



FEDERAL REGISTER

Vol. 83

Tuesday,

No. 59

March 27, 2018

Pages 13097–13182

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER



The **FEDERAL REGISTER** (ISSN 0097-6326) is published daily, Monday through Friday, except official holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402 is the exclusive distributor of the official edition. Periodicals postage is paid at Washington, DC.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders, Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress, and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless the issuing agency requests earlier filing. For a list of documents currently on file for public inspection, see www.ofr.gov.

The seal of the National Archives and Records Administration authenticates the **Federal Register** as the official serial publication established under the Federal Register Act. Under 44 U.S.C. 1507, the contents of the **Federal Register** shall be judicially noticed.

The **Federal Register** is published in paper and on 24x microfiche. It is also available online at no charge at www.fdsys.gov, a service of the U.S. Government Publishing Office.

The online edition of the **Federal Register** is issued under the authority of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register as the official legal equivalent of the paper and microfiche editions (44 U.S.C. 4101 and 1 CFR 5.10). It is updated by 6:00 a.m. each day the **Federal Register** is published and includes both text and graphics from Volume 59, 1 (January 2, 1994) forward. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800 or 866-512-1800 (toll free). E-mail, gpocusthelp.com.

The annual subscription price for the **Federal Register** paper edition is \$749 plus postage, or \$808, plus postage, for a combined **Federal Register**, **Federal Register** Index and List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) subscription; the microfiche edition of the **Federal Register** including the **Federal Register** Index and LSA is \$165, plus postage. Six month subscriptions are available for one-half the annual rate. The prevailing postal rates will be applied to orders according to the delivery method requested. The price of a single copy of the daily **Federal Register**, including postage, is based on the number of pages: \$11 for an issue containing less than 200 pages; \$22 for an issue containing 200 to 400 pages; and \$33 for an issue containing more than 400 pages. Single issues of the microfiche edition may be purchased for \$3 per copy, including postage. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account, VISA, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover. Mail to: U.S. Government Publishing Office—New Orders, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000; or call toll free 1-866-512-1800, DC area 202-512-1800; or go to the U.S. Government Online Bookstore site, see bookstore.gpo.gov.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register**.

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 83 FR 12345.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Federal Register, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

PUBLIC

Subscriptions:

Paper or fiche 202-512-1800
Assistance with public subscriptions 202-512-1806

General online information 202-512-1530; 1-888-293-6498

Single copies/back copies:

Paper or fiche 202-512-1800
Assistance with public single copies 1-866-512-1800
(Toll-Free)

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Subscriptions:

Assistance with Federal agency subscriptions:

Email FRSubscriptions@nara.gov
Phone 202-741-6000



Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 59

Tuesday, March 27, 2018

Agriculture Department

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 13119

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

NOTICES

Meetings:

Advisory Council for the Elimination of Tuberculosis; Correction, 13128–13129

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 13129–13132

Coast Guard

RULES

Safety Zones:

Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA, 13106–13111

Commerce Department

See National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Consumer Product Safety Commission

NOTICES

Guidance:

Draft Guidelines for Determining Age Appropriateness of Toys, 13121–13122

Public Hearings:

The Internet of Things and Consumer Product Hazards, 13122–13124

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 13124

Defense Department

See Defense Acquisition Regulations System

NOTICES

Arms Sales, 13124–13126

Drug Enforcement Administration

NOTICES

Bulk Manufacturers of Controlled Substances; Applications: Synthcon, LLC, 13141–13143

Decisions and Orders:

Christopher D. Owens, MD, 13143–13145

Environmental Protection Agency

RULES

State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Approvals and Promulgations:

Colorado; Control of Emissions from Existing Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units, 13111–13113

NOTICES

CERCLA Settlement:

Black Leaf Chemical Superfund Site; Louisville, Jefferson County, KY, 13128

Certain New Chemicals or Significant New Uses:

Statements of Findings for January 2018, 13126–13127

Federal Emergency Management Agency

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Direct Housing Program Forms, 13140

Meetings:

Technical Mapping Advisory Council, 13139–13140

Federal Reserve System

RULES

Extensions of Credit by Federal Reserve Banks (Regulation A), 13103–13104

Reserve Requirements of Depository Institutions (Regulation D), 13104–13105

NOTICES

Change in Bank Control Notices:

Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company, 13128

Proposals to Engage in or to Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities, 13128

Food and Drug Administration

RULES

Revision of Organization, 13105–13106

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Guidance on Consultation Procedures: Foods Derived From New Plant Varieties, 13132–13133

Charter Renewals:

Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee, 13135–13136

Guidance:

Elemental Impurities in Animal Drug Products— Questions and Answers, 13134–13135

Meetings:

Orthopaedic Sensing, Measuring, and Advanced Reporting Technology Devices; Public Workshop; Amendment, 13134

Requests for Nominations:

Pharmacy Compounding Advisory Committee, 13133–13134

Health and Human Services Department

See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

See Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

See Food and Drug Administration

See Health Resources and Services Administration

See National Institutes of Health

Health Resources and Services Administration

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

NURSE Corps Scholarship Program, 13136–13137

Homeland Security Department

See Coast Guard

See Federal Emergency Management Agency

Interior Department

See Land Management Bureau

Justice Department

See Drug Enforcement Administration

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,

Submissions, and Approvals:

Fee Waiver Request, 13146

Proposed Consent Decrees:

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 13145–13146

Land Management Bureau**NOTICES**

Plats of Survey:

Colorado, 13141

National Aeronautics and Space Administration**RULES**

Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement:

Revised Voucher and Invoice Submission and Payment Process, 13113–13115

National Institutes of Health**NOTICES**

Meetings:

Center for Scientific Review, 13138–13139

Clinical Center Research Hospital Board, 13137–13138

National Institute on Aging, 13138

National Institutel on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 13137

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**RULES**

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska:

Pollock in Statistical Area 620 in Gulf of Alaska, 13115–13116

PROPOSED RULES

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone off Alaska:

Reclassifying Squid Species in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska, 13117–13118

NOTICES

Meetings:

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 13119–13121

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 13120–13121

National Science Foundation**NOTICES**

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 13146

Nuclear Regulatory Commission**NOTICES**

Facility Operating and Combined Licenses:

Applications and Amendments Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations; Biweekly Notice, 13146–13156

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 13156

Presidential Documents**PROCLAMATIONS**

Special Observances:

Greek Independence Day: A National Celebration of Greek and American Democracy (Proc. 9709), 13097–13098

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS

China; Policies, Practices, or Actions Related to Technology Transfer, Intellectual Property, and Innovation (Memorandum of March 22, 2018), 13099–13101

Securities and Exchange Commission**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 13158–13159, 13170–13171, 13173

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 13156

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Changes:

Nasdaq BX, Inc., 13168–13170

Nasdaq ISE, LLC, 13173–13176

Nasdaq PHLX, LLC, 13166–13168

New York Stock Exchange LLC, 13156–13158

NYSE American, LLC, 13161–13163

NYSE Arca, Inc., 13163–13165

NYSE National, Inc., 13165–13166

The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, 13159–13161

The Options Clearing Corp., 13171–13173, 13176–13178

Treasury Department**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 13178–13180

Veterans Affairs Department**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Request for Restoration of Educational Assistance, 13180–13181

Reader Aids

Consult the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue for phone numbers, online resources, finding aids, and notice of recently enacted public laws.

To subscribe to the Federal Register Table of Contents electronic mailing list, go to <https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USGPOOFR/subscriber/new>, enter your e-mail address, then follow the instructions to join, leave, or manage your subscription.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

3 CFR**Proclamations:**

9709.....13097

Administrative Orders:

Memorandums:

Memorandum of March
22, 201813099**12 CFR**

201.....13103

204.....13104

21 CFR

5.....13105

33 CFR165 (3 documents)13106,
13108, 13109**40 CFR**

62.....13111

48 CFR

1816.....13113

1832.....13113

1852.....13113

50 CFR

679.....13115

Proposed Rules:

679.....13117

Presidential Documents

Title 3—

Proclamation 9709 of March 22, 2018

The President

Greek Independence Day: A National Day of Celebration of Greek and American Democracy, 2018

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

On this celebration of Greek Independence Day, we reflect on the common bonds of history and heritage that connect the United States and Greece. Our nations share cultural, economic, and defense interests, but the foundation of our abiding friendship is our unwavering commitment to liberty and our shared love of democratic institutions.

As the cradle of Western civilization and the birthplace of democracy, Greece has a rich and glorious heritage, resplendent in its influential contributions to literature, philosophy, and science. The ancient Greeks fostered the timeless ideal of human liberty, which inspired our Nation's Founders as they drafted our Constitution and established our Republic. The legacy of ancient Greece carries on today, as liberty continues to serve as a beacon of hope to all who long for a better life.

It was an honor to welcome Greek Prime Minister Alexis Tsipras to the White House last year. His visit underscored the importance of our bilateral relationship and our ongoing strategic cooperation on issues such as law enforcement, counterterrorism, and matters of defense, energy, commerce, and trade. Greece continues to meet its NATO obligations on defense spending and serves as a gracious host for our naval forces at Souda Bay. Our Greek-American partnership is strong, and we are grateful to have such a tremendous ally and friend in Greece.

Our nations continue to expand our economic and commercial ties, creating jobs and opportunities for investment and trade on both sides of the Atlantic. This year, the United States is proud to serve as the honored country at the 2018 Thessaloniki International Fair. This historic business and trade exhibition will showcase American technology, enterprise, and innovation, and will further enhance the partnership and cooperation between our great nations.


In 2018, we also celebrate 70 years of Fulbright Greece, a program of educational and cultural exchanges between the United States and Greece. Fulbright Greece, the oldest Fulbright program in Europe, is the flagship international exchange program sponsored by our Government. Since 1948, the program has awarded grants to more than 5,500 Greek and American citizens to study, teach, or conduct research, enriching both of our countries.

The United States and Greece have an enduring bond based on mutual respect, shared values, and an abiding commitment to freedom and sovereignty. More than 1.3 million Americans claim Greek origin. The Greek-American community has made countless positive contributions to our Nation and has played a vital role in maintaining our strong relationship with Greece. On this 197th anniversary celebration of Greek Independence Day, we honor Greece as a strong, faithful ally and valued partner in promoting peace, liberty, and prosperity around the world.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States of America, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, do hereby proclaim March 25, 2018,

as Greek Independence Day: A National Day of Celebration of Greek and American Democracy. I call upon the people of the United States to observe this day with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this twenty-second day of March, in the year of our Lord two thousand eighteen, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundred and forty-second.



Presidential Documents

Memorandum of March 22, 2018

Actions by the United States Related to the Section 301 Investigation of China's Laws, Policies, Practices, or Actions Related to Technology Transfer, Intellectual Property, and Innovation

Memorandum for the Secretary of the Treasury, the United States Trade Representative, the Senior Advisor for Policy, the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, and the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism

On August 14, 2017, I directed the United States Trade Representative (Trade Representative) to determine whether to investigate China's laws, policies, practices, or actions that may be unreasonable or discriminatory and that may be harming American intellectual property rights, innovation, or technology development. On August 18, 2017, the Trade Representative initiated an investigation under section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (the "Act") (19 U.S.C. 2411).

During its investigation, the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) consulted with appropriate advisory committees and the interagency section 301 Committee. The Trade Representative also requested consultations with the Government of China, under section 303 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2413). The USTR held a public hearing on October 10, 2017, and two rounds of public written comment periods. The USTR received approximately 70 written submissions from academics, think tanks, law firms, trade associations, and companies.

The Trade Representative has advised me that the investigation supports the following findings:

First, China uses foreign ownership restrictions, including joint venture requirements, equity limitations, and other investment restrictions, to require or pressure technology transfer from U.S. companies to Chinese entities. China also uses administrative review and licensing procedures to require or pressure technology transfer, which, inter alia, undermines the value of U.S. investments and technology and weakens the global competitiveness of U.S. firms.

Second, China imposes substantial restrictions on, and intervenes in, U.S. firms' investments and activities, including through restrictions on technology licensing terms. These restrictions deprive U.S. technology owners of the ability to bargain and set market-based terms for technology transfer. As a result, U.S. companies seeking to license technologies must do so on terms that unfairly favor Chinese recipients.

Third, China directs and facilitates the systematic investment in, and acquisition of, U.S. companies and assets by Chinese companies to obtain cutting-edge technologies and intellectual property and to generate large-scale technology transfer in industries deemed important by Chinese government industrial plans.

