not route” from existing paragraph (a)(3)(D) to paragraph (a)(2); adding new paragraph (a)(3) to provide that “[a] Market Maker may designate a quote as follows”; and re-numbering the remainder of the paragraph to account for such changes.52 In addition, the Exchange proposes to renumber the description of an MMLO as paragraph (a)(3)(A), and amend the rule text to provide that on arrival, a quotation designated MMLO will trade with displayed interest in the Consolidated Book only.53 Once resting, the MMLO designation no longer applies and such quotation is eligible to trade with displayed and undisplayed interest.54

Implementation

The Exchange states that it will announce by Trader Update the implementation date of the proposed rule change within 90 days of the effective date of this proposed rule change.55

III. Discussion and Commission’s Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the requirements of the Act,56 and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.57 In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,58 which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission believes that by providing market participants with two new order types that build on the existing ATO and PNP Order functionality to allow for repricing instead of cancellation or rejection of orders under certain circumstances, the proposed rule change could give market participants greater flexibility and control over the circumstances under which their orders interact with contra side-interest on the Exchange. By increasing the opportunities for execution at multiple price points and encouraging the provision of greater displayed liquidity to the market, the proposal is reasonably designed to facilitate the mechanism of price discovery. The Commission also believes that ranking a repriced RALO or repriced RPNP behind other interest already eligible to trade at a price, as well as ranking such orders that simultaneously reprice to the same price by time of original order entry, is reasonably designed to preserve the principles of priority and therefore promote just and equitable principles of trade. Finally, the Commission notes other options exchanges offer similar order types as proposed by the Exchange.59

The Commission notes that the proposal to add the two new quotation designations is designed to provide Market Makers with the same functionality for their quotations as are proposed for orders entered on the Exchange. The proposed quotation designations are similar to how the proposed RALO and RPNP will function and may enable Market Makers to exert greater control over how their quotes would interact with contra-side liquidity, while affording additional opportunities to provide liquidity to the market. The Commission notes that, absent the proposed repricing functionality associated with the MMALO and MMRP, a Market Maker quote that locks or crosses interest on the Exchange or an away market will reject or cancel. In the case of MMALOs, the proposal is reasonably designed to promote the display of liquidity because such quotations would be displayed at the next-best aggressive price instead of being cancelled. The Commission believes that the proposal will also ensure that an MMALO will always add liquidity as maker, rather than remove liquidity as taker, while ensuring that MMALOs priced too far through the contra-side interest on the Exchange or the NBBO will be rejected. As such, the proposed MMALO could assist Market Makers in maintaining a fair and orderly market and encourage Market Makers to provide displayed liquidity to the market, thus contributing to price discovery. In the case of MMRPs, the proposal may afford Market Makers more certainty when providing liquidity, while ensuring that MMRPs priced too far through the contra-side NBBO will cancel or reject after trading with any eligible interest on the Exchange.

Further, the Commission believes that the proposed quotation designations are reasonably designed to provide Market Makers with a greater level of determinism, in terms of managing their exposure, and thus could encourage more aggressive liquidity provision, resulting in more trading opportunities and tighter spreads. This may help improve the mechanism of price discovery. Moreover, the Commission notes that other options exchanges have adopted quote types designed to strengthen market making.60

For the reasons discussed above, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the Act.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,61 that the proposed rule change (SR–NYSEArca–2018–74), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.62

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–26833 Filed 12–11–18; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq ISE, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715 Regarding Cancel and Replace Orders

December 6, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
The Exchange proposes to amend Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715 regarding Cancel and Replace Orders. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://ise.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715 regarding Cancel and Replace Orders to: (i) Correct an inconsistency between the Exchange’s rule text and the operation of the System by removing the reference to Rule 710, (ii) update rule cross-references, and (iii) make other non-substantive, technical changes.

Today, a member has the option of either sending in a cancel order and then separately sending in a new order which serves as a replacement of the original order (two separate messages), or sending a single cancel and replace order in one message (i.e., a Cancel and Replace Order). Specifically, Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715 defines a Cancel and Replace Order as a single message for the immediate cancellation of a previously received order and the replacement of that order with a new order. The replacement portion of the Cancel and Replace Order is treated as a new order and therefore goes through price or other reasonability checks as a result of being viewed as such. If the replacement portion of a Cancel and Replace Order does not satisfy the System’s price or other reasonability checks, the existing order will be cancelled and not replaced. The Exchange notes, however, that when it initially codified Cancel and Replace Orders in its Rulebook as part of SR–ISE–2017–03, it inadvertently included Rule 710 within the list of price reasonability checks. In SR–ISE–2017–03, the Exchange explained that the System conducts price or other reasonability checks for Cancel and Replace Orders to validate such orders against the current market conditions prior to proceeding with the request to modify the order. Rule 710, which relates to the minimum price variations applicable to options series traded on the Exchange, does not involve the System considering the current market at the time of the Cancel and Replace Order, and an incoming Cancel and Replace Order that fails the minimum price variation checks in Rule 710 would not result in the existing order being cancelled and not replaced. The Exchange therefore proposes to remove the reference to Rule 710 from the list of price or other reasonability checks to conform its rule text to the System.

The Exchange also proposes to update the various rule references related to the price reasonability checks within this provision to refer to the current rules. Finally, the Exchange proposes other non-substantive, technical changes within Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715 to capitalize “Cancel and Replace Order” for consistency, and to capitalize “System,” which is a defined term.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in general, and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange’s proposal corrects an inadvertent error in Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715, which currently includes Rule 710 within the list of price or other reasonability checks. As discussed above, including Rule 710 is inconsistent with the operation of the Exchange’s System because an incoming Cancel and Replace Order which fails the minimum price variation checks in Rule 710 does not result in the existing order getting cancelled and not replaced. This rule change would amend the rule text to reflect ISE’s current practice, and should avoid potential confusion about how the System processes Cancel and Replace Orders today. Furthermore, the Exchange’s proposal to update the rule references and make other non-substantive technical changes, as further described above, will bring greater transparency to its Rulebook thereby protecting investors and the public interest by reducing potential for investor confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance.

Footnotes:
3 The term “System” means the electronic system operated by the Exchange that receives and disseminates quotes, executes orders and reports transactions. See Rule 100(a)(6).
4 If the previously placed order is already filled partially or in its entirety, the replacement order is automatically cancelled or reduced by the number of contracts that were executed. See Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715.
5 Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 715 further provides how the replacement portion may retain the priority of the original order, provided certain specified conditions are met. The manner in which the Exchange treats priority with respect to Cancel and Replace Orders is not changing under this proposal.
7 Id.
8 In this instance, the System would simply reject the cancel and replace message as an invalid instruction. The Exchange notes that the previous T7 system likewise treated Cancel and Replace Orders in this manner.
of the purposes of the Act. All of the proposed changes are intended to bring greater transparency to the Exchange’s Rulebook, and therefore does not unduly burden competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become effective for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–ISE–2018–97 on the subject line.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend NYSE American Rule 5.1E(a)(2)

December 6, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on November 27, 2018, NYSE American LLC (“Exchange” or “NYSE American”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE American Rule 5.1E(a)(2) to remove the requirement that the Exchange file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) a Form 19b–4(e) for each “new derivative securities product” that will commence trading on the Exchange pursuant to unlisted trading privileges. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below.

The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.