

Marvin R. Shipman (GA)
Chris W. Smaltz (AZ)
Randy E. Veit (IL)
Edwin C.E. Whitcomb (ND)
Steven S. Whitt, Sr. (MO)
Derek J. Wright (AL)
Donald W. Yeager (PA)
Mick B. Zoske (IA)

The drivers were included in docket numbers FMCSA–2007–27801; FMCSA–2008–0175; FMCSA–2013–0182. Their exemptions are applicable as of October 15, 2017, and will expire on October 15, 2019.

As of October 18, 2017, and in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, Justin R. Freeman (ID) has satisfied the renewal conditions for obtaining an exemption from the rule prohibiting drivers with ITDM from driving CMVs in interstate commerce (78 FR 38435; 78 FR 63294).

This driver was included in docket number FMCSA–2013–0181. The exemption is applicable as of October 18, 2017, and will expire on October 18, 2019.

As of October 19, 2017, and in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, the following nine individuals have satisfied the renewal conditions for obtaining an exemption from the rule prohibiting drivers with ITDM from driving CMVs in interstate commerce (72 FR 50442; 72 FR 59332; 74 FR 41486; 74 FR 53583):

Jim E. Chester (IN)
Blaine H. Holmes (UT)
James R. Hudson (AZ)
Roger L. Kaufman (KY)
Clifford L. Rayl (IN)
Steven J. Shaw (NV)
Scott L. Stamstad (WI)
Kendell R. Strassman (WI)
Maurice L. Wedel (KS)

The drivers were included in docket numbers FMCSA–2007–28536; FMCSA–2009–0207. Their exemptions are applicable as of October 19, 2017, and will expire on October 19, 2019.

As of October 22, 2017, and in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, the following nine individuals have satisfied the renewal conditions for obtaining an exemption from the rule prohibiting drivers with ITDM from driving CMVs in interstate commerce (73 FR 52451; 73 FR 63041):

Michael B. Bennington, Sr. (PA)
Larry J. Eischens (SD)
David J. Hanzl (NY)
Thomas R. Jones (OH)
John G. Schaible, Jr. (NY)
Rory J. Seleman (IL)
Chase M. Wells (NY)
Laurie E. White (NY)
Craig E. Wolf (IL)

The drivers were included in docket number FMCSA–2008–0267. Their

exemptions are applicable as of October 22, 2017, and will expire on October 22, 2019.

As of October 23, 2017, and in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, the following 13 individuals have satisfied the renewal conditions for obtaining an exemption from the rule prohibiting drivers with ITDM from driving CMVs in interstate commerce (78 FR 38435; 78 FR 63294):

Craig W. Blackner (UT)
John L. Fischer (ND)
Douglas E. Gibbs (TX)
Clarence H. Holliman Jr. (MS)
Tracy S. Johnson (FL)
Chad D. Labonte (ID)
Jason J. Marks (LA)
Keith R. McKeever (PA)
Alberto Ramirez (CA)
Brian S. Ruth (AK)
Ronald S. Smith (NJ)
Lawrence E. Starks, Sr. (IN)
Calvin C. Wallingford (NY)

The drivers were included in docket number FMCSA–2013–0181. Their exemptions are applicable as of October 23, 2017, and will expire on October 23, 2019.

As of October 28, 2017, and in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, Ricky A. Root (IL) has satisfied the renewal conditions for obtaining an exemption from the rule prohibiting drivers with ITDM from driving CMVs in interstate commerce (78 FR 50486; 78 FR 65031).

This driver was included in docket number FMCSA–2013–0182. The exemption is applicable as of October 28, 2017, and will expire on October 28, 2019.

As of October 30, 2017, and in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, the following seven individuals have satisfied the renewal conditions for obtaining an exemption from the rule prohibiting drivers with ITDM from driving CMVs in interstate commerce (78 FR 50486; 78 FR 65031):

Peter Engel (PA)
Jhon A. Fitzgerald (ME)
Lewis E. Forrester (PA)
Ray Harrison (MD)
Charles LaBruno (PA)
Shawn E. Marks (PA)
Donald G. Staggs (CA)

The drivers were included in docket number FMCSA–2013–0182. Their exemptions are applicable as of October 30, 2017, and will expire on October 30, 2019.

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315, each exemption will be valid for two years from the effective date unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if the following occurs: (1) The person fails to

comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained prior to being granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136 and 31315.

Issued on: February 7, 2018.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–03069 Filed 2–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2016–0003]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Hearing

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of final disposition.

SUMMARY: FMCSA confirms its decision to exempt twenty six individuals from the hearing requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) to operate a commercial motor vehicle (CMV) in interstate commerce. The exemptions enable these hard of hearing and deaf individuals to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

DATES: The exemptions were applicable on the dates stated in the discussions below. The exemptions expire on the dates stated in the discussions below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Christine A. Hydock, Chief, Medical Programs Division, (202) 366–4001, fmcsamedical@dot.gov, FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W64–224, Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. If you have questions regarding viewing or submitting material to the docket, contact Docket Services, telephone (202) 366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Electronic Access

You may see all the comments online through the Federal Document Management System (FDMS) at: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and/or Room W12–140 on the ground level of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and

5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy Act: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at <http://www.dot.gov/privacy>.