Fourth, China conducts and supports unauthorized intrusions into, and theft from, the computer networks of U.S. companies. These actions provide the Chinese government with unauthorized access to intellectual property, trade secrets, or confidential business information, including technical data, negotiating positions, and sensitive and proprietary internal business communications, and they also support China's strategic development goals, including its science and technology advancement, military modernization, and economic development.

It is hereby directed as follows:

Section 1. *Tariffs.* (a) The Trade Representative should take all appropriate action under section 301 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2411) to address the acts, policies, and practices of China that are unreasonable or discriminatory and that burden or restrict U.S. commerce. The Trade Representative shall consider whether such action should include increased tariffs on goods from China.

(b) To advance the purposes of subsection (a) of this section, the Trade Representative shall publish a proposed list of products and any intended tariff increases within 15 days of the date of this memorandum. After a period of notice and comment in accordance with section 304(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2414(b)), and after consultation with appropriate agencies and committees, the Trade Representative shall, as appropriate and consistent with law, publish a final list of products and tariff increases, if any, and implement any such tariffs.


Sec. 2. *WTO Dispute Settlement.* (a) The Trade Representative shall, as appropriate and consistent with law, pursue dispute settlement in the World Trade Organization (WTO) to address China's discriminatory licensing practices. Where appropriate and consistent with law, the Trade Representative should pursue this action in cooperation with other WTO members to address China's unfair trade practices.

(b) Within 60 days of the date of this memorandum, the Trade Representative shall report to me his progress under subsection (a) of this section.

Sec. 3. *Investment Restrictions.* (a) The Secretary of the Treasury (Secretary), in consultation with other senior executive branch officials the Secretary deems appropriate, shall propose executive branch action, as appropriate and consistent with law, and using any available statutory authority, to address concerns about investment in the United States directed or facilitated by China in industries or technologies deemed important to the United States.

(b) Within 60 days of the date of this memorandum, the Secretary shall report to me his progress under subsection (a) of this section.

Sec. 4. *Publication.* The Trade Representative is authorized and directed to publish this memorandum in the *Federal Register*.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Donald Trump', located in the upper right quadrant of the page.

THE WHITE HOUSE,
Washington, March 22, 2018

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 59

Tuesday, March 27, 2018

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents.

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

12 CFR Part 201

[Docket No. R-1601]

RIN 7100 AF-00

Regulation A: Extensions of Credit by Federal Reserve Banks

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“Board”) has adopted final amendments to its Regulation A to reflect the Board’s approval of an increase in the rate for primary credit at each Federal Reserve Bank. The secondary credit rate at each Reserve Bank automatically increased by formula as a result of the Board’s primary credit rate action.

DATES: The amendments to part 201 (Regulation A) are effective March 27, 2018. The rate changes for primary and secondary credit are applicable on March 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sophia Allison, Special Counsel (202-452-3565), or Clinton Chen, Senior Attorney (202-452-3952), Legal Division, or Lyle Kumasaka, Senior Financial Analyst (202-452-2382); for users of Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) only, contact 202-263-4869; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th and C Streets NW, Washington, DC 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Reserve Banks make primary and secondary credit available to depository institutions as a backup source of funding on a short-term basis, usually overnight. The primary and secondary credit rates are the interest rates that the twelve Federal Reserve Banks charge for extensions of credit under these programs. In accordance with the Federal Reserve Act, the primary and secondary credit rates are established by the boards of directors of

the Federal Reserve Banks, subject to the review and determination of the Board.

On March 21, 2018, the Board voted to approve a ¼ percentage point increase in the primary credit rate in effect at each of the twelve Federal Reserve Banks, thereby increasing from 2.00 percent to 2.25 percent the rate that each Reserve Bank charges for extensions of primary credit. In addition, the Board had previously approved the renewal of the secondary credit rate formula, the primary credit rate plus 50 basis points. Under the formula, the secondary credit rate in effect at each of the twelve Federal Reserve Banks increased by ¼ percentage point as a result of the Board’s primary credit rate action, thereby increasing from 2.50 percent to 2.75 percent the rate that each Reserve Bank charges for extensions of secondary credit. The amendments to Regulation A reflect these rate changes.

The ¼ percentage point increase in the primary credit rate was associated with an increase in the target range for the federal funds rate (from a target range of 1¼ to 1½ percent to a target range of 1½ to 1¾ percent) announced by the Federal Open Market Committee on March 21, 2018, as described in the Board’s amendment of its Regulation D published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

Administrative Procedure Act

In general, the Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”)¹ imposes three principal requirements when an agency promulgates legislative rules (rules made pursuant to congressionally delegated authority): (1) Publication with adequate notice of a proposed rule; (2) followed by a meaningful opportunity for the public to comment on the rule’s content; and (3) publication of the final rule not less than 30 days before its effective date. The APA provides that notice and comment procedures do not apply if the agency for good cause finds them to be “unnecessary, impracticable, or contrary to the public interest.”² Section 553(d) of the APA also provides that publication at least 30 days prior to a rule’s effective date is not required for (1) a substantive rule which grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a

restriction; (2) interpretive rules and statements of policy; or (3) a rule for which the agency finds of good cause for shortened notice and publishes its reasoning with the rule.³ The APA further provides that the notice, public comment, and delayed effective date requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 do not apply “to the extent that there is involved . . . a matter relating to agency management or personnel or to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contracts.”⁴

Regulation A establishes the interest rates that the twelve Reserve Banks charge for extensions of primary credit and secondary credit. The Board has determined that the notice, public comment, and delayed effective date requirements of the APA do not apply to these final amendments to Regulation A for several reasons. The amendments involve a matter relating to loans, and are therefore exempt under the terms of the APA. In addition, the Board has determined that notice, public comment, and delayed effective date would be unnecessary and contrary to the public interest because delay in implementation of changes to the rates charged on primary credit and secondary credit would permit insured depository institutions to profit improperly from the difference in the current rate and the announced increased rate. Finally, because delay would undermine the Board’s action in responding to economic data and conditions, the Board has determined that “good cause” exists within the meaning of the APA to dispense with the notice, public comment, and delayed effective date procedures of the APA with respect to the final amendments to Regulation A.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (“RFA”) does not apply to a rulemaking where a general notice of proposed rulemaking is not required.⁵ As noted previously, a general notice of proposed rulemaking is not required if the final rule involves a matter relating to loans. Furthermore, the Board has determined that it is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest to publish a general notice of proposed rulemaking for this final rule. Accordingly, the RFA’s

³ 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

⁴ 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2) (emphasis added).

⁵ 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604.

¹ 5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*

² 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A).

requirements relating to an initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis do not apply.

Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”) of 1995,⁶ the Board reviewed the final rule under the authority delegated to the Board by the Office of Management and Budget. The final rule contains no requirements subject to the PRA.

12 CFR Chapter II

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 201

Banks, Banking, Federal Reserve System, Reporting and recordkeeping.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Board is amending 12 CFR chapter II to read as follows:

PART 201—EXTENSIONS OF CREDIT BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS (REGULATION A)

■ 1. The authority citation for part 201 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 248(i)–(j) and (s), 343 *et seq.*, 347a, 347b, 347c, 348 *et seq.*, 357, 374, 374a, and 461.

■ 2. In § 201.51, paragraphs (a) and (b) are revised to read as follows:

§ 201.51 Interest rates applicable to credit extended by a Federal Reserve Bank.³

(a) *Primary credit.* The interest rate at each Federal Reserve Bank for primary credit provided to depository institutions under § 201.4(a) is 2.25 percent.

(b) *Secondary credit.* The interest rate at each Federal Reserve Bank for secondary credit provided to depository institutions under § 201.4(b) is 2.75 percent.

* * * * *

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 22, 2018.

Ann E. Misback,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2018–06123 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

⁶ 44 U.S.C. 3506; see 5 CFR part 1320, appendix A.1.

³ The primary, secondary, and seasonal credit rates described in this section apply to both advances and discounts made under the primary, secondary, and seasonal credit programs, respectively.

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

12 CFR Part 204

[Docket No. R–1602]

RIN 7100 AF 01

Regulation D: Reserve Requirements of Depository Institutions

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“Board”) is amending Regulation D (Reserve Requirements of Depository Institutions) to revise the rate of interest paid on balances maintained to satisfy reserve balance requirements (“IORR”) and the rate of interest paid on excess balances (“IOER”) maintained at Federal Reserve Banks by or on behalf of eligible institutions. The final amendments specify that IORR is 1.75 percent and IOER is 1.75 percent, a 0.25 percentage point increase from their prior levels. The amendments are intended to enhance the role of such rates of interest in moving the Federal funds rate into the target range established by the Federal Open Market Committee (“FOMC” or “Committee”).

DATES: The amendments to part 204 (Regulation D) are effective March 27, 2018. The IORR and IOER rate changes are applicable on March 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sophia Allison, Special Counsel (202–452–3565), or Clinton Chen, Senior Attorney (202–452–3952), Legal Division, or Kristen Payne, Financial Analyst (202–452–2872), or Heather Wiggins, Section Chief (202–452–3674), Division of Monetary Affairs; for users of Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) only, contact 202–263–4869; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th and C Streets NW, Washington, DC 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Statutory and Regulatory Background

For monetary policy purposes, section 19 of the Federal Reserve Act (“the Act”) imposes reserve requirements on certain types of deposits and other liabilities of depository institutions.¹ Regulation D, which implements section 19 of the Act, requires that a depository institution meet reserve requirements by holding cash in its vault, or if vault cash is insufficient, by maintaining a balance in an account at a Federal Reserve Bank (“Reserve Bank”).² Section 19 also

¹ 12 U.S.C. 461(b).

² 12 CFR 204.5(a)(1).

provides that balances maintained by or on behalf of certain institutions in an account at a Reserve Bank may receive earnings to be paid by the Reserve Bank at least once each quarter, at a rate or rates not to exceed the general level of short-term interest rates.³ Institutions that are eligible to receive earnings on their balances held at Reserve Banks (“eligible institutions”) include depository institutions and certain other institutions.⁴ Section 19 also provides that the Board may prescribe regulations concerning the payment of earnings on balances at a Reserve Bank.⁵ Prior to these amendments, Regulation D specified a rate of 1.50 percent for both IORR and IOER.⁶

II. Amendments to IORR and IOER

The Board is amending § 204.10(b)(5) of Regulation D to specify that IORR is 1.75 percent and IOER is 1.75 percent. This 0.25 percentage point increase in the IORR and IOER was associated with an increase in the target range for the federal funds rate, from a target range of 1¼ to 1½ percent to a target range of 1½ to 1¾ percent, announced by the FOMC on March 21, 2018, with an effective date of March 22, 2018. The FOMC’s press release on the same day as the announcement noted that:

Information received since the Federal Open Market Committee met in January indicates that the labor market has continued to strengthen and that economic activity has been rising at a moderate rate. Job gains have been strong in recent months, and the unemployment rate has stayed low. Recent data suggest that growth rates of household spending and business fixed investment have moderated from their strong fourth-quarter readings. On a 12-month basis, both overall inflation and inflation for items other than food and energy have continued to run below 2 percent. Market-based measures of inflation compensation have increased in recent months but remain low; survey-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations are little changed, on balance.

Consistent with its statutory mandate, the Committee seeks to foster maximum employment and price stability. The economic outlook has strengthened in recent months. The Committee expects that, with further gradual adjustments in the stance of monetary policy, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace in the medium term and labor market

³ 12 U.S.C. 461(b)(1)(A) and (b)(12)(A).

⁴ See 12 U.S.C. 461(b)(1)(A) and (b)(12)(C); see also 12 CFR 204.2(y).

⁵ See 12 U.S.C. 461(b)(12)(B).

⁶ See 12 CFR 204.10(b)(5).

conditions will remain strong. Inflation on a 12-month basis is expected to move up in coming months and to stabilize around the Committee's 2 percent objective over the medium term. Near-term risks to the economic outlook appear roughly balanced, but the Committee is monitoring inflation developments closely.

In view of realized and expected labor market conditions and inflation, the Committee decided to raise the target range for the federal funds rate to 1½ to 1¾ percent. The stance of monetary policy remains accommodative, thereby supporting strong labor market conditions and a sustained return to 2 percent inflation. A Federal Reserve Implementation note released simultaneously with the announcement stated that:

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System voted unanimously to raise the interest rate paid on required and excess reserve balances to 1.75 percent, effective March 22, 2018.

As a result, the Board is amending § 204.10(b)(5) of Regulation D to change IORR to 1.75 percent and IOER to 1.75 percent.

