

TABLE A.12-1—ESTIMATED ANNUALIZE BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS—Continued

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hrs.)
School staff .....	Web-based instrument for San Francisco Unified School District.	245	1	25/60
District-level Administrators ....	School Climate Index Interview Guide for District-level Administrators.	2	1	1
School-level Administrators ....	School Climate Index Interview Guide for School-level Administrators.	14	1	1
School Staff .....	School Climate Index Interview Guide for School Staff .....	28	1	1.5

**Leroy A. Richardson,**

Chief, Information Collection Review Office,  
Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the  
Associate Director for Science, Office of the  
Director, Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018-03386 Filed 2-16-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-18-0109]

#### Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the information collection request titled Respiratory Protective Devices—42 CFR part 84—Regulation to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. CDC previously published a “Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations” notice on October 20, 2017 to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. CDC did not receive comments related to the previous notice. This notice serves to allow an additional 30 days for public and affected agency comments.

CDC will accept all comments for this proposed information collection project. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

(a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including, through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and

(e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639-7570 or send an email to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov). Direct written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice to the Attention: CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Provide written comments within 30 days of notice publication.

#### Proposed Project

Respiratory Protective Devices—42 CFR part 84—Regulation (OMB Control Number 0920-0109, expiration November 30, 2017)—Reinstatement with Change—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

#### Background and Brief Description

The regulatory authority for the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) certification program for respiratory protective devices is found in the Mine Safety and Health Amendments Act of 1977 (30 U.S.C. 577a, 651 *et seq.*, and 657(g)) and the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (30 U.S.C. 3, 5, 7, 811, 842(h), 844). These regulations have, as their basis, the performance tests and criteria for approval of respirators used by millions of American construction workers, miners, painters, asbestos

removal workers, fabric mill workers, and fire fighters.

Regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) also require the use of NIOSH-approved respirators. These regulations also establish methods for respirator manufacturers to submit respirators for testing under the regulation and have them certified as NIOSH-approved if they meet the criteria given in the above regulation. This data collection was formerly named Respiratory Protective Devices 30 CFR part 11, but in 1995, the respirator standard was moved to 42 CFR part 84.

In accordance with 42 CFR part 84, NIOSH performs the following activities: (1) Issues certificates of approval for respirators which have met specified construction, performance, and protection requirements; (2) establishes procedures and requirements to be met in filing applications for approval; (3) specifies minimum requirements and methods to be employed by NIOSH and by applicants in conducting inspections, examinations, and tests to determine effectiveness of respirators; (4) establishes a schedule of fees to be charged to applicants for testing and certification, and (5) establishes approval labeling requirements. To establish the scope and intent of request, NIOSH collects information from those who request services under 42 CFR part 84.

Information collected from requests for respirator approval functions includes contact information and information about factors likely to affect respirator performance and use. Such information includes, but is not necessarily limited to, respirator design, manufacturing methods and materials, quality assurance plans and procedures, and user instruction and draft labels, as specified in the regulation.

The main instrument for data collection for respirator approval functions is the Standard Application

for the Approval of Respirators (SAF), currently Version 9.

Respirator manufacturers are the respondents (estimated to average 73 each year over the years 2017–2020). Upon submission of the SAF, NIOSH evaluates their applications for approval. Respirator manufacturers submit applications according to their business needs, which depends upon market conditions, technical advances, and other factors that are not easy to forecast. The best estimate for the annual number of respondents is the number from the most recent year for which data exists, 73 in 2016, an increase from 63 in 2014. Those 73 applicants submitted 542 applications in 2016, providing the current best estimate. A \$200 fee is required for each application. Respondents requesting

respirator approval or certain extensions of approval are required to submit additional fees for necessary testing and evaluation as specified in 42 CFR parts 84.20–22, 84.66, 84.258 and 84.1102. In 2016, \$2,662,329.00 was accepted.

Applicants are required to provide test data that shows that the manufacturer is capable of ensuring that the respirator is capable of meeting the specified requirements in 42 CFR part 84. The requirement for submitted test data is likely to be satisfied by standard testing performed by the manufacturer, and is not required to follow the relevant NIOSH Standard Test Procedures. As additional testing is not required, providing proof that an adequate test has been performed is limited to providing existing paperwork.

Manufacturers with current approvals are subject to site audits by the Institute or its agents. Audits may occur periodically, typically every second year, or because of a reported issue. NIOSH completed 59 site audits from 92 respirator approval holders for the 2016 fiscal year. There is an average fee of \$8,833 for each audit to align with fee collection provisions of the Independent Offices Appropriations Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. 9701), and OMB Circular A–25 Revised. There is no cost to respondents other than the time to participate. The total estimated burden hours are 118,435. Burden hours have increased due to a moderate increase in the estimated number of annual responses per respondent.

**ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS**

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
Business or other for-profit .....	Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators.	73	7	229
Business or other for-profit .....	Audit .....	59	1	24

**Leroy A. Richardson,**  
*Chief, Information Collection Review Office, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2018–03385 Filed 2–16–18; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4163–18–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Community Living**

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Redesign of Existing Data Collection; National Longitudinal Survey of Older Americans Act Participants (NLSOAAP)**

**AGENCY:** Administration for Community Living, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Administration for Community Living (ACL) is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance as required under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the PRA). This 30-Day notice collects comments on a proposed revision to an

existing data collection related to the National Survey of Older Americans Act Participants (NSOAAP).

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the collection of information by March 22, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments on the collection of information by fax 202–395–5806 or by email to *OIRA\_submission@omb.eop.gov*, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ACL.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Heather Menne at 202–795–7733 or *Heather.Menne@acl.hhs.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with Section 44 U.S.C. 3507, ACL has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

ACL is requesting approval for three years for a redesign of an existing data collection (OMB Control Number: 0985–0023).

The National Longitudinal Survey of Older Americans Act (OAA) Participants (NLSOAAP) information collection will include consumer assessment surveys for the Congregate and Home-delivered meal nutrition programs; Case Management, Homemaker, and Transportation Services; and the National Family Caregiver Support Program. This survey builds on earlier national pilot studies and surveys, as well as performance

measurement tools developed by ACL grantees in the Performance Outcomes Measures Project (POMP). Changes identified as a result of these initiatives, public comment, and the input from an expert panel (*i.e.*, comprised of gerontologists, survey methodologists, and OAA program experts), are included in this proposed redesign of an existing data collection. This information will be used by ACL to track performance outcome measures; support budget requests; comply with the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010 (GPRAMA) reporting requirements; provide national benchmark information; and inform program development and management initiatives.

This proposed collection is a revision that will replace the currently approved version (OMB Control Number: 0985–0023) by transitioning from a cross-sectional survey to a longitudinal survey. The current National Survey of Older Americans Act Participants (NSOAAP), an exclusively cross-sectional survey, can transition to a longitudinal information collection component by establishing a baseline cohort and conducting follow-up interviews with that cohort at specified time intervals. A baseline cohort can be selected in the same manner as in prior cycles of the cross-sectional NSOAAP.