

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–873–875, 878–880, and 882 (Third Review)]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Belarus, China, Indonesia, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, and Ukraine

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Belarus, China, Indonesia, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted these reviews on June 1, 2018 (83 FR 25490) and determined on September 4, 2018 that it would conduct expedited reviews (83 FR 48651, September 26, 2018).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on November 30, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4838 (November 2018), entitled *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Belarus, China, Indonesia, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, and Ukraine: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–873–875, 878–880, and 882 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 3, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–26541 Filed 12–6–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Reemployment Services and Eligibility Assessments (RESEA) Program Implementation Study; New Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Chief Evaluation Office, Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents is properly assessed. Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data for the Reemployment Services and Eligibility Assessments (RESEA) Program Implementation Study. A copy of the proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before February 5, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either one of the following methods:

Email: ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov; *Mail or Courier:* Megan Lizik, Chief Evaluation Office, OASP, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S–2312, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. *Instructions:* Please submit one copy of your comments by only one method. All submissions received must include the agency name and OMB Control Number identified above for this information collection. Comments, including any personal information provided, become a matter of public record. They will also be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan Lizik by email at ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov or by phone at (202) 430–1255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: DOL funds RESEA programs across all 50 states, DC, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. States and territories use these funds to address the reemployment services needs of Unemployment Insurance (UI) claimants and to prevent and detect UI improper payments (Unemployment Insurance Program Letter 8–18). The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (Pub. L. 115–123) contains requirements to “establish and expand the use of evidence-based interventions” in states’ RESEA programs. To help meet this requirement and build evidence about RESEA, DOL is conducting an implementation study that will provide in-depth understanding of RESEA programs and their components as implemented, and how states plan to meet the requirement for evidence-based programs (including building needed evidence).

This **Federal Register** Notice provides the opportunity to comment on two new proposed information collection activities that will be used for the implementation study.

- *Semi-structured telephone interview protocols.* The evaluation team will conduct calls to RESEA state leadership in approximately 24 states to systematically gather information about RESEA program operations not available in existing documents. This includes detail on how reemployment services are provided, interactions with federal workforce programs, how eligibility assessment and enforcement are carried out, and any current and planned evaluation activities.

- *Semi-structured in-person interview protocols.* Based on the phone discussions, the evaluation team will choose approximately 10 states for three-day site visits. Each site visit will examine the population served by the RESEA programs, the structure and service components of the programs, and evaluation efforts and perspectives on Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019. These visits will involve a day of interviews with relevant RESEA state officials and a day in each of two American Job Centers (AJCs) in two separate Workforce Development Board (WDB) areas.

A separate information collection activity request will be submitted in the future for a web survey of all RESEA programs. This web survey will provide the data needed to systematically understand RESEA program operations and state plans across all RESEA grantees nationwide.

II. Desired Focus of Comments:

Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Meredith M. Broadbent dissenting with respect to the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Indonesia, Latvia, and Poland.

above data collection for the Evaluation to Advance Reemployment Services and Eligibility Assessments Program Evidence. DOL is particularly interested in comments that do the following:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology—for example, permitting electronic submission of responses.

III. Current Actions: At this time, the Department of Labor is requesting clearance for the semi-structured

interview protocols for calls and site visits affiliated with the evaluation.

Type of Review: New information collection request.

OMB Control Number: 1290—0NEW.

Affected Public: State employees of state UI agencies and staff of local Workforce Development Boards (WDBs) and staff working in AJCs.

Comments submitted in response to this request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Type of instrument	Number of respondents ^a	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden time per response (hours)	Estimated Burden Hours
Semi-structured telephone interview protocol for State RESEA administrator	^b 8	1	8	2	16
Semi-structured in-person interview protocol for State UI staff	^c 17	1	17	1	17
Semi-structured in-person interview protocol for Local WDB administrators	^d 13	1	13	1	13
Semi-structured in-person interview protocol for AJC staff	^e 40	1	40	1	40
Total	78	78	86

^aWe are seeking a clearance period of three years

^bAssumes approximately 1 semi-structured interview participant on each call to approximately 24 state Unemployment Insurance agencies over the three-year clearance period (rounding to an average of 8 per year).

^cAssumes approximately 5 semi-structured interview participants during each site visit to approximately 10 state Unemployment Insurance agencies over the three-year clearance period (rounding to an average of 17 per year).

^dAssumes approximately 4 semi-structured interview participants during each site visit to approximately 10 local workforce boards (WDBs) over the three-year clearance period (rounding to an average of 13 per year).

^eAssumes approximately 6 semi-structured interview participants during each site visit to approximately 20 American Jobs Centers (AJCs) over the three-year clearance period, an average of 40 per year.

Molly Irwin,
Chief Evaluation Officer, U.S. Department of Labor.
 [FR Doc. 2018–26574 Filed 12–6–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–HX–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Procedures for Meetings

Background

This notice describes procedures to be followed with respect to meetings conducted by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). These procedures are set forth so that they may be incorporated by reference in future notices for individual meetings.

The ACRS is a statutory advisory Committee established by Congress to review and report on nuclear safety

matters and applications for the licensing of nuclear facilities. The Committee's reports become a part of the public record.

The ACRS meetings are conducted in accordance with FACA; they are normally open to the public and provide opportunities for oral or written statements from members of the public to be considered as part of the Committee's information gathering process. ACRS reviews do not normally encompass matters pertaining to environmental impacts other than those related to radiological safety.

The ACRS meetings are not adjudicatory hearings such as those conducted by the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel as part of the Commission's licensing process.

General Rules Regarding ACRS Full Committee Meetings

An agenda will be published in the **Federal Register** for each full committee meeting. There may be a need to make changes to the agenda to facilitate the conduct of the meeting. The Chairman

of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his/her judgment will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including making provisions to continue the discussion of matters not completed on the scheduled day on another day of the same meeting. Persons planning to attend the meeting may contact the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) specified in the **Federal Register** notice prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes to the agenda that may have occurred.

The following requirements shall apply to public participation in ACRS Full Committee meetings:

- (a) Persons who plan to submit written comments at the meeting should provide 35 copies to the DFO at the beginning of the meeting. Persons who cannot attend the meeting, but wish to submit written comments regarding the agenda items may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy addressed to the DFO specified in the **Federal Register** notice, care of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, U.S.