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SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. On December 5, 2018, the Coast Guard determined that immediate action was necessary to protect life and property from the hazards associated with control and removal of a Falcon 9 rocket and any associated debris located in the Atlantic Ocean. Due to the emergent nature and increased safety risks associated with control and removal operations for the rocket, there is insufficient time to publish an NPRM and to receive public comments before the rulemaking is required. The regulation is necessary to provide for the safety of persons and vessels within a 1000-yard radius of the Falcon 9 rocket. For those reasons, it would be impracticable to publish an NPRM.

For the reasons discussed above, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The Captain of the Port Jacksonville (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with control and removal operations for the Falcon 9 rocket will be a safety concern for persons and vessels within a 1000-yard radius of the rocket. The purpose of this rule is to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone during control and removal operations associated with the Falcon 9 rocket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COTP</td>
<td>Captain of the Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O.</td>
<td>Executive Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Federal Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>Notice of proposed rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub. L.</td>
<td>Public Law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. On December 5, 2018, the Coast Guard determined that immediate action was necessary to protect life and property from the hazards associated with control and removal of a Falcon 9 rocket and any associated debris located in the Atlantic Ocean. Due to the emergent nature and increased safety risks associated with control and removal operations for the rocket, there is insufficient time to publish an NPRM and to receive public comments before the rulemaking is required. The regulation is necessary to provide for the safety of persons and vessels within a 1000-yard radius of the Falcon 9 rocket. For those reasons, it would be impracticable to publish an NPRM.

For the reasons discussed above, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone that will encompass all navigable waters of the Atlantic Ocean within a 1000-yard radius of a Falcon 9 rocket located at position 28°24.3 N 080°30.8 W, in the vicinity of Port Canaveral Harbor, Cape Canaveral, Florida. The safety zone will be enforced during control, movement, and removal operations associated with the Falcon 9 rocket from 7 p.m. on December 5, 2018 until 11:59 p.m. on December 28, 2018, unless sooner terminated by the COTP Jacksonville upon completion of the removal operations. The duration of the safety zone is intended to ensure the safety of persons, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards associated with rocket and debris movement control and removal operations. These operations include the use of towing vessels, divers and support vessels. There will be occasions during the operations when there will be divers in the water and the waterway will be obstructed by the associated vessels and equipment. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone unless authorized by the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative. If authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone granted by the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must transit at a minimum safe speed and must comply with the orders of the COTP Jacksonville or designated representative. The Coast Guard will provide notice and status of the safety zone by Broadcast Notice to Mariners or on-scene designated representatives.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has
not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day. Although persons and vessels may not enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone without authorization from the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative, vessel traffic will be able to safely operate in the surrounding area during the enforcement. Additionally, any persons or vessels may request authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain with the safety zone from the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative. Moreover, the Coast Guard will provide notice of the safety zone to the local maritime community by Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on “small entities” comprised of small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section above.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone that will prohibit entry within navigable waters outlined in the Discussion of the Rule above. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of the DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:


2. Add §165.T07–1081 to read as follows:

§165.T07–1081 Safety Zone; Rocket Debris Control and Removal Operations, Atlantic Ocean, Cape Canaveral, FL.

(a) Regulated area. The following regulated area is a moving safety zone: All waters of the Atlantic Ocean within a 1,000-yard radius around the Falcon 9 rocket and associated debris. The safety zone will start east of Port Canaveral Harbor, Cape Canaveral, Florida, in approximate position 28°24.3'N 080°30.8'W and transit with the rocket in the vicinity of Port Canaveral Harbor, Cape Canaveral, FL.

(b) Definition. The term “designated representative” means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard Coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard
vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Jacksonville in the enforcement of the regulated area.

(c) Regulations. (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Jacksonville or a designated representative.

(2) Persons and vessels desiring to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area may contact the Captain of the Port Jacksonville by telephone at (904) 714–7557, or a designated representative via VHF–FM radio channel 16, to request authorization. If authorization is granted by the Captain of the Port Jacksonville or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Jacksonville or a designated representative.

(3) The Coast Guard will issue notice of the safety zone to the local maritime community via broadcast notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 or by on-scene designated representatives.

(d) Enforcement. This section will be enforced from 7:00 p.m. on December 5, 2018 until 11:59 p.m. on December 28, 2018, unless sooner terminated by the Captain of the Port Jacksonville upon completion of rocket and debris control and removal operations.

