non-convertible preferred stocks, warrants and Work Out Securities.

The Exchange accordingly believes that it is appropriate and in the public interest to approve listing and trading of Shares of the Fund on the Exchange notwithstanding that the Fund would not meet the requirements of Commentary .01(a)(1), (a)(2), (b)(4) and (b)(5) to Rule 8.600–E. The Exchange notes that, other than Commentary .01(a)(1), (a)(2), (b)(4) and (b)(5) to Rule 8.600–E, the Fund’s portfolio will meet all other requirements of Rule 8.600–E.

The proposed rule change is designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest in that it will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional type of actively managed ETF that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace. As noted above, the Exchange has in place surveillance procedures relating to trading in the Shares and may obtain information via ISG from other exchanges that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has entered into a CSSA. In addition, as noted above, investors have ready access to information regarding the Fund’s holdings, the PIV, the Disclosed Portfolio, and quotation and last sale information for the Shares.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional type of actively managed ETF that principally holds fixed income securities and that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEArca–2018–82 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2018–82. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2018–82, and should be submitted on or before December 27, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 32

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.
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AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of application for an order approving the substitution of certain securities pursuant to section 26(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act").

APPLICANTS: American Fidelity Assurance Company (the "Insurance Company"), American Fidelity Separate Account B and American Fidelity Separate Account C (each, a "Separate Account" and together, the "Separate Accounts"). Together, the Insurance Company and the Separate Accounts are referred to as the "Applicants."

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants seek an order pursuant to section 26(c) of the 1940 Act approving the substitution of shares of American Funds IS Blue Chip Income and Growth Fund (the "American Funds Blue Chip Fund") and Dreyfus VIF Opportunistic Small Cap Portfolio (the "Dreyfus Small Cap Fund,") and together with the American Funds Blue Chip Fund, the "Replacement Funds"), respectively, for shares of BlackRock Basic Value V.I. Fund (the "BlackRock Basic Value Fund"), and BlackRock Advantage U.S. Total Market V.I. Fund (the "BlackRock Total Market Fund," and together with the BlackRock Basic Value Fund, the "Existing Funds"), respectively, held by the Separate Accounts (the "Substitution"), to support the Separate Accounts’ variable annuity contracts (each, a "Contract" and collectively, the "Contracts") that are issued by the Insurance Company.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on September 26, 2017, and amended


HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Secretary of the Commission and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on December 24, 2018, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0–5 under the 1940 Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090; Applicants: Christopher T. Kenney, General Counsel, American Fidelity Assurance Company, P.O. Box 73125, Oklahoma City, OK 73125–0523.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Asen Parachkevov, Senior Counsel, or Andrea Ottomanelli Magovern, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel’s Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s website by searching for the file number, or an Applicant using the Company name box, at http:// www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Applicants’ Representations

1. The Insurance Company is a stock life insurance company incorporated under the laws of Oklahoma. The Insurance Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Fidelity Assurance Company, which is a Nevada corporation that is controlled by a family investment partnership. The Insurance Company is the depositor of the Separate Accounts.

2. Each of the Separate Accounts is a segregated asset account of the Insurance Company, and each Separate Account is registered with the Commission under the 1940 Act as a unit investment trust. The Separate Accounts also result in the Insurance Company to issue the Contracts. The Separate Accounts meet the definition of “separate account” contained in Section 2(a)(37) of the 1940 Act. The assets of the Separate Accounts are held in the Insurance Company’s name on behalf of the Separate Accounts and legally belong to the Insurance Company.

3. The Insurance Company established Separate Account B to hold the assets that underlie the AFAdvantage® Variable Annuity contracts, and established Separate Account C to hold the assets that underlie the AFMaxx® 457(b) Group Variable Annuity contracts. Separate Account B offers individual contracts, and Separate Account C offers group contracts. Separate Accounts B and C are divided into 12 sub-accounts, and each sub-account invests in the securities of a single underlying mutual fund.