III. Administrative Procedure Act

In general, the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA")⁷ imposes three principal requirements when an agency promulgates legislative rules (rules made pursuant to congressionally delegated authority): (1) Publication with adequate notice of a proposed rule; (2) followed by a meaningful opportunity for the public to comment on the rule's content; and (3) publication of the final rule not less than 30 days before its effective date. The APA provides that notice and comment procedures do not apply if the agency for good cause finds them to be "unnecessary, impracticable, or contrary to the public interest."⁸ Section 553(d) of the APA also provides that publication at least 30 days prior to a rule's effective date is not required for (1) a substantive rule which grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a restriction; (2) interpretive rules and statements of policy; or (3) a rule for which the agency finds of good cause for shortened notice and publishes its reasoning with the rule.⁹

The Board has determined that good cause exists for finding that the notice, public comment, and delayed effective date provisions of the APA are unnecessary, impracticable, or contrary to the public interest with respect to

these final amendments to Regulation D. The rate increases for IORR and IOER that are reflected in the final amendments to Regulation D were made with a view towards accommodating commerce and business and with regard to their bearing upon the general credit situation of the country. Notice and public comment would prevent the Board's action from being effective as promptly as necessary in the public interest, and would not otherwise serve any useful purpose. Notice, public comment, and a delayed effective date would create uncertainty about the finality and effectiveness of the Board's action and undermine the effectiveness of that action. Accordingly, the Board has determined that good cause exists to dispense with the notice, public comment, and delayed effective date procedures of the APA with respect to these final amendments to Regulation D.

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act ("RFA") does not apply to a rulemaking where a general notice of proposed rulemaking is not required.¹⁰ As noted previously, the Board has determined that it is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest to publish a general notice of proposed rulemaking for this final rule. Accordingly, the RFA's requirements relating to an initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis do not apply.

V. Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA") of 1995,¹¹ the Board reviewed the final rule under the authority delegated to the Board by the Office of Management and Budget. The final rule contains no requirements subject to the PRA.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 204

Banks, Banking, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Board amends 12 CFR part 204 as follows:

PART 204—RESERVE REQUIREMENTS OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS (REGULATION D)

■ 1. The authority citation for part 204 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 248(a), 248(c), 461, 601, 611, and 3105.

■ 2. Section 204.10 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(5) to read as follows:

§ 204.10 Payment of interest on balances.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(5) The rates for IORR and IOER are:

	Rate (%)
IORR	1.75
IOER	1.75

* * * * *

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 22, 2018.

Ann E. Misback,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2018-06124 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 5

[Docket No. FDA-2018-N-0011]

Revision of Organization; Technical Amendment

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is amending its regulations to reflect organizational change for the Office of Regulatory Policy, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Office of Medical Products and Tobacco. FDA is taking this action to ensure accuracy and clarity in the Agency's regulations.

DATES: This rule is effective March 27, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Florine Purdie, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave. Bldg. 51, Rm. 6248, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, 301-796-3601.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA is amending 21 CFR 5.1100 to update the organizational information for the Office of Regulatory Policy, CDER, Office of Medical Products and Tobacco.

Publication of this document constitutes final action on this change under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553). FDA has determined that notice and public comment are unnecessary because this amendment to the regulations provides only a technical change to update the

⁷ 5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*

⁸ 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A).

⁹ 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

¹⁰ 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604.

¹¹ 44 U.S.C. 3506; see 5 CFR part 1320, appendix A.1.

organizational information for the Office of Regulatory Policy, CDER, Office of Medical Products and Tobacco.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 5

Authority delegations (Government agencies), Imports, Organization and functions (Government agencies).

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 5 is amended as follows:

PART 5—ORGANIZATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 5 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552; 21 U.S.C. 301–397.

§ 5.1100 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 5.1100, under the heading “OFFICE OF MEDICAL PRODUCTS AND TOBACCO”, under “Office of Regulatory Policy.”, under “Division of Regulatory Policy III.”, add the words “Division of Regulatory Policy IV.”.

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–06065 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2017–0929]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for all navigable waters on the Lower Mississippi River from mile marker (MM) 94 to MM 95, above Head of Passes. The safety zone is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by a fireworks display. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or a designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2017–0929 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rulemaking, call or email Lieutenant Commander (LCDR) Howard Vacco, Sector New Orleans, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 504–365–2281, email Howard.K.Vacco@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

AHP Above Head of Passes
COTP Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans
CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
MM Mile marker
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

On September 14, 2017, the New Orleans Convention Company, Inc. notified the Coast Guard that it would be conducting a fireworks display from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 21, 2018 to for the fireworks to be launched from a barge on the Lower Mississippi River at mile marker (MM) 94.5, above Head of Passes (AHP), New Orleans, LA. In response, on December 11, 2017, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA in 82 FR 58147. There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this fireworks display. During the comment period that ended on February 9, 2018, we received 0 comments.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the fireworks to be used in this April 21, 2018 display will be a safety concern for anyone within a one mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River. The purpose of this rule is to ensure safety of persons and vessels on the navigable waters in the safety zone before, during, and after the scheduled event.

IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes, and the Rule

As noted above, we received no comments on our NPRM published on December 11, 2017. There are no changes in the regulatory text of this rule from the proposed rule in the NPRM.

This rule establishes a safety zone from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 21, 2018. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River between MMs 94 and 95 AHP in New Orleans, LA. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled fireworks display. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector New Orleans. Vessels requiring entry into this safety zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF–FM Channel 16 or 67. Persons and vessels permitted to enter this safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on this rule only encompassing a one mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River for one hour in the evening.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received no comments from the Small Business Administration on this rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V. A. above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132,

Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone lasting one hour that will prohibit entry within a one mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River, between MMs 94 and 95. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add § 165.T08–0929 to read as follows:

§ 165.T08–0929 Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA between Mile Marker (MM) 94 and MM 95 above Head of Passes.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 21, 2018.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector New Orleans.

(2) Vessels requiring entry into this safety zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF–FM Channel 16 or 67.

(3) Persons and vessels permitted to enter this safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.

(d) *Information broadcasts.* The COTP or a designated representative will inform the public through Broadcast

Notices to Mariners of any changes in the planned schedule.

Wayne R. Arguin,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans.

[FR Doc. 2018-06073 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2018-0104]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for all navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River from Mile Marker (MM) 94.5 to MM 95.5, above Head of Passes. The safety zone is needed to protect persons and vessels from potential hazards created by a fireworks display. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or a designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 15, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2018-0104 in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rulemaking, call or email Lieutenant Commander (LCDR) Howard Vacco, Sector New Orleans, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 504-365-2281, email Howard.K.Vacco@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

COTP Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans
 CFR Code of Federal Regulations
 DHS Department of Homeland Security
 FR Federal Register
 MM Mile Marker
 NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
 § Section
 U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) because it is impracticable. It is impracticable to publish an NPRM because we must establish this safety zone on April 15, 2018 to prevent injury to persons and vessels and lack sufficient time to provide a reasonable comment period and then consider those comments before issuing the rule.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule would be impracticable because urgent action is necessary to protect the public and vessels from the hazards associated with a fireworks display.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with a fireworks display on April 15, 2018, will be a safety concern for anyone within a one-mile range of the Lower Mississippi River above Head of Passes. This rule is needed to protect persons and vessels in the navigable waters within the safety zone from the hazards associated with a fireworks display.

IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 15, 2018. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters between Mile Markers (MMs) 94.5 and 95.5 on the Lower Mississippi River above Head of Passes. The duration of the zone is intended to protect persons and vessels in these navigable waters from the hazards associated with a fireworks display. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer

of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector New Orleans.

The COTP or a designated representative may be contacted on VHF-FM Channel 16 or 67. Persons and vessels permitted to enter this safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This rule has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on this rule only encompassing a one-mile stretch of river for one hour in the evening.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement

Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (42 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone lasting only one hour that will prohibit entry within a one-mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River above Head of Passes. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60 of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T08–0104 to read as follows:

§ 165.T08–0104 Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA between Mile Markers (MMs) 94.5 and 95.5 above Head of Passes.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 7:30 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on April 15, 2018.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector New Orleans.

(2) Vessels requiring entry into this safety zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF–FM Channel 16 or 67.

(3) Persons and vessels permitted to enter this safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.

(d) *Information broadcasts.* The COTP or a designated representative will inform the public through Broadcast Notices to Mariners of any changes in the planned schedule.

Wayne R. Arguin,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans.

[FR Doc. 2018–06075 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2017–0930]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for all navigable waters on the Lower Mississippi River from mile marker (MM) 94 to MM 95, above Head of Passes. The safety zone is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the

marine environment from potential hazards created by a fireworks display. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or a designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 8 p.m. through 9 p.m. on April 22, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2017–0930 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rulemaking, call or email Lieutenant Commander (LCDR) Howard Vacco, Sector New Orleans, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 504–365–2281, email Howard.K.Vacco@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

AHP	Above Head of Passes
COTP	Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
FR	Federal Register
MM	Mile marker
NPRM	Notice of proposed rulemaking
§	Section
U.S.C.	United States Code

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

On March 14, 2017, the NOLA 2018 Foundation notified the Coast Guard that it would be conducting a fireworks display from 8 p.m. through 9 p.m. on April 22, 2018 for the fireworks to be launched from a barge on the Lower Mississippi River at the approximate mile marker (MM) 94.5, above Head of Passes (AHP), New Orleans, LA. In response, on December 11, 2017, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA (82 FR 58151). There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this fireworks display. During the comment period that ended on February 9, 2018, we received no comments.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the fireworks to be used in this April 22, 2018 display

will be a safety concern for anyone within a one mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River. The purpose of this rule is to ensure safety of persons and vessels on the navigable waters in the safety zone before, during, and after the scheduled event.

IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes, and the Rule

As noted above, we received no comments on our NPRM published on December 11, 2017. There are no changes in the regulatory text of this rule from the proposed rule in the NPRM.

This rule establishes a safety zone from 8 p.m. through 9 p.m. on April 22, 2018. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River between MMs 94 and 95 AHP in New Orleans, LA. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled fireworks display. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector New Orleans. Vessels requiring entry into this safety zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF–FM Channel 16 or 67. Persons and vessels permitted to enter this safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and

pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on this rule only encompassing a one mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River for one hour in the evening.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received 0 comments from the Small Business Administration on this rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A. above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone lasting one hour that will prohibit entry within a one-mile stretch of the Lower Mississippi River, between MMs 94 and 95. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A Record of Environmental

Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T08–0930 to read as follows:

§ 165.T08–0930 Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River, New Orleans, LA between Mile Marker (MM) 94 and MM 95 above Head of Passes.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 8 p.m. through 9 p.m. on April 22, 2018.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector New Orleans.

(2) Vessels requiring entry into this safety zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF–FM Channel 16 or 67.

(3) Persons and vessels permitted to enter this safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.

(d) *Information broadcasts.* The COTP or a designated representative will

inform the public through Broadcast Notices to Mariners of any changes in the planned schedule.

Wayne R. Arguin,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans.

[FR Doc. 2018–06074 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[EPA–R08–OAR–2017–0552; FRL–9975–39–Region 8]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Colorado; Control of Emissions From Existing Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a new state plan (the “plan”) submitted by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) for the regulation of existing commercial and industrial solid waste incineration (CISWI) units within the jurisdiction of the State of Colorado. The plan has been submitted to the EPA for approval following the promulgation of federal new source performance standards (NSPS) and emission guidelines (EG) for CISWI units on March 21, 2011, and the subsequent, limited revisions to that final rule on February 7, 2013, and June 23, 2016. This plan approval final rulemaking action is being taken in accordance with sections 111(d) and 129 of the Clean Air Act (CAA, or the “Act”).

DATES: This final rule is effective on April 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2017–0552. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through www.regulations.gov, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**

CONTACT section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Lohrke, Air Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mail Code 8P-AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202-1129, (303) 312-6396, lohrke.gregory@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background Information

Sections 111 and 129 of the CAA outline the EPA's statutory authority for regulating new and existing solid waste incineration units. Section 111(b) directs the EPA Administrator (the "Administrator") to publish and periodically revise a list of source categories which significantly cause or contribute to air pollution. This subsection also directs the Administrator to establish federal standards of performance for new sources within these categories. Section 111(d) grants the EPA statutory authority to require states to submit to the agency implementation plans for establishing performance standards applicable to existing sources belonging to those categories established in section 111(b). Section 129 specifically addresses solid waste combustion and requires that the EPA regulate new and existing waste incineration units pursuant to section 111 of the Act, including the requirement that a state in which existing designated facilities operate, submit for approval, a state plan for each category of regulated waste incineration units. Section 129(b)(3) requires the EPA to promulgate a federal plan for existing waste incineration units of any designated category located in any state which has not submitted an approvable 111(d)/129 state plan for said category of waste incineration units. Such federal plans remain in effect until the state in question submits a new or revised state plan and subsequently receives approval and promulgation of the plan under 40 CFR part 62.