Dated: December 6, 2018.
T.C. Wiemers,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Jacksonville.

[FR Doc. 2018–26860 Filed 12–11–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
47 CFR Part 87
[WT Docket No. 01–289; FCC 18–155]
Aviation Radio Service

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; lifting of stay.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) adopts a rule that prohibits the certification, and after a six-month transition period, the manufacture, importation, or sale of 121.5 MHz Emergency Locator Transmitters (ELTs), but declines to prohibit the use of 121.5 MHz ELTs. By accelerating the transition from 121.5 MHz ELTs to 406 MHz ELTs, this rule change will enhance the ability of search and rescue personnel to locate and bring aid to the victims of plane crashes.

DATES: The rule is effective January 11, 2019. The stay of § 87.195 is lifted effective January 11, 2019.

COMMISSION

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Tobias, Jeffrey.Tobias@FCC.gov, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418–1617, or TTY (202) 418–7233.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Federal Communications Commission’s Fourth Report and Order in WT Docket No. 01–289, FCC 18–155, adopted on November 7, 2018, and released on November 8, 2018. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by sending an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or by calling the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty). To request materials in accessible formats for persons with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty). The complete text is also available on the Commission’s website at: www.fcc.gov.

1. Emergency Locator Transmitters (ELTs) are radio beacons that are carried on board aircraft and triggered in the event of a crash or other unplanned downing. The Commission authorizes these devices to serve as an effective locating aid for survival purposes. For years, the ELTs operated only at 121.5 MHz, with their transmissions monitored by an international satellite-based system (the Cospas-Sarsat system) that could determine their location over most of the world’s major air and sea travel paths. By 2010, however, the Cospas-Sarsat system limited tracking of ELTs to a newer type operating primarily at 406 MHz, thus eroding the utility of the 121.5 MHz ELTs as an effective locating aid. By accelerating the transition to 406 MHz ELTs with the rule changes we adopt in this Fourth Report and Order, we will enhance the ability of search and rescue personnel to locate and bring aid to the victims of plane crashes.

2. Section 332 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), states that the Commission, “[i]n taking actions to manage the spectrum to be made available for use by the private mobile services . . . shall consider . . . whether such actions will . . . promote the safety of life and property; [or] (2) improve the efficiency of spectrum use and reduce the regulatory burden upon spectrum users, based upon sound engineering principles, user operational requirements, and marketplace demands . . . .” Section 303 of the Act further requires the Commission, pursuant to its licensing authority, to “prescribe the nature of the service to be rendered by each class of licensed stations and each station within any class.” In concert with these direct statutory mandates, the Commission has an obligation to advance the goal “of obtaining maximum effectiveness from the use of radio and wire communications in connection with safety of life and property.”

3. In furtherance of these statutory responsibilities, the Commission authorizes and regulates three types of satellite emergency radiobeacons: Emergency Position-Indicating Radiobeacons (EPIRBs), 2 Personal Locator Beacons (PLBs), 3 and ELTs. 4 ELTs are activated after an aircraft crash to alert search and rescue personnel of the incident and to identify the location of the aircraft and any survivors. Most aircraft, including most general aviation (GA) aircraft, are required by federal statute to carry an ELT.

4. The two types of ELT now in service are the 406 MHz ELT and the 121.5 MHz ELT. 5 406 MHz ELTs transmit a 406 MHz digital distress signal containing information on the type of emergency, the country and identification code of the beacon, and

5. The Act also mandates that the Commission “encourage the larger and more effective use of radio in the public interest.” In addition, the Act and its statutory predecessors, the Radio Acts of 1912 and 1927, have long reflected Congress’s special concern about protecting the integrity of distress communications.

6. EPIRBs are float-free emergency transmitters carried on marine vessels that alert maritime search and rescue authorities that the vessel is in distress.

7. PLBs are emergency transmitters available to the general public to alert search and rescue personnel in case of a life-threatening emergency in a remote area.

8. See 47 CFR 87.199.

9. ELTs, like EPIRBs, were initially authorized to operate only on 121.5 MHz and (primarily for military use) on 243 MHz. In 1988, the Commission amended the part 80 rules to permit EPIRBs to operate on the frequency 406.025 MHz as well. In 1993, the Commission likewise authorized the use of 406.025 MHz by ELTs, noting that doing so had “overwhelming support.” PLBs have never been authorized to transmit a distress signal on 121.5 MHz, but only on 406.025 MHz.