II. Background

On October 3, 2016 FMCSA published a notice announcing receipt of applications from 26 individuals requesting an exemption from the hearing requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11) to operate a CMV in interstate commerce and requested comments from the public (FR 81 68096). The public comment period ended on November 3, 2016 and one comment was received.

FMCSA has evaluated the eligibility of these applicants and determined that granting exemptions to these individuals would achieve a level of safety equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved by complying with the current regulation 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11).

The physical qualification standard for drivers regarding hearing found in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11) states that a person is physically qualified to driver a CMV if that person first perceives a forced whispered voice in the better ear at not less than 5 feet with or without the use of a hearing aid or, if tested by use of an audiometric device, does not have an average hearing loss in the better ear greater than 40 decibels at 500 Hz, 1,000 Hz, and 2,000 Hz with or without a hearing aid when the audiometric device is calibrated to American National Standard (formerly ASA Standard) Z24.5-1951. 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11) was adopted in 1970, with a revision in 1971 to allow drivers to be qualified under this standard while wearing a hearing aid, 35 FR 6458, 6463 (April 22, 1970) and 36 FR 12857 (July 3, 1971).

III. Discussion of Comments

FMCSA received one comment in this proceeding. Deb Carlson, from the Minnesota DMV office, noted that Matthew R. Burgoyne is no longer a Minnesota CDL holder and that he changed his state of record to Idaho.

IV. Basis for Exemption Determination

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315(b), FMCSA may grant an exemption from the hearing standard in

49 CFR 391.41(b)(11) if the exemption is likely to achieve an equivalent or greater level of safety than would be achieved without the exemption. The exemption allows the applicants to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

The Agency's decision regarding these exemption applications is based on current medical information and literature, and the 2008 Evidence Report, "Executive Summary on Hearing, Vestibular Function and Commercial Motor Driving Safety." The evidence report reached two conclusions regarding the matter of hearing loss and CMV driver safety: (1) No studies that examined the relationship between hearing loss and crash risk exclusively among CMV drivers were identified; and (2) evidence from studies of the private driver's license holder population does not support the contention that individuals with hearing impairment are at an increased risk for a crash. In addition, the Agency reviewed each applicant's driving record found in the Commercial Driver's License Information System (CDLIS), for commercial driver's license (CDL) holders, and inspections recorded in the Motor Carrier Management Information System (MCMIS). For non-CDL holders, the Agency reviewed the driving records from the State Driver's Licensing Agency (SDLA). Each applicant's record demonstrated a safe driving history. Based on an individual assessment of each applicant that focused on whether an equal or greater level of safety is likely to be achieved by permitting each of these drivers to drive in interstate commerce as opposed to restricting him or her to drive in intrastate commerce, the Agency believes the drivers granted this exemption have demonstrated that they do not pose a risk to public safety.

Consequently, FMCSA finds that in each case exempting these applicants from the hearing standard in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11) is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to that existing without the exemption.

V. Conditions and Requirements

The terms and conditions of the exemption are provided to the applicants in the exemption document and includes the following: (1) Each driver must report any crashed or accidents as defined in 49 CFR 390.5; (2) each driver must report all citations and convictions for disqualifying offenses under 49 CFR part 383 and 49 CFR 391 to FMCSA; and (3) each driver is prohibited from operating a motorcoach or bus with passengers in interstate commerce. The driver must also have a copy of the exemption when

driving, for presentation to a duly authorized federal, State, or local enforcement official. In addition, the exemption does not exempt the individual from meeting the applicable CDL testing requirements.

VI. Preemption

During the period the exemption is in effect, no State shall enforce any law or regulation that conflicts with this exemption with respect to a person operating under the exemption.

VII. Conclusion

Based upon its evaluation of the 26 exemption applications, FMCSA exempts the following drivers from the hearing standard, 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11), subject to the requirements cited above:

Kay Baden (OR)
 Wyatt M. Baldwin (NV)
 Moises Becerra (TX)
 Matthew R. Burgoyne (ID)
 Pedro H. Calas (FL)
 David T. Carlson (WI)
 Marco A. Cisneros (CA)
 Mark B. Cole (CA)
 Filipe S. Fernandez (FL)
 Joshua Gelona (OK)
 William D. Gum (TX)
 Reginald C. Holmes (AZ)
 Gary D. McBride (FL)
 Brent D. McCaffery (IA)
 Benjeol C. Morton (GA)
 Anthony S. Papa (OH)
 Eduardo Pedregal (TX)
 Charles L. Pitt (AL)
 David Y. Pro (CA)
 Leonardo Pupo-Tuperet (WA)
 Edgar J. Ramos (IL)
 Ronald D. Rumsey (IA)
 Johnny Seng (RI)
 Michael Sladick (OH)
 Brian J. Walthall (KS)
 Jack Whitewater (FL)

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315, each exemption will be valid for two years from the effective date unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if the following occurs: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained prior to being granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136 and 31315.

Issued on: February 8, 2018.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-03035 Filed 2-13-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P