State plan submittals under CAA sections 111(d) and 129 must be consistent with the relevant new or revised EG. Section 129(a)(1)(D) of the Act requires the EPA to develop and periodically revise operating standards for new and existing CISWI units. The NSPS and EG for CISWI units were promulgated on December 1, 2000, at 40 CFR part 60, subparts CCCC and DDDD, respectively. Revisions to the CISWI NSPS and EG were subsequently promulgated by the EPA on March 21, 2011 (76 FR 15704), with final actions on reconsideration of the rule published

on February 7, 2013 (78 FR 9112), and June 23, 2016 (81 FR 40956). State plan requirements specific to CISWI units, along with a model rule to ease adoption of the EG, are found in subpart DDDD, while more general state plan requirements are found in 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, and part 62, subpart A. The guidelines found in subpart DDDD require that states impose emission limits on designated facilities for those pollutants regulated under section 129, including: Dioxins/furans, carbon monoxide, metals (cadmium, lead and mercury), hydrogen chloride, sulfur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, opacity and particulate matter. The EG also requires that state plans include essential elements pursuant to section 129 requirements, including monitoring, operator training and facility permitting requirements.

On July 14, 2017, the CDPHE submitted to the EPA a new section 111(d)/129 state plan for existing CISWI units in the State of Colorado. The current "state plan" is a negative declaration letter certifying the absence of any known designated facilities regulated under the CISWI rule. The current negative declaration was approved and promulgated by the EPA on September 17, 2003 (68 FR 54373), at 40 CFR part 62, subpart G. Since the revision of the CISWI rule, the State of Colorado has identified at least one operational designated facility which would be regulated under the revised rule, and has submitted a new state plan, summarized in the following section, to comply with CAA section 111/129 requirements.

II. Summary of Colorado's Section 111(d)/129 Plan for Existing CISWI Units

The EPA has completed a review of the new Colorado section 111(d)/129 plan for existing CISWI units. The EPA has determined that the plan submittal meets the requirements found in 40 CFR part 60, subparts B and DDDD, and part 62, subpart A. Accordingly, the EPA is approving the submitted state plan as proposed. *See* 83 FR 768 (Jan. 8, 2018). The EPA's final approval action is limited to the new CISWI state plan and the subpart DDDD "Model Rule" addressing CISWI units as they are incorporated by the State of Colorado in the Code of Colorado Regulations (CCR) at 5 CCR 1001-8, part A, subpart DDDD. A detailed summary of the submittal's compliance with the requirements found in the CFR is available in the technical support document (TSD) associated with this rulemaking action. The TSD has been available in the docket for this rulemaking action during

the public comment period and may be found at the www.regulations.gov website.

III. Response to Public Comments

This rule will be finalized as proposed without revisions. The EPA received a total of three anonymous public comments on the proposed approval and promulgation of the Colorado CISWI State plan. After reviewing the comments, the EPA has determined that the comments are outside the scope of our proposed action or fail to identify any material issue necessitating a response. All public comments received on this rulemaking action are available for review by the public and may be viewed by following the instructions for access to docket materials as outlined in the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

IV. Final Action

The EPA is approving Colorado's section 111(d)/129 state plan for existing CISWI units because the state plan requirements are at least as stringent as the requirements for existing CISWI units found in 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD. Therefore, the EPA is amending 40 CFR part 62, subpart G, to reflect the withdrawal of Colorado's negative declaration for existing CISWI units, and the approval of this plan. The scope of the plan approval is limited to the provisions of 40 CFR parts 60 and 62 for existing CISWI units, as found in the emission guidelines at 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD. The Administrator retains the authorities listed under 40 CFR 60.2542 and 60.2030(c).

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a section 111(d)/129 plan submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. Thus, in reviewing section 111(d)/129 plan submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA and are not specifically disapproved. Accordingly, this action merely finalizes approval of state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- Is not expected to be an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and,
- Is not subject to Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) because it does not establish an environmental health or safety standard.

In addition, this final rule is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the

Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 29, 2018. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See CAA section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Commercial and industrial solid waste incineration, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Douglas H. Benevento,
Regional Administrator, Region 8.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 62 as set forth below:

PART 62—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF STATE PLANS FOR DESIGNATED FACILITIES AND POLLUTANTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart G—Colorado

■ 2. Subpart G is amended by revising § 62.1380 and adding §§ 62.1381 and 62.1382 to read as follows:

§ 62.1380 Identification of plan.

111(d) Plan for Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units and the associated State regulation as it is incorporated in the Code of Colorado Regulations (CCR) under the Colorado Air Quality Control Commission’s Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources, 5 CCR 1001-8, part A, subpart DDDD. The plan and associated regulation were submitted by the State on July 14, 2017.

§ 62.1381 Identification of sources.

The plan applies to each existing commercial and industrial solid waste incinerator unit and air curtain incinerator in the State of Colorado that commenced construction on or before June 4, 2010, or commenced modification or reconstruction after

June 4, 2010, but no later than August 7, 2013, as such incinerator units are defined in § 60.2875 of 40 CFR part 60. The plan applies only to units not exempt under the conditions of § 60.2555 of that part.

§ 62.1382 Effective date.

The federally enforceable effective date of the plan for commercial and industrial solid waste incinerators is April 26, 2018.

[FR Doc. 2018-06076 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Parts 1816, 1832, and 1852

RIN 2700-AE39

NASA Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Revised Voucher and Invoice Submission & Payment Process (NFS Case 2017-N014)

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NASA is issuing a final rule amending the NASA Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (NFS) to implement revisions to the voucher and invoice submittal and payment process.

DATES: *Effective:* April 26, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Geoffrey Sage, NASA HQ, Office of Procurement, Contract and Grant Policy Division, LP-011, 300 E. Street SW, Washington, DC 20456-0001. Telephone 202-358-2420; facsimile 202-358-3082.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: NASA published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** at 82 FR 43511 on September 18, 2017, to revise the NFS to implement revisions to the voucher submittal and payment process. These revisions are necessary in order for NASA to comply with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued Memorandum M-15-19, which directed federal agencies to transition to electronic invoicing for appropriate federal procurements by the end of fiscal year 2018. In Fiscal Year 2016, NASA revised their voucher submission and payment process to electronically process cost type vouchers under cost-reimbursement type contracts. As part of NASA’s goal to have all contract payments processed electronically by the end of fiscal year 2018, this rule revises NASA’s submission and payment process to

have invoices for fixed price contracts and fee vouchers for cost-type contracts submitted electronically.

II. Discussion

There were no public comments submitted in response to the proposed rule. The proposed rule has been converted to a final rule, without change.

III. Expected Cost Savings Based on Implementation of This Rule

NASA processes approximately 55,000 invoices and vouchers per year. Of this total population, per FPDS data compiled between FY2014 through FY2016, approximately 59% were submitted by large business and 41% were submitted by small businesses. Roughly 13% of the total 55,000 invoices and vouchers submitted were processed electronically through an electronic eInvoicing Secure File Transfer (SFT) and the remaining 87% were processed manually by the NASA Shared Services Center. With the publication of this rule, NASA will be processing the payment of all invoices and vouchers electronically through an electronic eInvoicing SFT.

Currently, when a payment is not processed through an electronic eInvoicing SFT, vendors must submit invoices and vouchers via hardcopy, email, or fax. The NASA Shared Service Center transaction cost for manually processing each invoice or voucher not submitted electronically through an electronic eInvoicing SFT is \$2.11 per submission. By adopting a 100% electronic eInvoicing SFT process, NASA can eliminate the manual processing charge of approximately \$100,964 per year. In addition, NASA anticipates that the transition to a 100% electronic eInvoicing SFT process will result in the reduction of late payment interest charges. In FY2016, NASA paid approximately \$78,000 in late payment interest charges. NASA estimates that it will reduce the amount of late payment interest charges by 50% upon the elimination of the manual processing of submitted invoices and vouchers due to the efficiencies gained by utilizing the electronic eInvoicing SFT process. By combining the savings achieved from moving to a 100% electronic eInvoicing SFT processing of invoices and vouchers and the reduction in the amount of late payment charges the estimated annualized cost savings for the Government is \$139,964.

The transition to a 100% electronic eInvoicing SFT method of processing invoices and vouchers will result in contract administration savings for both small and large businesses. It is

estimated that .05 less hours will be required to prepare and submit an invoice or voucher electronically as opposed to processing through a non-eInvoicing method. Burdened labor rate equivalent to the journeyman level was used to estimate cost savings. Therefore, NASA estimates that the submission of invoices and vouchers through the electronic eInvoicing SFT will result in an estimated annualized cost savings for the public of approximately \$144,485.

The total annualized cost savings is estimated at \$284,449.

IV. Executive Order 13771

This final rule is considered an E.O. 13771 deregulatory action. Details can be found in the "Expected Cost Savings Based on Implementation of this Rule" section of the rule.

V. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

VI. Regulatory Flexibility Act

NASA does not expect this rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because the guidance will not create additional burden to the contractor but rather the rule is intended to update the current voucher and invoice submission process at NASA resulting in fewer voucher/invoice rejections, rework, and payment delays. A final regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, and is summarized as follows:

The purpose of this rule is to implement revisions to the voucher and invoice submittal and payment process. These revisions are necessary in order for NASA to comply with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued Memorandum M-15-19, which directed federal agencies to transition to

electronic invoicing for appropriate federal procurements by the end of fiscal year 2018.

No comments were received in response to the initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

This rule would apply to contractor requests for payment under all contract types. An analysis of data in the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) revealed that cost reimbursement and fixed priced contracts are primarily awarded to small businesses. FPDS data compiled over the past three fiscal years (FY2014 through FY2016) showed an average of 76,675 NASA contract actions, of which 45,011 (approximately 59%) were awarded to small businesses. However, there is no significant economic or administrative cost impact to small or large businesses because the rule will have a positive benefit in the way of fewer voucher rejections, rework, and payment delays since all of the fee vouchers and invoices that were previously processed manually will be processed electronically.

In FY16, NASA processed approximately 55,000 vendor payment requests (invoice/voucher), which are currently received by various means (70% by email, 15% by mail, 2% by fax, 13% by an electronic eInvoicing SFT). NASA's current payment request process for fee vouchers and invoices requires manual intervention at almost every step in the process. Manual intervention decreases speed and accuracy and adds to the cost per invoice/voucher. This rule will further automate the processing of contract payments thus reducing processing delays, input errors, rework, interest penalties, which all add to the cost to process each invoice and voucher.

There are no new reporting requirements, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements.

There are no significant alternatives that could further minimize the already minimal impact on businesses, small or large.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1816, 1832, and 1852

Government procurement.

Geoffrey S. Sage,
NASA FAR Supplement Manager.

Accordingly, 48 CFR parts 1816, 1832, and 1852 are amended as follows:

■ 1. The authority citation for parts 1816, 1832 and 1852 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 51 U.S.C. 20113(a) and 48 CFR chapter 1.

PART 1816—TYPES OF CONTRACTS

■ 2. Revise 1816.506–70 to read as follows:

1816.506–70 NASA contract clause.

Insert the clause at 1852.216–80, Task Ordering Procedure, in solicitations and contracts when an indefinite-delivery, task order contract is contemplated. The clause is applicable to both fixed-price and cost-reimbursement type contracts. The contracting officer shall use the clause with its—

(a) Alternate I, if the cost type, fixed price with prospective price redetermination, or fixed-price incentive contract does not include a NASA Form 533M reporting requirements; or

(b) Alternate II, if a fixed price contract is contemplated.

PART 1832—CONTRACT FINANCING

■ 3. Revise 1832.908–70 to read as follows:

1832.908–70 Submission of vouchers/invoices.

Insert clause 1852.232–80, Submission of Vouchers/Invoices for Payment, in all solicitations and contracts.

PART 1852—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

1852.216–80 [Amended]

■ 4. Amend 1852.216–80 by revising ALTERNATE I and adding ALTERNATE II to read as follows:

1852.216–80 Task ordering procedure.

