 330 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10005–8394:

1. William Valente, Montauk, New York, individually and as trustee of the William Valente Revocable Trust, and Trudy Edgar, Montauk, New York, individually and as trustee of the Trudy Edgar Revocable Trust, Montauk, New York, to join the Valente Family Group, as a group acting in concert; to acquire shares of the Bank of Bedias, both of Bedias, Texas.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (“Commission” or “FTC”).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”). The FTC seeks public comments on its proposal to extend for an additional three years the current PRA clearance for information collection requirements contained in its Alternative Fuels Rule (“Rule”). That clearance expires on May 31, 2019.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before January 28, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write “Paperwork Comment: FTC File No. P134200” on your comment, and file your comment online at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/altfuelspra by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC–5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information requirements for the Alternative Fuels Rule should be directed to Hampton Newsome, Attorney, (202) 326–2889, Division of Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521, federal agencies must obtain approval from
OMB for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. “Collection of information” means agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. 44 U.S.C. 3502(3), 5 CFR 1320.3(c). As required by section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC is providing this opportunity for public comment before requesting that OMB extend the existing PRA clearance for the Alternative Fuels Rule, 16 CFR part 309 (OMB Control Number 3084–0094).

The Rule, which implements the Energy Policy Act of 1992, Public Law 102–486, and as revised by the Commission’s 2013 final amendments,1 requires disclosure of specific information on labels posted on fuel dispensers for non-liquid alternative fuels. To ensure the accuracy of these disclosures, the Rule also requires that sellers maintain records substantiating product-specific disclosures they include on these labels.

It is common practice for alternative fuel industry members to determine and monitor fuel ratings in the normal course of their business activities. This is because industry members must know and determine the fuel ratings of their products in order to monitor quality and to decide how to market them. “Burden” for PRA purposes is defined to exclude effort that would be expended regardless of any regulatory requirement. 5 CFR 1320.2(b)(2).

However, as originally anticipated when the Rule was promulgated in 1995, many of the information collection requirements and the originally estimated hours were associated with one-time start up tasks of implementing standard systems and processes. Other factors also limit the burden associated with the Rule. Certification may be a one-time event or require only infrequent revision. Disclosures on electric vehicle fuel dispensing systems may be useable for several years. Nonetheless, there is still some burden associated with posting labels. There also will be some minimal burden associated with new or revised certification of fuel ratings and recordkeeping.

I. Annual Hours Burden: 6,000 Hours

Recordkeeping: Affected industry (all non-liquid fuel producers, distributors, and retailers) comprised an estimated 20,000 + members, and staff estimates further that recordkeeping compliance requires approximately one-tenth of an hour each per year for a total of 2,000 hours.

Certification: Staff estimates that the Rule’s fuel rating certification requirements will affect approximately 400 industry members (compressed natural gas producers and distributors and manufacturers of electric vehicle fuel dispensing systems) and consume approximately one hour each per year for a total of 400 hours.

Labeling: Staff estimates that labeling requirements affect approximately nine of every ten industry retailers (or roughly 18,000 out of approximately 20,000 retailers), but that the number of annually affected members is approximately 3,600 because labels may remain effective for several years (staff assumes that in any given year approximately 20% of 18,000 industry retailers will need to replace their labels). Staff estimates that retailers require approximately one hour each per year for labeling their fuel dispensers for a total of 3,600 hours.

Thus, estimated total burden for non-liquid alternative fuels is 6,000 hours (400 + 2,000 + 3,600).

II. Labor Costs: $162,157

Labor costs are derived by applying appropriate hourly cost figures to the burden hours described and estimated above. According to Bureau of Labor Statistics data for 2017 (most recent available whole-year information),2 the average compensation for fuel system operators is $32.78 per hour; and $12.07 per hour for automotive service attendants. These are factored into the FTC’s estimates and assumptions below.

Recordkeeping: Staff estimates that only ⅔ of the total recordkeeping hours are performed by fuel system operators (⅔ of 2,000 hours = approximately 333 hours; 333 hours × $32.78 = $10,916) and that automotive service attendants account for the remaining ⅓ of recordkeeping hours (⅓ of 2,000 hours = approximately 1,667 hours; 1,667 hours × $12.07 = $20,121). Thus, the labor cost for recordkeeping for affected industry is approximately $31,037

Labeling: Staff estimates that labeling requirements affect approximately nine of every ten industry retailers (or roughly 18,000 out of approximately 20,000 retailers), but that the number of annually affected members is approximately 3,600 because labels may remain effective for several years (staff assumes that in any given year approximately 20% of 18,000 industry retailers will need to replace their labels). Staff estimates that retailers require approximately one hour each per year for labeling their fuel dispensers for a total of 3,600 hours.

Thus, estimated total burden for non-liquid alternative fuels is 6,000 hours (400 + 2,000 + 3,600).

II. Labor Costs: $162,157

Labor costs are derived by applying appropriate hourly cost figures to the burden hours described and estimated above. According to Bureau of Labor Statistics data for 2017 (most recent available whole-year information),2 the average compensation for fuel system operators is $32.78 per hour; and $12.07 per hour for automotive service attendants. These are factored into the FTC’s estimates and assumptions below.