4. Interests under the Contracts are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “1933 Act”). The prospectus for each of the Contracts contains a provision reserving the Insurance Company’s right to substitute another eligible investment option for any one of the portfolios available under the Contract. Each Contract permits each contract owner or participant in a group account (each, a “Contract Owner”) to transfer Contract value from one sub-account to another sub-account available under the Contract at any time, subject to certain restrictions and charges described in the prospectuses for the Contracts. None of the Contract restrictions, limitations or transfer fees will apply in connection with the Substitution. The application sets forth the registration statement file numbers for the Contracts and the Separate Accounts.

5. The Applicants propose to substitute shares of each of the Replacement Funds for shares of the corresponding Existing Fund held by the Separate Accounts. The investment adviser for the American Funds Blue Chip Fund is Capital Research and Management Company. The investment adviser for the Dreyfus VIF Small Cap Fund is the Dreyfus Corporation. The Replacement Funds are advised by registered investment advisers that are not affiliates of the Applicants. Comparisons of the investment objectives, investment strategies, principal risks and past performance of the Existing Funds and Replacement Funds are included in the application.

6. Applicants state that they are seeking the Substitution because the BlackRock Total Market Fund (f/k/a BlackRock Value Opportunities Fund (the “BlackRock Value Opportunities Fund”)) made material changes to its investment objectives and policies effective in June 2017. Due to the changes to its investment objectives, the fund is no longer categorized as a small cap fund. Additionally, the fund’s entire portfolio management team was replaced with a new team that has a new investment process. As a result of these changes, Separate Account investors who originally invested in the BlackRock Value Opportunities Fund are now invested in a fund with new investment objectives, a new management team and new investment processes. The Applicants are seeking the Substitution in order to replace BlackRock Total Market Fund with a fund that more closely resembles the original BlackRock Value Opportunities Fund in which the Separate Account participants originally chose to invest.

7. The Applicants also are seeking the Substitution to replace shares of the BlackRock Basic Value Fund with shares of the American Funds Blue Chip Fund. Applicants have an ongoing relationship with American Funds, and the Separate Accounts currently offer another American Funds product in their portfolio line-up. Applicants prefer to build on their existing relationship with American Funds by adding the American Funds Blue Chip Fund as the large cap investment option offered under the Contracts in place of the BlackRock Basic Value Fund.

8. The Applicants have analyzed the proposed Substitution and have determined that the objectives and strategies of each of the Replacement Funds are substantially similar to the objectives and strategies of the corresponding Existing Fund, such that the essential objectives and risk expectations of those Contract Owners with interests in sub-accounts of the Existing Funds and Replacement Funds will continue to be met after the Substitution. Additionally, the total annual expenses of the American Funds Blue Chip Fund (0.41%) are less than those of the corresponding Existing Fund (0.84%); and the total annual expenses of the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund (0.86%) are less than those of the corresponding Existing Fund (1.01%). The Substitution of the American Funds Blue Chip Fund (0.41%) in place of the BlackRock Basic Value Fund (0.73%) will result in a decreased net expense ratio. Due to expense waivers by the BlackRock Total Market Fund,
however, the Substitution of the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund (0.86%) in place of the BlackRock Total Market Fund (0.55% after June 12, 2017: 0.92% before June 12, 2017) will not result in decreased net expense ratios. The application sets forth the fees and expenses of each Existing Fund and its corresponding Replacement Fund in greater detail.

9. Applicants represent that as of the Substitution Date (defined below), the Separate Accounts will redeem shares of the Existing Portfolios for cash. Redemption requests and purchase orders will be placed simultaneously so that Contract values will remain fully invested at all times.

10. Each Substitution will take place at the relative net asset values of the respective shares (in accordance with section 22(c) of the 1940 Act and rule 22c–1 thereunder) without the imposition of any transfer or similar charges by Applicants. The Substitution will be effected with no change in the amount or value of any Contract held by Contract Owners whose assets are allocated to the Replacement Funds as part of the Substitution (the “Affected Contract Owners”).

11. The Substitution is designed to provide Contract Owners with the ability to continue their investment in a similar investment option without interruption and at no additional cost to them. In this regard, the Insurance Company has agreed to bear all expenses incurred in connection with the Substitution and related filings and notices, including legal, accounting, brokerage, and other fees and expenses. The Contract values of the Contract Owners impacted by the Substitution will not change on the date of the Substitution as a result of the Replacement Funds replacing the Existing Funds.