* * * * *

Alternate I (APR 2018)

As prescribed in 1816.506–70(a), insert the following paragraph (i):

(i) Contractor shall submit progress reports, as required. When required, the reports shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) Contract number, task order number, and date of the order.

(2) Total estimated dollar amount of task order(s).

(3) Cost and hours incurred to date for each issued task order.

(4) Costs and hours estimated to complete each issued task order.

(5) Significant issues/problems associated with a task order.

(6) Cost summary of the status of all task orders issued under the contract.
(7) Invoice number.

Alternate II (APR 2018)

As prescribed in 1816.506–70(b), insert the following paragraph (i):

(i) Contractor shall submit progress reports, as required. When required, the reports shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) Contract number, task order number, and date of the order.

(2) Price and billed amounts to date for each task order.

(3) Significant issues/problems associated with the task order.

(4) Status of all task orders issued under the contract.

(5) Invoice number.

* * * * *

■ 5. Amend section 1852.232–80 by—

■ a. Revising clause title and date;

■ b. In paragraph (b), removing the words “submit all vouchers electronically using” and adding the words “submit all vouchers and invoices using” in its place;

■ c. Revising paragraphs (c),(d), and (e).

The revisions read as follows:

1852.232–80 Submission of vouchers/invoices for payment.

As prescribed in 1832.908–70, insert the following clause:

Submission of Vouchers/Invoices for Payment (APR 2018)

* * * * *

(c) *Payment requests.*

(1) The payment periods are stipulated in the payment clause(s) contained in this contract.

(2) Vouchers submitted under cost type contracts and invoices submitted under fixed-price contracts shall include the items delineated in FAR 32.905(b) supported by relevant back-up documentation. Back-up documentation shall include at a minimum, the following information:

(i) *Vouchers.*

(A) Breakdown of billed labor costs and associated contractor generated supporting documentation for billed direct labor costs to include rates used and number of hours incurred.

(B) Breakdown of billed other direct costs (ODCs) and associated contractor generated supporting documentation for billed ODCs.

(C) Indirect rate(s) used to calculate the amount of billed indirect expenses.

(D) Progress reports, as required.

(ii) *Invoices.*

(A) Description of goods and services delivered as part of the contract’s terms and conditions, including the dates of delivery/performance.

(B) Progress reports, as required.

(C) Date goods and services were performed.

(iii) *Fee vouchers.*

(A) Listing of all provisionally-billed fee by period or date earned since contract award.

(B) A reconciliation of all billed and earned fee.

(C) A clear explanation of the fee calculations.

(d) *Non-electronic payment requests.* The Contractor may submit a non-electronic voucher/invoice using the steps for non-electronic payment requests described at <https://www.nssc.nasa.gov/vendorpayment>, when any of the following conditions are met:

(1) The Contracting Officer administering the contract for payment has determined, in writing, that electronic submission would be unduly burdensome to the Contractor.

(2) The contract includes provisions allowing the contractor to submit vouchers or invoices using the steps for non-electronic payment. In such instances the Contractor agrees to submit non-electronic payment requests using the method or methods specified in Section G of the contract.

(e) *Improper vouchers/invoices.* The NSSC Payment Office will notify the contractor of any apparent error, defect, or impropriety in a voucher/invoice within seven calendar days of receipt by the NSSC Payment Office. Inquiries regarding requests for payment should be directed to the NSSC as specified in paragraph (b) of this section.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2018–05883 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 170816769–8162–02]

RIN 0648–XG077

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 620 in the Gulf of Alaska

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Temporary rule; closure.

SUMMARY: NMFS is prohibiting directed fishing for pollock in Statistical Area 620 in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA). This action is necessary to prevent exceeding the B season allowance of the 2018 total allowable catch of pollock for Statistical Area 620 in the GOA.

DATES: Effective 1200 hours, Alaska local time (A.l.t.), March 23, 2018, through 1200 hours, A.l.t., May 31, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Josh Keaton, 907–586–7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NMFS manages the groundfish fishery in the GOA exclusive economic zone

according to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (FMP) prepared by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council under authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Regulations governing fishing by U.S. vessels in accordance with the FMP appear at subpart H of 50 CFR part 600 and 50 CFR part 679.

The B season allowance of the 2018 total allowable catch (TAC) of pollock in Statistical Area 620 of the GOA is 32,155 metric tons (mt) as established by the final 2018 and 2019 harvest specifications for groundfish in the GOA (83 FR 8768, March 1, 2018).

In accordance with § 679.20(d)(1)(i), the Regional Administrator has determined that the B season allowance of the 2018 TAC of pollock in Statistical Area 620 of the GOA will soon be reached. Therefore, the Regional Administrator is establishing a directed fishing allowance of 31,955 mt and is setting aside the remaining 200 mt as bycatch to support other anticipated

groundfish fisheries. In accordance with § 679.20(d)(1)(iii), the Regional Administrator finds that this directed fishing allowance has been reached. Consequently, NMFS is prohibiting directed fishing for pollock in Statistical Area 620 of the GOA.

After the effective date of this closure the maximum retainable amounts at § 679.20(e) and (f) apply at any time during a trip.

Classification

This action responds to the best available information recently obtained from the fishery. The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (AA), finds good cause to waive the requirement to provide prior notice and opportunity for public comment pursuant to the authority set forth at 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) as such requirement is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This requirement is impracticable and contrary to the public interest as it would prevent NMFS from responding to the most recent fisheries

data in a timely fashion and would delay the closure of directed fishing for pollock in Statistical Area 620 of the GOA. NMFS was unable to publish a notice providing time for public comment because the most recent, relevant data only became available as of March 21, 2018.

The AA also finds good cause to waive the 30-day delay in the effective date of this action under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3). This finding is based upon the reasons provided above for waiver of prior notice and opportunity for public comment.

This action is required by § 679.20 and is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Jennifer M. Wallace,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-06079 Filed 3-22-18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 59

Tuesday, March 27, 2018

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 679

RIN 0648–BH05

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reclassifying Squid Species in the BSAI and GOA

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability of fishery management plan amendments; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The North Pacific Fishery Management Council submitted Amendment 117 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI FMP) and Amendment 106 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (GOA FMP), (collectively Amendments 117/106) to the Secretary of Commerce for review. If approved, Amendments 117/106 would classify squid in these fishery management plans (FMPs) under the ecosystem component (EC) category. This action is necessary to ensure the squid complex is accurately classified in the FMPs based on the best available scientific information. Amendments 117/106 are intended to promote the goals and objectives of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the FMPs, and other applicable laws.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than May 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on this document, identified by NOAA–NMFS–2017–0090, by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic Submission:* Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e Rulemaking Portal. Go to [www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=](http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=NOAA-NMFS-2017-0090)

NOAA–NMFS–2017–0090, click the “Comment Now!” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

- *Mail:* Submit written comments to Glenn Merrill, Assistant Regional Administrator, Sustainable Fisheries Division, Alaska Region NMFS, Attn: Ellen Sebastian. Mail comments to P.O. Box 21668, Juneau, AK 99802–1668.

Instructions: Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on www.regulations.gov without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter “N/A” in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous).

Electronic copies of Amendments 117/106 and the Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review prepared for this action (collectively the “Analysis”) may be obtained from www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan Mackey, 907–586–7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) requires that each regional fishery management council (“regional council”) submit any fishery management plan amendment it prepares to NMFS for review and approval, disapproval, or partial approval by the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary). The Magnuson-Stevens Act also requires that NMFS, upon receiving a fishery management plan amendment, immediately publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing that the amendment is available for public review and comment. This notice announces that proposed Amendments 117/106 to the FMPs are available for public review and comment.

NMFS manages the groundfish fisheries in the exclusive economic zone under the FMPs. The North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) prepared the FMPs under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.* Regulations governing U.S.

fisheries and implementing the FMPs appear at 50 CFR parts 600 and 679.

Section 3.1.2 of the FMPs define two broad classifications for stocks or stock complexes (*i.e.*, species or species groups). The first classification is for stocks “in the fishery” that include target stocks in need of conservation and management that fishers seek to catch, and non-target stocks in need of conservation and management that are caught incidentally during the pursuit of target stocks. The second classification is for ecosystem component (EC) species that do not require conservation and management, but may be listed in an FMP in order to achieve ecosystem management objectives. Under the groundfish FMPs, NMFS must establish an overfishing level (OFL), an acceptable biological catch (ABC) and a total allowable catch (TAC) for each stock or stock complex (*i.e.*, species or species group) that are not in the EC.

The FMPs define the OFL as the level above which overfishing is occurring for a species or species group. NMFS manages fisheries in an effort to ensure that no OFLs are exceeded in any year. The FMPs define the ABC as the level of a species or species group’s annual catch that accounts for the scientific uncertainty in the estimate of OFL and any other scientific uncertainty. The ABC cannot exceed the OFL. The FMPs define the TAC as the annual catch target for a species or species group, derived from the ABC by considering social and economic factors and management uncertainty.

In 2010, Amendments 96/87 to the BSAI and GOA FMPs, respectively, established the EC category and designated prohibited species (salmon, steelhead trout, crab, halibut, and herring) and forage fish species (as defined in Table 2c to 50 CFR part 679 and § 679.20(i)) as EC species in the groundfish FMPs. Additional detail is provided in the final rule implementing Amendments 96/87, and is not repeated here (75 FR 61639, October 6, 2010).

In 2015, NMFS implemented Amendments 100/91 to the BSAI and GOA FMPs, respectively, to add grenadiers (family *Macrouridae*) to the EC category (80 FR 11897, March 5, 2015). The Council and NMFS added grenadiers to the FMPs in the EC category because grenadiers did not require conservation and management,

but acknowledged their role in the ecosystem and limited the groundfish fisheries' potential impact on grenadiers. Adding grenadiers to the EC category allowed for improved data collection and catch monitoring appropriate for grenadiers given their abundance, distribution, and catch. Additional detail is provided in the final rule implementing Amendments 100/91, and is not repeated here (80 FR 11897, March 5, 2015).

Squids are currently classified as "in the fishery" in section 3.1.2 of the groundfish FMPs. Since 2010, the Council's non-target committee, Plan Teams, and Scientific and Statistical Committee have recommended that the Council explore reclassifying squids as EC category species because there is no demand for squid and squid have not been targeted or open to directed fishing in either the BSAI or GOA for many years (see Analysis section 1.2). Further, there is no conservation concern for squids because they are extremely short-lived and highly productive, the current fishing mortality is considered insignificant at a population level, and they are unlikely to be overfished in the absence of a directed fishery (see Analysis section 3.2.5).

Under the groundfish FMPs, NMFS must establish an overfishing level (OFL), an acceptable biological catch (ABC) and a TAC for squids. Current OFLs and ABCs for squids are based on average catch calculations. While these limits are based on the best available scientific information, they are poorly linked to abundance. Most squids in the BSAI and GOA are associated with the pelagic environment, occurring in the water column and are almost certainly underestimated as described in section 3.2 of the Analysis.

Because squid have only been taken as incidental catch in recent years, historical removal levels are likely to be much lower than maximum sustainable yield. Ecosystem models indicating the amount of predator consumption of squids in the BSAI and GOA, provide further evidence that the catch-based estimates of OFLs and ABCs for squids are highly underestimated (see section 3.2.2 of the Analysis).

Under the current stock classification for squids, if the total TAC of squid is caught in the BSAI or GOA, retention is prohibited in that management area for the remainder of the year. If directed fisheries for groundfish species which incidentally catch squid would cause squid to exceed its OFL, NMFS may close those fisheries to directed fishing for those groundfish species in a management area to prevent exceeding

the squid OFL (see regulations at § 679.20(d)(3)).

Section 3.2.3 of the Analysis provides a detailed description of incidental catch of squids in the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries. Historically, the Bering Sea pollock fishery has taken the largest amount of squids relative to the TAC, ABC, and OFL for BSAI squids. Although NMFS has not closed the Bering Sea pollock fishery, or other groundfish fisheries in the BSAI or GOA, to directed fishing to prevent exceeding an OFL for squids, the Bering Sea pollock fishery has undertaken measures to avoid the incidental harvest of squids and exceeding the OFL for squids in the BSAI.

Section 302(h)(1) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act requires a council to prepare an FMP for each fishery under its authority that is in need of conservation and management. "Conservation and management" is defined in section 3(5) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. The National Standard (NS) guidelines at § 600.305(c) (revised on October 18, 2016, 81 FR 71858), provide direction for determining which stocks will require conservation and management and provide direction to regional councils and NMFS for how to consider these factors in making this determination. Specifically, the guidelines direct regional councils and NMFS to consider a non-exhaustive list of ten factors when deciding whether stocks require conservation and management.