Recordkeeping: Staff estimates that only ⅔ of the total recordkeeping hours are performed by fuel system operators (⅔ of 2,000 hours = approximately 333 hours; 333 hours × $32.78 = $10,916) and that automotive service attendants account for the remaining ⅓ of recordkeeping hours (⅓ of 2,000 hours = approximately 1,667 hours; 1,667 hours × $12.07 = $20,121). Thus, the labor cost for recordkeeping for affected industry is approximately $31,037

Labeling: Staff estimates that labeling requirements affect approximately nine of every ten industry retailers (or roughly 18,000 out of approximately 20,000 retailers), but that the number of annually affected members is approximately 3,600 because labels may remain effective for several years (staff assumes that in any given year approximately 20% of 18,000 industry retailers will need to replace their labels). Staff estimates that retailers require approximately one hour each per year for labeling their fuel dispensers for a total of 3,600 hours.

Thus, estimated total burden for non-liquid alternative fuels is 6,000 hours (400 + 2,000 + 3,600).

III. Non-Labor Cost Burden: $3,040

Staff believes there are no current start-up costs associated with the Rule, inasmuch as the Rule has been in effect since 1995. Industry members, therefore, have in place the capital equipment and means necessary to determine automotive fuel ratings and comply with the Rule. Industry members, however, incur the cost of procuring fuel dispenser labels to comply with the Rule.

The estimated annual fuel labeling cost, based on estimates of approximately 8,000 fuel dispensers (assumptions: An estimated 20% of 20,000 total fuel retailers need to replace labels in any given year with an approximate five-year life for labels—i.e., 4,000 retailers—multiplied by an average of two dispensers per retailer) at thirty-eight cents for each label (per industry sources), is $3,040 ($0.38 × 8,000).

IV. Request for Comment

Pursuant to Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC invites comments on: (1) Whether the recordkeeping and disclosure requirements are necessary, including whether the information will be practically useful; (2) the accuracy of our burden estimates, including whether the methodology and assumptions used are valid; (3) how to improve the quality, utility, and clarity of the disclosure requirements; and (4) how to minimize the burden of providing the required information to consumers.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the FTC to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before January 28, 2019. Write “Paperwork Comment: FTC File No. P134200” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission website at www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm. As a matter of discretion, the
Commission tries to remove individuals’ home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission website.

Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, we encourage you to submit your comments online, or to send them to the Commission by courier or overnight service. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/altfuelspra, by following the instructions on the web-based form. When this Notice appears at http://www.regulations.gov/#/home, you also may file a comment through that website.

If you file your comment on paper, write “Paperwork Comment: FTC File No. P134200” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail it to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 615–900 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Because your comment will be placed on the publicly accessible FTC website at https://www.ftc.gov/, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include any sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else’s Social Security number; date of birth; driver’s license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any “trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential”—as provided by Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)—including in particular competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted on the public FTC website—as legally required by FTC Rule 4.9(b)—we cannot redact or remove your comment from the FTC website, unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before January 28, 2019. For information on the Commission’s privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy.

Heather Hipplesley, Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2018–25964 Filed 11–28–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Common Formats for Patient Safety Data Collection

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice of availability—New Common Formats.

SUMMARY: As authorized by the Secretary of HHS, AHRQ coordinates the development of common definitions and reporting formats (Common Formats or formats) for reporting on health care quality and patient safety. The purpose of this notice is to announce the availability of Common Formats for Surveillance—Hospital Version 0.2 Beta.

DATES: Ongoing public input.

ADDRESSES: The Common Formats for Surveillance—Hospital Version 0.2 Beta can be accessed electronically at the following website: https://www.psooppc.gov/psooppc_web/publicpages/commonFormatsOverview.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Hamid Jalal, Center for Quality Improvement and Patient Safety, AHRQ, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857; Telephone (toll free): (866) 403–3697; Telephone (local): (301) 427–1111; TTY (toll free): (866) 438–7231; TTY (local): (301) 427–1130; Email: pso@ahrq.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background on Common Formats Development

The Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Act of 2005, 42 U.S.C. 299b–21 to 299b–26 (Patient Safety Act), and the related Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Final Rule, 42 CFR part 3 (Patient Safety Rule), published in the Federal Register on November 21, 2008, 73 FR 70731–70814, provide for the formation of Patient Safety Organizations (PSOs), which collect and analyze confidential and privileged information regarding the quality and safety of health care delivery that meets the definition of patient safety work product. Aggregation of these data enables PSOs and others to identify and address underlying causal factors of patient safety and quality issues.

The Patient Safety Act provides for the development of standardized reporting formats using common language and definitions to ensure that health care quality and patient safety data collected by PSOs and other entities are comparable. The Common Formats facilitate aggregation of comparable data at local, PSO, regional and national levels. In addition, the formats are intended to enhance the reporting of information that is standardized both clinically and electronically.

AHRQ has developed Common Formats for three settings of care—acute care hospitals, skilled nursing facilities and community pharmacies—for use by health care providers and PSOs. AHRQ-listed PSOs are required to collect patient safety work product in a standardized manner to the extent practical and appropriate; this is a requirement the PSO can meet by collecting such information using Common Formats. Additionally, providers and other organizations not working with an AHRQ-listed PSO can use the Common Formats in their work to improve quality and safety; however,