12. The proposed Substitution will not cause the Contract fees and charges currently being paid by Contract Owners to be greater after the proposed Substitution than before the proposed Substitution. No brokerage commissions, fees or other remuneration will be paid by either the Existing Funds or the Replacement Funds or by Contract Owners in connection with the Substitution. The terms of the benefits available under the Contracts will not change as a result of the proposed Substitutions. The Substitution will not result in adverse tax consequences to Affected Contract Owners and will not alter any tax benefits associated with the Contracts and no tax liability will arise for the Affected Contract Owners as a result of the Substitution.

13. At least 30 days prior to the Substitution Date, Contract Owners will be notified, via prospectus supplements, that Applicants received or expect to receive Commission approval of the proposed Substitution and of the anticipated date of implementation of the proposed Substitution (the “Substitution Date”), and such supplements, the (“Pre-Substitution Notice”). Pre-Substitution Notices sent to Contract Owners will be filed with the Commission pursuant to rule 497(e) under the 1933 Act. The Pre-Substitution Notice will advise Contract Owners that, for at least 30 days before the Substitution Date through at least 30 days after the Substitution Date, (i) Affected Contract Owners may make at least one transfer of Contract value from the subaccount investing in the respective Existing Fund (before the Substitution Date) or the corresponding Replacement Fund (after the Substitution Date) to any other available investment option under the Contract without charge, and (ii) that, except with respect to market timing/short-term trading, the Applicants will not exercise any right they may have under the Contracts to impose restrictions on transfers between subaccounts under the Contract... In addition, Affected Contract Owners will receive a prospectus for the applicable Replacement Fund at least 30 days before the Substitution Date.

14. In addition to the Pre-Substitution Notices distributed to the Contract Owners, within five business days of the Substitution Date, Affected Contract Owners will be sent a written confirmation that will include: (1) A confirmation that the Substitution was carried out as previously notified, (2) a notice reiterating the information set forth in the Pre-Substitution Notice, and (3) the values of the Contract Owner’s positions in the Existing Fund before the Substitution and the Replacement Fund after the Substitution.

Legal Analysis

1. Applicants request that the Commission issue an order pursuant to section 26(c) of the 1940 Act approving the proposed Substitution. Section 26(c) of the 1940 Act prohibits any depositor or trustee of a registered unit investment trust that invests exclusively in the securities of a single issuer from substituting the securities of another issuer without the approval of the Commission. Section 26(c) provides that such approval shall be granted by order from the Commission if the evidence establishes that the substitution is consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes of the 1940 Act.

2. Applicants submit that the Substitution meets the standards set forth in section 26(c) and that, if implemented, the Substitution would not raise any of the concerns that Congress intended to address when the 1940 Act was amended to include this provision. Applicants state Substitution of the American Funds Blue Chip Fund in place of the BlackRock Basic Value Fund will result in decreased net expense ratios for investors in the BlackRock Basic Value Fund. Thus, the Substitution protects the Contract Owners who are invested in the BlackRock Basic Value Fund by providing a replacement fund that (1) is substantially similar to the Existing Fund, and (2) reduces net operating expenses.

3. Applicants submit that, although the Substitution of the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund in place of the BlackRock Total Market Fund will not result in decreased net expense ratios because of expense waivers by the BlackRock Total Market Fund that were implemented as of June 12, 2017 when the fund changed its investment strategy from a small cap strategy to an all cap strategy, the proposed Substitution will result in Contract Owners holding shares of a fund that has investment objectives and policies that are substantially similar to the corresponding Existing Fund, prior to the investment strategy changes. Therefore, the Substitution of the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund in place of the BlackRock Total Market Fund is consistent with the protection of Contract Owners and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the 1940 Act and, thus, meets the standards necessary to support an order pursuant to Section 26(c) of the 1940 Act.

