communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication.

Prohibited communications are included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not a part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become a part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such a request only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication shall serve the document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications are included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was made with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).

The following is a list of off-the-record communications recently received by the Secretary of the Commission. The communications listed are grouped by docket numbers in ascending order. These filings are available for electronic review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission’s website at http://www.ferc.gov using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>File date</th>
<th>Presenter or requester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ER18–1314–000</td>
<td>11–14–2018</td>
<td>Mass Mailing.¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ER18–1314–000</td>
<td>11–14–2018</td>
<td>Mass Mailing.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exempt:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CP18–102–000; CP18–103–000</td>
<td>11–20–2018</td>
<td>House Representative Stuart J. Bishop. FERC Staff.³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Thirty Seven letters have been sent to FERC Commissioners and staff under this docket number.
² Two Hundred Twenty Five letters have been sent to FERC Commissioners and staff under this docket number.
³ Phone Memorandum for call on November 15, 2018 with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Dated: November 27, 2018.
Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review of the D’Lo Gas Storage, LLC, D’lo Natural Gas Storage Project Amendment

On July 13, 2018, D’Lo Gas Storage, LLC (DGS) filed an application in Docket No. CP18–524–000 requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to construct and operate certain natural gas storage facilities. The proposed project is known as the D’Lo Natural Gas Storage Project Amendment (Project), and would allow DGS to modify its previously certificated project design for the D’Lo Gas Storage Project in Docket No. CP12–39–000 in Simpson and Rankin Counties, Mississippi.

On July 26, 2018, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) issued its Notice of Application for the Project. Among other things, that notice alerted agencies issuing federal authorizations of the requirement to complete all necessary reviews and to reach a final decision on a request for a federal authorization within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Project. This instant notice identifies the FERC staff’s planned schedule for the completion of the EA for the Project.

Schedule for Environmental Review

Issuance of EA—December 21, 2018
90-day Federal Authorization Decision Deadline—March 21, 2019

If a schedule change becomes necessary, additional notice will be provided so that the relevant agencies are kept informed of the Project’s progress.

Project Description

DGS is proposing the following amendments to the originally certificated project design:

- Elimination of the Gulf South Interconnect Lateral and Gulf South Meter Station facilities; and
- Relocation of Primary Source Water Wells #2 and #4 and Primary Brine Disposal Wells #2 and #4 approximately 0.4 mile south of their originally proposed locations.

Background

On August 27, 2018, the Commission issued a Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed D’Lo Natural Gas Storage Project Amendment and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues (NOI). The NOI was sent to affected landowners; federal, state, and local government agencies; elected officials; environmental and public interest groups; Native American tribes; other interested parties; and local libraries and newspapers. In response to the NOI, the Commission received one comment from the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks. The primary issues raised by the commenter are impacts on state or federally listed species and species of special concern that may occur in the Project area. All substantive comments will be addressed in the EA.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


Proposed CERCLA Administrative Settlement Agreement; A&L Iron and Metal Company, Inc.

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement and request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hereby gives notice of a proposed Administrative Settlement Agreement (Settlement) pertaining to collection of Past Response Costs for a Fund-lead Removal Action occurring between 2014 and 2015, at an approximately 16-acre former industrial equipment manufacturing facility in Saginaw (Saginaw County), Michigan, the Baker Perkins Superfund Site (“Site”). The Settlement requires A&L Iron and Metal Company, Inc. (“A&L”) to pay $1,611,788.29 [plus an additional sum for interest on that amount calculated from March 31, 2018 through the date of payment to EPA] for EPA’s Past Response Costs within 45 days of the Effective Date of the Settlement, in return for a covenant against any and all liability for EPA Response Costs at the Site, and contribution protection against any and all other liable parties.

DATES: Comments must be post marked or received on or before January 2, 2019.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The proposed settlement agreement and related site documents can be viewed at the Superfund Records Center, (SRC–7J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604, (312) 886–4465 and on-line at www.epa.gov/superfund/baker_perkins.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Further information or a copy of the Settlement may be obtained from either Thomas P. Turner, Office of Regional Counsel (C–14J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 W Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6613 or turner.thomas@epa.gov or Superfund Division Enforcement Specialist Mike Rafati, Superfund Division (SR–5J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 W Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–0390 or rafati.michael@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background Information

In accordance with Section 122 (i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9622 (i), notice is hereby given of a proposed Settlement pertaining to the Baker Perkins Superfund Site in Saginaw, Saginaw County, Michigan, with the following settling party: A&L Iron and Metal Company, Inc. The Settlement requires A&L to pay $1,611,788.29 [plus an additional sum for interest on that amount calculated from March 31, 2018 through the date of payment to EPA] for EPA’s Past Response Costs within 45 days of the Effective Date of the Settlement.

The Settlement includes an EPA covenant not to sue the settling party: A&L Iron and Metal Company, Inc. (“A&L”). The Settlement is effective as of March 31, 2018.

II. Opportunity To Comment

A. General Information

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the Settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the Settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

B. Where do I send my comments or view responses?

Your comments should be mailed to Mike Rafati, Superfund Division (SR–5J), U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 W Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, or rafati.michael@epa.gov. The Agency’s response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the Superfund Records Center.

C. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. Submitting Confidential Business Information (CBI). Do not submit such information to EPA through an agency website or via email. Clearly mark the part or all the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD–ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments. When submitting comments, remember to:

• Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (site name, Federal Register date and page number).

• Follow directions—the agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

• Explain why you agree or disagree with the terms of the Settlement; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

• Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.

• If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

• Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.

• Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.