Section 2.2.1 in the Analysis considers each of the ten factors' relevance to squids. The analysis showed that squids are an important component of the marine environment, particularly due to their importance as prey for marine mammals, fish and other squids. However, despite being classified as a target species, there are currently no directed fisheries for squids. Squids are not important to commercial, recreational or subsistence users, and the fisheries for BSAI and GOA squids are not an important fishery to the Nation or regional economy. There are no developing fisheries for squids in the EEZ off Alaska nor in waters of the State of Alaska (State). Currently, the State adopts the MRAs established in the Federal fisheries for fisheries in State waters. In the absence of a directed fishery, squids are unlikely to become overfished. Therefore, maintaining squids in the FMPs for conservation and management is not likely to improve or maintain the condition of the stock.

In June of 2017, the Council recommended and NMFS proposes Amendments 117/106 to reclassify

squids as EC category species in the FMPs. Based on a review of the scientific information, and after considering the revised NS guidelines, the Council and NMFS determined that squids are not in need of conservation and management, and that classifying squids in the EC category is an appropriate action.

While the Council determined that squids are not in need of conservation and management as defined by the Magnuson-Stevens Act, and after considering the revised NS guidelines, the Council and NMFS determined that there are benefits to retaining squids as an EC species complex in the FMPs, especially given their ecological importance in the BSAI and GOA.

Amendments 117/106 would amend section 3.1.2 of the FMPs to establish the squids EC species complex in the FMPs. Amendments 117/106 would allow NMFS to prohibit directed fisheries for squids and limit the retention and commercial exchange of squids. By virtue of being classified as EC species, catch specifications for squids (OFL, ABC, and TAC) would no longer be required.

NMFS is soliciting public comments on proposed Amendments 117/106 through the end of the comment period (see **DATES**). NMFS intends to publish in the **Federal Register** and seek public comment on a proposed rule that would implement Amendments 117/106, following NMFS' evaluation of the proposed rule under the Magnuson-Stevens Act.

Respondents do not need to submit the same comments on Amendments 117/106 and the proposed rule. All relevant written comments received by the end of the applicable comment period, whether specifically directed to the FMP amendments or the proposed rule will be considered by NMFS in the approval/disapproval decision for Amendments 117/106 and addressed in the response to comments in the final decision. Comments received after end of the applicable comment period will not be considered in the approval/disapproval decision on Amendments 117/106. To be considered, comments must be received, not just postmarked or otherwise transmitted, by the last day of the comment period (see **DATES**).

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Jennifer M. Wallace,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-06084 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 59

Tuesday, March 27, 2018

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments are requested regarding (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments regarding this information collection received by April 26, 2018 will be considered. Written comments should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), *OIRA Submission@omb.eop.gov* or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Food and Nutrition Service

Title: Waivers Under Section 6(o) of the Food and Nutrition Act.

OMB Control Number: 0584–0479.

Summary of Collection: This is a renewal of an existing information collection. Section 824 of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104–193 (PRWORA) establishes a time limit for the receipt of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits for certain able-bodied adults who are not working. The provision authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture, upon a State agency's request, to waive the provision for any group of individuals if the Secretary determines “that the areas in which the individuals reside has an unemployment rate of over 10 percent or does not have a sufficient number of jobs to provide employment for the individuals.”

Need and Use of the Information: As required in the statute, in order to receive a waiver, the State agency must submit sufficient supporting information so that the Secretary can make the required determination as to the area's unemployment rate or insufficiency of available jobs. This collection of information is necessary in order to obtain waivers of the SNAP ABAWD time limit.

Description of Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal Government; Individuals or household; Federal Government.

Number of Respondents: 53.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: On occasion, Annually.

Total Burden Hours: 1,198.

Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–05796 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XG119

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC); Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council's (Council) Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Advisory Panel will hold a meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Friday, April 13, 2018, beginning at 9 a.m. and will conclude by 2 p.m. For agenda details, see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held via webinar with a telephone-only audio connection: <http://mafmc.adobeconnect.com/msb-ap-2018/>. Telephone instructions are provided upon connecting, or the public can call direct: 800–832–0736, Rm: *7833942#.

Council address: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 N State Street, Suite 201, Dover, DE 19901; telephone: (302) 674–2331 or on their website at www.mafmc.org.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher M. Moore, Ph.D., Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, telephone: (302) 526–5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purposes of the meeting include: (1) To create a *Fishery Performance Report* by the Council's Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish (MSB) Advisory Panel. This report facilitates structured input from the Advisory Panel members for the MSB specifications process for the Council and its Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC), (2) gather Advisory Panel input regarding rebuilding options and other management measures for Atlantic mackerel, including the river herring and shad catch cap.

Special Accommodations

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other

auxiliary aid should be directed to M. Jan Saunders, (302) 526-5251, at least 5 days prior to any meeting date.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Jeffrey N. Lonergan,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-06088 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG111

Fisheries of the South Atlantic; South Atlantic Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of meeting of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council's Personnel Committee (closed session).

SUMMARY: The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a meeting of its Personnel Committee in closed session to discuss personnel issues.

DATES: The meeting will be held April 10, 2018, from 1 p.m. until 5 p.m. and April 11, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. until 1 p.m.

ADDRESSES: *Meeting address:* The meeting will be held at the Crown Plaza, 4831 Tanger Outlet Boulevard, North Charleston, SC 29418; telephone: (843) 744-4422.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gregg Waugh, Executive Director, SAFMC; phone: (843) 302-8433 or toll free: (866) SAFMC-10; fax: (843) 769-4520; email: Gregg.Waugh@safmc.net.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council's Personnel Committee will meet in closed session to discuss personnel issues as they pertain to Council staff.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is being held in closed session.

Note: The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Jeffrey N. Lonergan,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-06086 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG117

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council); Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of meetings of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council's advisory panels.

SUMMARY: The Council will hold meetings of the following advisory panels in April 2018: Snapper Grouper; the Mackerel Cobia and Cobia Sub-Panel; and Law Enforcement.

DATES: The Snapper Grouper AP meeting will take place April 11, 2018, from 1:30 p.m. to 5 p.m., April 12, from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m., and April 13, from 9 a.m. until 12 p.m. The Mackerel Cobia AP and Cobia Subpanel will meet April 16, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m. and April 17, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. until 1 p.m. The Law Enforcement AP will meet on April 18, 2018, from 1:30 p.m. until 5 p.m. and April 19, 2018, from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES:

Meeting address: The meetings will be held at the Crown Plaza Hotel, 4831 Tanger Outlet Blvd., North Charleston, SC 29418.

Council address: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, N Charleston, SC 29405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Iverson, Public Information Officer, SAFMC; phone: (843) 571-4366 or toll free: (866) SAFMC-10; fax: (843) 769-4520; email: kim.iverson@safmc.net.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Snapper Grouper Advisory Panel

Agenda items for the Snapper Grouper AP include the following: A review of Regulatory Amendment 28 (golden tilefish measures); a socio-economic profile of the commercial snapper grouper fishery; development of Fishery Performance Reports for red porgy and greater amberjack; research needs for the Council's Citizen Science Program; U.S. Coast Guard living marine resources law enforcement priorities; and updates on amendments currently under development and stock assessment schedules. Advisory panel members will provide recommendations as appropriate.

Mackerel Cobia AP and Cobia Subpanel

The Mackerel Cobia AP and Cobia Sub-Panel will meet jointly. The AP and Cobia Sub-Panel will receive updates on recent actions related to Coastal Migratory Pelagic (CMP) species including: Framework Amendment 6 to the CMP Fishery Management Plan for the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic (king mackerel trip limits), Amendment 31 to the CMP Fishery Management Plan (Atlantic cobia management), and the Southeast, Data, Assessment and Review (SEDAR) 58 Stock Identification Workshop and Benchmark Assessment for Atlantic cobia. The AP and Sub-Panel will also receive updates and discuss management measures relative to: Citizen science research needs, United States Coast Guard (USCG) law enforcement priorities, Spanish mackerel gill net regulations, regulatory reform for CMP species, and the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) Coastal Trawl Survey. The AP and Sub-Panel will provide recommendations as appropriate. The AP and Sub-Panel will also complete Fishery Performance Reports for king mackerel and Spanish mackerel.

Law Enforcement AP

Agenda items for the Law Enforcement AP meeting include the following: Input on law enforcement issues relative to a review of the Wreckfish Individual Transferable Quota (ITQ) Program; discussion of penalties; shrimp regulations (recreational fishing and possession) in federal waters; USCG law enforcement priorities; Spiny Lobster Amendment 13 (bully nets and gear modifications); Spanish mackerel gillnet mesh size requirements; and updates on amendments under development, a mobile app to assist enforcement of reporting requirements, enforcement of managed areas, and protected resources issues. Advisory panel members will provide recommendations as appropriate.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids should be directed to the council office (see **ADDRESSES**) 3 days prior to the public hearings.

Note: The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Jeffrey N. Lonergan,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-06087 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG113

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC); Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold public meetings of the Council and its Committees.

DATES: The meetings will be held Tuesday, April 10, 2018 through Thursday, April 12, 2018. For agenda details, see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

ADDRESSES:

Meeting address: The meeting will be held at: The Montauk Yacht Club, 32 Star Island Road, Montauk, NY 11954, telephone: (631) 668-3100.

Council address: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 N State St., Suite 201, Dover, DE 19901; telephone: (302) 674-2331.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher M. Moore, Ph.D. Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council; telephone: (302) 526-5255. The Council's website, www.mafmc.org also has details on the meeting location, proposed agenda, webinar listen-in access, and briefing materials.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following items are on the agenda, though agenda items may be addressed out of order (changes will be noted on the Council's website when possible.)

Tuesday, April 10, 2018

Mackerel, Squid, Butterfish Committee Meeting as a Committee of the Whole Summary of SAW/SARC 64

Atlantic Mackerel and Squid Issues

Approve range of rebuilding alternatives and identify preliminary preferred alternative (Framework Meeting 1); consider mackerel closure impacts on Atlantic herring fishery; approve range of 2019-21 mackerel

specifications and identify preliminary preferred alternatives; approve range of 2019-21 river herring/shad cap measures and identify preliminary preferred alternatives; possible emergency action regarding squid trimester 2 closure.

Shortfin Mako Shark

Emergency interim final rule measures and management options for upcoming amendment

Wednesday, April 11, 2018

Status of the Ecosystem Report

Blueline Tilefish Specifications

Develop and approve 2019-21 blueline tilefish specifications.

Law Enforcement Report

Reports will be received from the NOAA Office of Law Enforcement and the U.S. Coast Guard.

Golden Tilefish Specifications

Review SSC, Advisory Panel, Monitoring Committee, and staff recommendations for 2019 specifications.

Golden Tilefish Permit Issue

Discuss permit data and next steps.

Summer Flounder, Scup, Black Sea Bass Mesh Selectivity Study

Thursday, April 12, 2018

Business Session

Committee Reports (Scientific and Statistical Committee); Executive Director's Report (Develop Comments for South-Atlantic For-Hire Electronic Reporting Amendment); Organization Reports; and, Liaison Reports.

Continuing and New Business

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Actions will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language

interpretation or other auxiliary aid should be directed to M. Jan Saunders, (302) 526-5251, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Jeffrey N. Lonergan,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-06083 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2018-0006]

Draft Guidelines for Determining Age Appropriateness of Toys

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission, or CPSC) is announcing the availability of a draft document titled, "Guidelines for Determining Age Appropriateness of Toys." The Commission requests comments on the draft document. **DATES:** Submit comments by June 11, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC-2018-0006, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions: Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. The Commission does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through www.regulations.gov. The Commission encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

Written Submissions: Submit written submissions by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: www.regulations.gov. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If furnished at all, such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: www.regulations.gov, and insert the docket number CPSC–2018–0006, into the “Search” box, and follow the prompts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Khalisa Phillips, Psychologist, Division of Human Factors, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 5 Research Place, Rockville, MD 20850–3213; telephone: 301–987–2592; email: KPhillips@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) staff,¹ with input from the Child and Family Research Section staff at the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) within the National Institutes of Health (NIH), has revised the current (2002) *Age Determination Guidelines: Relating Children’s Ages to Toy Characteristics and Play Behavior*, based on a literature review, parent toy survey, and observational study of children from multiple age groups playing with select toys. The draft revised guidance document, *Guidelines for Determining Age Appropriateness of Toys*, addresses toys that have come onto the market since the last update and provides changes to the recommended age group for certain classic toys. Many toy-related injuries could be prevented by age-labeling products for the age group for whom they are intended. Providing the consumer product toy industry with better age-grading guidance, and describing how these principles can be applied to their products, can help reduce product-related incidents and reduce costly compliance and enforcement actions.