4. The Insurance Company has reserved the right under each of the Separate Account’s Contracts to substitute shares of another underlying mutual fund for one of the current underlying mutual funds offered as an investment option under the Contracts. The Contract prospectuses disclose this right.
5. Applicants submit that the Substitution will provide Contract Owners with a comparable investment vehicle which will not circumvent Contract Owner-initiated decisions and the Insurance Company’s obligations under the Contracts, and will enable Contract Owners to continue to use the full range of applicable Contract features as they currently use them. The Substitution will have no impact on the Contract Owners’ rights or privileges under the Contracts.

6. Applicants submit that the proposed Substitution is not the type of costly forced redemption that section 26 was designed to prevent. The Contracts provide Contract Owners with investment discretion to allocate and reallocate their Contract values among the available sub-accounts that invest in the underlying mutual fund investment options. Applicants submit that, after the proposed Substitution, ten investment options will be offered under the Separate Account Contracts, and as such, the likelihood of a Contract Owner being forced into an undesired underlying mutual fund is minimized because the Contract Owners are able to select from ten investment options that have a full range of investment objectives, investment strategies and managers. Applicants further state that the proposed Substitution is designed to provide Contract Owners with the foregoing benefits while enabling them to continue their investment in a similar investment option without interruption and at no additional cost to them.

7. The proposed transactions will take place at relative net asset value in conformity with the requirements of section 22(c) of the 1940 Act and rule 22c–1 thereunder without the imposition of any transfer or similar charges by the Applicants. The Substitution will be effected without change in the amount or value of any Contract held by the Affected Contract Owners. The Substitution will in no way alter the tax treatment of Affected Contract Owners in connection with their Contracts, and no tax liability will arise for Affected Contract Owners as a result of the Substitution.

8. The obligations of the Applicants and the rights of the Affected Contract Owners under the Contracts will not be altered in any way. The Substitution will not adversely affect any riders under the Contracts.

9. Affected Contract Owners will be permitted to make at least one transfer of Contract value from the subaccount investing in the respective Existing Fund (before the Substitution Date) or the corresponding Replacement Fund (after the Substitution Date) to any other existing Investment Funds in conformity with section 22(c) of the 1940 Act and rule 22c–1 thereunder without the imposition of any transfer or similar charges by Applicants. The Substitution will be effected without change in the amount or value of any Contracts held by Affected Contract Owners.

10. Applicants agree that for those Contracts with assets allocated to the BlackRock Total Market Fund on the Substitution Date, for a period of one year following the Substitution Date, the Insurance Company or any affiliate thereof will reimburse, at least as frequently as the last business day of each fiscal quarter, the Contract Owners whose subaccounts invest in the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund to the extent that the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund’s net annual operating expenses (taking into account fee waivers and expense reimbursements) for such period exceed, on an annualized basis, the net annual operating expenses of the BlackRock Total Market Fund for the most recent fiscal year preceding the date of the most recently filed application. The Insurance Company will not increase the Contract fees and charges that would otherwise be assessed under the terms of the

Applicants’ Conditions

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:

1. The proposed Substitution will not be effected unless the Insurance Company determines that: (a) The Contracts allow the substitution of shares of registered open-end investment companies in the manner contemplated by the application; (b) the Substitution can be consummated as described in the application under applicable insurance laws; and (c) any regulatory requirements in each jurisdiction where the Contracts are qualified for sale have been complied with to the extent necessary to complete the Substitution.

2. The Insurance Company or its affiliates will pay all expenses and transaction costs of the Substitution, including legal and accounting expenses, any applicable brokerage expenses and other fees and expenses. No fees or charges will be assessed to the Affected Contract Owners to effect the Substitution. The proposed Substitution will not cause the Contract fees and charges currently being paid by Contract Owners to be greater after the proposed Substitution than before the proposed Substitution.

3. The Substitution will be effected at the relative net asset values of the respective shares of the Replacement Funds in conformity with section 22(c) of the 1940 Act and rule 22c–1 thereunder without the imposition of any transfer or similar charges by Applicants. The Substitution will be effected without change in the amount or value of any Contracts held by Affected Contract Owners.

4. The Substitution will in no way alter the tax treatment of Affected Contract Owners in connection with their Contracts, and no tax liability will arise for Affected Contract Owners as a result of the Substitution.

5. Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:

6. Affected Contract Owners will be permitted to make at least one transfer of Contract value from the subaccount investing in the respective Existing Fund (before the Substitution Date) or the corresponding Replacement Fund (after the Substitution Date) to any other available investment option under the Contract without charge for a period beginning at least 30 days before the Substitution Date through at least 30 days following the Substitution Date.

7. All Affected Contract Owners will be notified at least 30 days before the Substitution Date about: (a) The intended substitution of the Existing Funds with the Replacement Funds; (b) the intended Substitution Date; and (c) information with respect to transfers as set forth in Condition 6 above. In addition, the Applicants will deliver to all Affected Contract Owners, at least thirty (30) days before the Substitution Date, a prospectus for the applicable Replacement Fund.

8. The Applicants will deliver to each Affected Contract Owner within five (5) business days of the Substitution Date a written confirmation which will include: (a) A confirmation that the Substitution was carried out as previously notified; (b) a restatement of the information set forth in the Pre-Substitution Notice; and (c) the values of the Contract Owners’ positions in the Existing Funds before the Substitution and the Replacement Funds after the Substitution.

9. Applicants and their affiliates will not receive, for three years from the Substitution Date, any direct or indirect benefits from the Replacement Funds, their investment advisers or underwriters (or their affiliates), in connection with assets attributable to Contracts affected by the Substitution, at a higher rate than they had received from the Existing Funds, their investment advisers or underwriters (or their affiliates), including without limitation 12b–1 fees, shareholder service, administrative or other service fees, revenue sharing, or other arrangements.

10. Applicants agree that for those Contracts with assets allocated to the BlackRock Total Market Fund on the Substitution Date, for a period of one year following the Substitution Date, the Insurance Company or any affiliate thereof will reimburse, at least as frequently as the last business day of each fiscal quarter, the Contract Owners whose subaccounts invest in the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund to the extent that the Dreyfus Small Cap Fund’s net annual operating expenses (taking into account fee waivers and expense reimbursements) for such period exceed, on an annualized basis, the net annual operating expenses of the BlackRock Total Market Fund for the most recent fiscal year preceding the date of the most recently filed application. The Insurance Company will not increase the Contract fees and charges that would otherwise be assessed under the terms of the
Contracts for a period of at least one year following the Substitution Date.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman, 
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–26384 Filed 12–4–18; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Directed Market Makers and Primary Market Makers

November 30, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on November 27, 2018, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules relating to Directed Market Makers and Primary Market Makers. Particularly, the Exchange proposes to (1) rename "Directed Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers", (2) clarify the applicable participation entitlements when a market participation is both a Directed Market Maker and Primary Market Maker, and (3) amend the definition of small size orders.

The Exchange first proposes to update the names of "Directed Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers". Specifically, the Exchange proposes to replace all references to "Directed Market Makers" to "Preferred Market Makers" (or "PMMs") and make a corresponding change to replace references to "Directed Orders" to "Preferred Orders." The Exchange also proposes to replace all references to "Primary Market Makers" to "Designated Primary Market Makers" (or "DPMs"). The Exchange notes the proposed name changes conforms its terminology with respect to these types of Market Makers to the terminology used by its affiliated exchange, Cboe Options, for similar market participants.3 The Exchange notes that Directed Market Makers and Primary Market Makers will be referred to herein as "PMMs" and "DPMs", respectively.

Next, the Exchange proposes to provide in the rules which participation entitlement applies in the event an order is preferred to a DPM (i.e., the DPM is also the PMM) and both PMM and DPM participation entitlements are in effect. Although not explicitly specified in the rules, currently, if a DPM is also the PMM, the PMM entitlements apply. The Exchange proposes to expressly provide under Rule 21.18(b)(1) that, going forward, if the DPM is also a PMM with respect to an incoming order, that PMM/DPM will be treated as a DPM and the DPM participation entitlements under paragraph (g) of Rule 21.8 will apply to that order. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is appropriate given a DPM’s heightened quoting obligations.4 Put another way, the Exchange believes that a DPM that is preferred on an order should not be subject to a potentially lesser entitlement just because that DPM happened to also be preferred.5 Moreover, the Exchange believes that it is appropriate to provide the DPM entitlements when the DPM is also designated as a PMM as the obligations that the DPM has to the market are not diminished when it receives a Preferred Order.