The draft guidance document is intended for CPSC staff, industry stakeholders, third party testing laboratories, and manufacturers in the consumer product toy sector. The draft guidance can be tailored to meet the needs of a particular toy, recognizing that not all guidance applies to all products. The draft guidance document is not a rule and does not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.

The draft guidance document is available on the Commission’s website at: https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/DRAFT%20Age%20Determination%20Guidelines%20for%20Toys.pdf?xc38j_e7mgBIBA.wPVonS_Q0_MN3fYHz, and from the Commission’s Office of the Secretary, at the location

listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

The Commission invites comments on the draft document, “Determining Age Appropriateness of Toys.” Specifically, the Commission is seeking comments on the recommended age groups for all toys that have been added to or changed in the document since the last update, and on the research methodology and analyses performed in the study. See “*Draft Summary Table for Updating Age Determination Guidelines for Toys*” (available at <https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Draft%20Summary%20Spreadsheet%20for%20Updating%20Age%20Determination%20Guidelines%20for%20Toys.xlsx?uQIGW9pCK4nDRnWBjiYUyUaMG99bNQA>) for a list of all toys and respective age recommendations; and “*CPSC Toy Guidelines: Research Document*” (available at https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Draft%20Research%20Document%20for%20Updating%20Age%20Determination%20Guidelines%20for%20Toys.pdf?oap6_dYUWpkLn.Bqc.S2qXpJnr3Ll3N) for a summary of research methods and analyses performed in the study. Comments should be submitted by June 11, 2018. Information on how to submit comments can be found in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–06066 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2018–0007]

The Internet of Things and Consumer Product Hazards

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing and request for written comments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC, Commission, or we) will conduct a public hearing to receive information from all interested parties about potential safety issues and hazards associated with internet-connected consumer products. The information received from the public hearing will be used to inform future Commission risk management work. The Commission also requests written comments.

DATES: The Commission hearing will begin at 10 a.m., on May 16, 2018, and

will conclude the same day. The Commission hearing will also be available through a webcast, but viewers will not be able to interact with the panels and presenters through the webcast. Requests to make oral presentations and the written text of any oral presentations must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than 5 p.m., on May 2, 2018. The Commission will accept written comments, as well, through June 15, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The hearing will be in the Hearing Room, 4th Floor of the Bethesda Towers Building, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814. Requests to make oral presentations, and texts of oral presentations, should be captioned: “The Internet of Things and Consumer Products Hazards,” and sent by email to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov, or mailed or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814, no later than 5 p.m. on May 2, 2018.

You may submit written comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2018–0007, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions: Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. The Commission does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through www.regulations.gov. The Commission encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

Written Submissions: Submit written submissions by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: www.regulations.gov. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If furnished at all, such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to:

¹ This document was prepared under the direction of CPSC staff and has not been reviewed and does not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission.

www.regulations.gov, and insert the docket number CPSC–2018–0007, into the “Search” box, and follow the prompts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Patricia Adair, Director, Risk Management Group, Office of Hazard Identification and Reduction, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Hwy., Room 813, Bethesda, MD 20814. Telephone: 301–504–7335; Email: padair@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

There has been an increase in the number of consumer products with a connection to the internet that can transmit or receive data, upload or download operating software or firmware, or communicate with other internet-connected devices. This connected environment is commonly called “the Internet of Things” (IoT). This internet connectivity within and among products holds the promise of many benefits for consumers. However, internet connectivity is also capable of introducing a potential for harm (a hazard) where none existed before the connection was established. The consumer hazards that could conceivably be created by IoT devices include: Fire, burn, shock, tripping or falling, laceration, contusion, and chemical exposure. We do not consider personal data security and privacy issues that may be related to IoT devices to be consumer product hazards that CPSC would address.

The growth of IoT-related products is a challenge for all CPSC stakeholders to address. Regulators, standards organizations, and business and consumer advocates must work collaboratively to develop a framework for best practices. To that end, the Commission will hold a public hearing for all interested parties on consumer product safety issues related to IoT.

Broadly speaking, the product safety challenges of IoT products appear to fall into two main categories:

1. *Prevention or elimination of hazardous conditions designed into products intentionally or without sufficient consideration, e.g., high-risk remote operation or network enabled control of products or product features.* Such products function as intended on delivery with unreasonable levels of risk, or have design defects that were not considered or were disregarded before delivery. In many ways, the preventive or corrective work related to such products can be seen as traditional activity for industry and for the CPSC. However, the high rate of growth,

unlimited scope of application, and limited experience with such products present new safety challenges.

2. *Preventing and addressing incidents of hazardization.*

Hazardization is the situation created when a product that was safe when obtained by a consumer but which, when connected to a network, becomes hazardous through malicious, incorrect, or careless changes to operational code. Managing these kinds of hazards may lead industry and regulators to examine policies related to code encryption and security, authorized access to programming, and defensive measures (and countermeasures) for device software. This is a non-traditional area of product safety activity for the consumer product industry and for the CPSC.

Examples of hazards created by an internet-connected product include:

- Remote operation: For example, the remote activation of the heating elements on a cooktop could create a fire or burn hazard.
- Unexpected operating conditions: For example, a product might work safely on delivery, but a software/firmware code is changed (malicious or otherwise) during subsequent network access, creating a hazard where none existed before, such as a robotic vacuum cleaner that suddenly begins operating much faster than expected.
- Loss of a safety function: For example, if an integrated home security and safety system fails to download a software update properly, the default condition may be to deactivate the system, resulting in disabling the smoke alarms without the consumer’s knowledge.
- Hazard is created from an intended product feature: For example, a cooktop that might be remotely controlled could start a fire.

Multiple parties can be involved in creating IoT devices. For example the hardware designer, software developer, application generator, and third party programmer who creates a useful function for the device could all be separate parties. These parties may or may not interact collaboratively, or may not even be aware of each other’s activities.

CPSC’s authority covers the types of product hazards described above. Therefore, this hearing will not address personal data security or privacy implications of IoT devices.

II. Areas for Discussion

The Commission is interested in discussion about consumer product hazards enabled by an internet

connection. The areas for discussion include:

- Do current voluntary standards and/or safety regulations address safety hazards specific to IoT-connected devices?
 - How can IoT-connected devices be subject to safety standards (or a set of design principles) to prevent injury?
 - What types of devices would need such controls or supervisory systems, and what type would not, if any?
 - Who should develop such standards or create a set of design principles?
 - Should certification to appropriate standards be required before IoT devices are allowed in the marketplace?
 - What are the industry’s best practices for predicting potential hazards caused by IoT-connected devices? What controls or supervisory systems are necessary to mitigate these potential hazards?
 - What controls or supervisory systems are available to mitigate potential hazards caused by misuse of IoT-connected devices, such as preventing the disabling of a safety feature?
 - What controls or supervisory systems on products are necessary to prevent injuries from unintended consequences of misinstallation, failed update, operational changes over time, or misuse of an internet connection?
 - Have IoT-related incidents and injuries already occurred? Please describe the injury scenario and the severity of any injuries. How would IoT-related incidents be distinguished from other incidents?
 - Are incident-collection systems set up to collect IoT-related incident data?
 - Are there ways CPSC can collaborate with other federal agencies to address potential safety hazards related to IoT?
 - Are there ways CPSC can collaborate with outside stakeholders to address potential safety hazards related to IoT?
 - How can CPSC educate consumers on the proper use of IoT-connected devices?
 - Some of the consumer hazards that could conceivably be created by IoT devices are: Fire, burn, shock, tripping or falling, laceration, contusion, and chemical exposure. Are there other hazards that could be introduced into consumer products through enabling an internet connection?
 - For products whose remote operation could create a hazard to consumers, should internet connectivity specifically prevent remote operation?
 - How do IoT software development methods address potential product

failures that may create hazards to consumers?

- What steps should be taken to prevent an internet connection from creating a hazard to consumers after a product's purchase (or lease) and installation?
- What role should safety standards or design guidelines play in keeping IoT devices from creating new hazards to consumers? Should these standards be voluntary or mandatory?
- What role should government play in keeping consumers safe regarding IoT devices?
- Will policies to prevent hazardization of IoT products require or benefit from strong international cooperation?
- How should the Commission consider responsibilities for hazards or injuries among the various contributors to an internet-connected product associated with an incident?
- How should the Commission consider responsibilities for hazards or injuries resulting from interdependencies between products (e.g., communications protocol between networked alarm and smart home hub)?
- For recalls involving IoT devices, what are different ways companies can communicate notice to consumers who own the IoT devices?

III. The Hearing

Through this notice, the Commission invites the public to provide information on how internet-connected products can result in hazards to consumers, and what actions the Commission can take to eliminate or mitigate those hazards. The purpose of the public hearing on IoT is to provide interested stakeholders a venue to discuss potential safety hazards created by a consumer product's connection to IoT or other network-connected devices; the types of hazards (e.g., electrical, thermal, mechanical, chemical) related to the intended, unintended, or foreseeable misuse of consumer products because of an IoT connection; current standards development; industry best practices; and the proper role of the CPSC in addressing potential safety hazards with IoT-related products. CPSC's authority covers the types of product hazards described above. Therefore, this hearing will not address personal data security or privacy implications of IoT devices.

To request the opportunity to make an oral presentation, see the information under the **DATES** and **ADDRESSES** sections of this notice. Participants should limit their presentations to approximately 10 minutes, excluding time for questioning

by the Commissioners. To avoid duplicate presentations, groups should designate a spokesperson, and the Commission reserves the right to limit presentation times or impose further restrictions, as necessary.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-06067 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

[Docket Number DARS-2018-0002; OMB Control Number 0704-0483]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Defense Acquisition Regulations System has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 26, 2018.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title, Associated Forms and OMB Number: Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS), Independent Research and Development Technical Descriptions; OMB Control Number 0704-0483.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Reporting Frequency: On occasion.

Number of Respondents: 77.

Responses per Respondent: 87.

Annual Responses: 6,699.

Average Burden per Response: .5 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 3,350.

Needs and Uses: DFARS 231.205-18 requires contractors to report independent research and development projects to DTIC using the DTIC's online IR&D database. The inputs must be updated at least annually and when the project is completed.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

Comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection

should be sent to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra, DoD Desk Officer, at Oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Please identify the proposed information collection by DoD Desk Officer and the Docket ID number and title of the information collection

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Frederick C. Licari.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Licari at: WHS/ESD Directives Division, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 03F09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Jennifer L. Hawes,

Regulatory Control Officer, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 2018-06078 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal No. 17-20]

Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Arms sales notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of an arms sales notification.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pamela Young, (703) 697-9107, pamela.a.young14.civ@mail.mil or Kathy Valadez, (703) 697-9217, kathy.a.valadez.civ@mail.mil; DSCA/DSA-RAN.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This 36(b)(1) arms sales notification is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104-164 dated July 21, 1996. The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 17-20 with attached Policy Justification and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Shelly Finke,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

201 12TH STREET SOUTH, STE 203
ARLINGTON, VA 22202-5408

MAR 07 2018

The Honorable Paul D. Ryan
Speaker of the House
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 17-20, concerning the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to the Government of the United Arab Emirates for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$270.4 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a news release to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "C. W. Hooper", written over a horizontal line.

Charles W. Hooper
Lieutenant General, USA
Director

Enclosures:

1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification
3. Sensitivity of Technology
4. Regional Balance (Classified document provided under separate cover)



Transmittal No. 17–20

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) *Prospective Purchaser*: Government of the United Arab Emirates (UAE)
(ii) *Total Estimated Value*:

| | |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Major Defense Equipment * | \$ 240.0 million |
| Other | \$ 30.4 million |
| Total | \$270.4 million |

(iii) *Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase*:

Major Defense Equipment (MDE):

Three hundred (300) AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Block II Missiles
Forty (40) AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Captive Air Training Missiles (CATMs)
Thirty (30) AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Block II Tactical Guidance Units
Fifteen (15) AIM–9X–2 CATM Guidance Units

Non-MDE includes:

Also includes containers, spares, support equipment and missile support, U.S. Government and contractor technical assistance and other related logistics support, and other associated support equipment and services.