The Exchange lastly proposes to amend the definition of a small size order. More specifically, Rule 21.8(g)(2) provides that small size orders are allocated in full to the DPM if the DPM has a priority quote at the NBBO. The rule also provides that small size orders are defined as five (5) or fewer contracts. The Exchange proposes to provide that in order to qualify as a small size order, the incoming order must be a size of five or fewer contracts (i.e., the size of the original order determines whether the definition is met, not the number of contracts remaining after customer orders have been satisfied). The Exchange notes that a similar preference is given for small orders on Cboe Options as well as other exchanges and that such preference is based on the original size of the order.6

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules related to Directed Market Makers and Primary Market Makers. Particularly, the Exchange proposes to (1) rename “Directed Market Makers” and “Primary Market Makers”, (2) clarify the applicable participation entitlements when a market participation is both a Directed Market Maker and Primary Market Maker, and (3) amend the definition of small size orders.

The Exchange first proposes to update the names of “Directed Market Makers” and “Primary Market Makers”. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to replace all references to “Directed Market Makers” to “Preferred Market Makers” (or “PMMs”) and make a corresponding change to replace references to “Directed Orders” to “Preferred Orders.” The Exchange also proposes to replace all references to “Primary Market Makers” to “Designated Primary Market Makers” (or “DPMs”). The Exchange notes the proposed name changes conforms its terminology with respect to these types of Market Makers to the terminology used by its affiliated exchange, Cboe Options, for similar market participants.3 The Exchange notes that Directed Market Makers and Primary Market Makers will be referred to herein as “PMMs” and “DPMs”, respectively.

Next, the Exchange proposes to provide in the rules which participation entitlement applies in the event an order is preferred to a DPM (i.e., the DPM is also the PMM) and both PMM and DPM participation entitlements are in effect. Although not explicitly specified in the rules, currently, if a DPM is also the PMM, the PMM entitlements apply. The Exchange proposes to expressly provide under Rule 21.18(b)(1) that, going forward, if the DPM is also a PMM with respect to an incoming order, that PMM/DPM will be treated as a DPM and the DPM participation entitlements under paragraph (g) of Rule 21.8 will apply to that order. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is appropriate given a DPM’s heightened quoting obligations.4 Put another way, the Exchange believes that a DPM that is preferred on an order should not be subject to a potentially lesser entitlement just because that DPM happened to also be preferred.5 Moreover, the Exchange believes that it is appropriate to provide the DPM entitlements when the DPM is also designated as a PMM as the obligations that the DPM has to the market are not diminished when it receives a Preferred Order.

The Exchange lastly proposes to amend the definition of a small size order. More specifically, Rule 21.8(g)(2) provides that small size orders are allocated in full to the DPM if the DPM has a priority quote at the NBBO. The rule also provides that small size orders are defined as five (5) or fewer contracts. The Exchange proposes to provide that in order to qualify as a small size order, the incoming order must be a size of five or fewer contracts (i.e., the size of the original order determines whether the definition is met, not the number of contracts remaining after customer orders have been satisfied). The Exchange notes that a similar preference is given for small orders on Cboe Options as well as other exchanges and that such preference is based on the original size of the order.6

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.7 Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)8 requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, 8 See ECX Options Rule 22.2. 9 For example, if a DPM is preferred on a small size order (i.e., 5 or less contracts), that DPM should receive the small size order entitlement, which is a 100% allocation, notwithstanding the fact that DPM was also preferred on that order (i.e., it would otherwise receive 60% or 40% allocation under Rule 21.8(b)(1)). The Exchange notes that its affiliate exchange, Cboe Options, as well as other exchanges similarly apply the small order preference allocation where a DPM is also preferred on an order. See Cboe Options Regulatory Circular RG15–011. See also, Nasdaq IIE Rule 713, Supplementary Material to Rule 713. 0 See Cboe Options Rule 6.45(a)(ii)(C). See also, NYSE Arca Rule 6.76A–O(a)(B). 1See 15 U.S.C. 78j(b)(1). 217 CFR 240.19b–4.