(iv) *Military Department*: Navy (ABJ)

(v) *Prior Related Cases, if any*: AE–P–AAL (AIM–9M); and AE–P–ABA (AIM–9–X2 (previously notified and offered but the customer allowed the LOA to expire))

(vi) *Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid*: None

(vii) *Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold*: See Attached Annex

(viii) *Date Report Delivered to Congress*: March 7, 2018

* As defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Government of the United Arab Emirates (UAE)—AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Block II Missiles

The UAE has requested the possible sale of three hundred (300) AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Block II missiles, forty (40) AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Captive Air Training Missiles (CATMs), thirty (30) AIM–9X–2 Block II Tactical guidance units, fifteen (15) AIM–9X–2 CATM guidance units, containers, spares, support equipment and missile support, U.S. Government and contractor technical assistance and other related logistics support, and other associated support equipment and services. The total estimated cost is \$270.4 million.

This proposed sale will support the foreign policy and national security objectives of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been, and continues to be, an important force for political stability and economic progress in the Middle East.

This potential sale will improve the UAE's capability to meet current and future threats and provide an enhanced capability for its Air Force. The UAE will use the enhanced capability to strengthen its homeland defense. The UAE will have no difficulty absorbing this equipment into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support does not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractor will be Raytheon Missile Systems Company, Tucson, AZ. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require U.S. Government or contractor representatives to travel to the UAE on a temporary basis for program technical support and management oversight.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

Transmittal No. 17–20

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex

Item No. vii

(vii) *Sensitivity of Technology*:

1. The AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Block II missile represents a substantial increase in missile acquisition and kinematics performance over the AIM–9M and replaces the AIM–9X Block I missile configuration. The missile includes a high off-boresight seeker, enhanced countermeasure rejection capability, low drag/high angle of attack airframe and the ability to integrate the Helmet Mounted Cueing System. The software algorithms are the most sensitive portion of the AIM–9X–2 missile. The software continues to be modified via a Pre-Planned Product Improvement (P³I) program in order to improve its counter-countermeasure capabilities. No software source code or algorithms will be released. The missile is classified as CONFIDENTIAL.

2. The AIM–9X–2 Sidewinder Block II missile will result in the transfer of sensitive technology and information. The equipment, hardware, and documentation are classified CONFIDENTIAL. The software and operational performance are classified

SECRET. The seeker/guidance control section and the target detector are CONFIDENTIAL and contain sensitive state-of-the-art technology. Manuals and technical documentation that are necessary or support operational use and organizational management are classified up to SECRET. Performance and operating logic of the counter-countermeasures circuits are classified SECRET. The hardware, software, and data identified are classified to protect vulnerabilities, design and performance parameters and similar critical information.

3. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures that might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar advanced capabilities.

4. All defense articles and services listed in this transmittal have been authorized for release and export to the Government of the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

[FR Doc. 2018–06110 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPPT–2018–0097; FRL–9975–29]

Certain New Chemicals or Significant New Uses; Statements of Findings for January 2018

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 5(g) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires EPA to publish in the **Federal Register** a statement of its findings after its review of TSCA section 5(a) notices when EPA makes a finding that a new chemical substance or significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. Such statements apply to premanufacture notices (PMNs), microbial commercial activity notices (MCANs), and significant new use notices (SNUNs) submitted to EPA under TSCA section 5. This document presents statements of findings made by EPA on TSCA section 5(a) notices during the period from January 1, 2018 to January 31, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For technical information contact: Greg Schweer, Chemical Control Division (7405M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental

Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: 202-564-8469; email address: schweer.greg@epa.gov.

For general information contact: The TSCA-Hotline, ABVI-Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554-1404; email address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general. As such, the Agency has not attempted to describe the specific entities that this action may apply to. Although others may be affected, this action applies directly to the submitters of the PMNs addressed in this action.

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0097, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Docket (OPPT Docket), Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket is (202) 566-0280. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

II. What action is the Agency taking?

This document lists the statements of findings made by EPA after review of notices submitted under TSCA section 5(a) that certain new chemical substances or significant new uses are not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. This document presents statements of findings made by EPA during the period from January 1, 2018 to January 31, 2018.

III. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

TSCA section 5(a)(3) requires EPA to review a TSCA section 5(a) notice and make one of the following specific findings:

- The chemical substance or significant new use presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment;

- The information available to EPA is insufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects of the chemical substance or significant new use;

- The information available to EPA is insufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects and the chemical substance or significant new use may present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment;

- The chemical substance is or will be produced in substantial quantities, and such substance either enters or may reasonably be anticipated to enter the environment in substantial quantities or there is or may be significant or substantial human exposure to the substance; or

- The chemical substance or significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment.

Unreasonable risk findings must be made without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant under the conditions of use. The term "conditions of use" is defined in TSCA section 3 to mean "the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of."

EPA is required under TSCA section 5(g) to publish in the **Federal Register** a statement of its findings after its review of a TSCA section 5(a) notice when EPA makes a finding that a new chemical substance or significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. Such statements apply to PMNs, MCANs, and SNUNs submitted to EPA under TSCA section 5.

Anyone who plans to manufacture (which includes import) a new chemical substance for a non-exempt commercial purpose and any manufacturer or processor wishing to engage in a use of a chemical substance designated by EPA as a significant new use must submit a notice to EPA at least 90 days before commencing manufacture of the new chemical substance or before engaging in the significant new use.

The submitter of a notice to EPA for which EPA has made a finding of "not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment" may commence manufacture of the chemical substance or manufacture or processing for the significant new use

notwithstanding any remaining portion of the applicable review period.

IV. Statements of Administrator Findings Under TSCA Section 5(a)(3)(C)

In this unit, EPA provides the following information (to the extent that such information is not claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI)) on the PMNs, MCANs and SNUNs for which, during this period, EPA has made findings under TSCA section 5(a)(3)(C) that the new chemical substances or significant new uses are not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment:

- EPA case number assigned to the TSCA section 5(a) notice.
- Chemical identity (generic name, if the specific name is claimed as CBI).
- Website link to EPA's decision document describing the basis of the "not likely to present an unreasonable risk" finding made by EPA under TSCA section 5(a)(3)(C).

EPA Case Number: J-17-0015-0019;
Chemical identity: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* modified (generic name);
website link: <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/tsca-section-5a3c-determination-81>.

EPA Case Number: J-17-0021;
Chemical identity: Modified *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (generic name);
website link: <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/tsca-section-5a3c-determination-82>.

EPA Case Number: J-17-0022;
Chemical identity: Modified *Trichoderma reesei* (generic name);
website link: <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/tsca-section-5a3c-determination-83>.

EPA Case Number: J-17-0028;
Chemical identity: Biofuel producing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* modified, genetically stable (generic name);
website link: <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/tsca-section-5a3c-determination-84>.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*

Dated: March 14, 2018.

Greg Schweer,

Chief, New Chemicals Management Branch, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 2018-06125 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9975-95—Region 4; CERCLA-04-2018-3750]

Black Leaf Chemical Superfund Site; Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky; Notice of Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of settlement.

SUMMARY: Under 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency has entered into a settlement with ExxonMobil Corporation, Grief, Inc., and Occidental Chemical Corporation concerning the Black Leaf Chemical Superfund Site located in Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. The settlement addresses recovery of CERCLA costs for sampling, removal actions on 10 residential properties and investigation activities performed by the EPA at the Site.

DATES: The Agency will consider public comments on the settlement until April 26, 2018. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the proposed settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the settlement are available from the Agency by contacting Ms. Paula V. Painter, Program Analyst or accessing the Agency's website using the contact information provided in this notice. Comments may also be submitted by referencing the Site's name through one of the following methods:

- *Internet:* <https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/about-epa-region-4-southeast#r4-public-notice>
- *U.S. Mail:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Superfund Division, Attn: Paula V. Painter, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303
- *Email:* Painter.Paula@epa.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Painter at 404/562-8887.

Dated: February 22, 2018.

Randall Chaffins,

Acting Chief, Enforcement and Community Engagement Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 2018-06118 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company**

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than April 11, 2018.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Dennis Denney, Assistant Vice President) 1 Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *Desiree Elkin and Beverly Elkin, both of Billings, Montana;* individually and as a member of the Elkin Family Group; to retain shares of Hulett Bancorp and thereby retain shares of Summit National Bank, Hulett, Wyoming.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 22, 2018.

Ann E. Misback,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2018-06112 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**Notice of Proposals To Engage in or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities**

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage de novo, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than April 11, 2018.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Dennis Denney, Assistant Vice President) 1 Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *Citizens National Corporation, Wisner, Nebraska;* to engage indirectly in through RCEL Holdings LLC, Wisner, Nebraska in lending activities pursuant to section 225.28(b)(1) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 22, 2018.

Ann E. Misback,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2018-06111 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention****Advisory Council for the Elimination of Tuberculosis (ACET); Correction**

Notice is hereby given of a change in the meeting of the Advisory Council for the Elimination of Tuberculosis (ACET); April 17, 2018, 10:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., EDT which was published in the **Federal Register** on March 6, 2018 Volume 83, Number 44, pages 9520.

The time for April 17, 2018, 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., EDT should read as follows: 10:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., EDT.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margie Scott-Cseh, Committee Management Specialist, CDC, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Mailstop: E-07, Atlanta, Georgia 30329, telephone (404) 639-8317; zkr7@cdc.gov.

The Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and

Prevention and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Elaine L. Baker,

Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018-06059 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[Document Identifiers: CMS-10390 and CMS-10571]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is announcing an opportunity for the public to comment on CMS' intention to collect information from the public. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), federal agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information, and to allow a second opportunity for public comment on the notice. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions, the accuracy of the estimated burden, ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

DATES: Comments on the collection(s) of information must be received by the OMB desk officer by April 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: When commenting on the proposed information collections, please reference the document identifier or OMB control number. To be assured consideration, comments and recommendations must be received by the OMB desk officer via one of the following transmissions: OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: CMS Desk Officer, *Fax*

Number: (202) 395-5806 *OR, Email:* *OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov.*

To obtain copies of a supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed collection(s) summarized in this notice, you may make your request using one of following:

1. Access CMS' website address at website address at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/PaperworkReductionActof1995/PRA-Listing.html>.

1. Email your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and CMS document identifier, to Paperwork@cms.hhs.gov.

2. Call the Reports Clearance Office at (410) 786-1326.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Reports Clearance Office at (410) 786-1326.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. The term "collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires federal agencies to publish a 30-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, CMS is publishing this notice that summarizes the following proposed collection(s) of information for public comment:

1. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection without change; *Title of Information Collection:* Hospice Quality Reporting Program; *Use:* The Hospice Item Set (HIS) is a standardized, patient-level data collection tool developed specifically for use by hospices. It is currently used for the collection of quality measure data pertaining to the Hospice Quality Reporting Program (HQRP). Since April 1, 2017, hospices have been using the HIS V2.00.0 which specifies the collection of data items that support eight National Quality Forum (NQF) endorsed Quality Measures (QMs) and an additional measure pair for hospice. All Medicare-certified hospice providers are required to submit HIS admission and discharge records to CMS for each

patient admission and discharge. The HIS contains data elements that are used by the CMS to calculate these measures and also allows CMS to collect quality data from hospices in compliance with Section 3004 of the Affordable Care Act. *Form Number:* CMS-10390 (OMB control number: 0938-1153); *Frequency:* On Occasion; *Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Governments, Private Sector (not-for-profit institutions); individuals or households; *Number of Respondents:* 4,259; *Total Annual Responses:* 4,259; *Total Annual Hours:* 686,630. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Cindy Massuda at (410) 786-0652.)

2. *Type of Information Collection Request:* New collection (Request for a new OMB control number); *Title of Information Collection:* Limited Wraparound Coverage Reporting; *Use:* The Department of Treasury, the Department of Labor and the Department of Health and Human Services published final regulations on March 18, 2015 (80 FR 13995), amending the regulations regarding excepted benefits under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, the Internal Revenue Code, and the Public Health Service Act to specify requirements for limited wraparound coverage to qualify as an excepted benefit. The final regulations include requirements that limited wraparound coverage must satisfy in order to qualify as excepted benefits. One of them is a reporting requirement, for group health plans and group health insurance issuers, as well as group health plan sponsors.

A self-insured group health plan, or a health insurance issuer offering or proposing to offer Multi-State Plan wraparound coverage, is required to report to OPM information reasonably required to determine whether the plan or issuer qualifies to offer such coverage or complies with the applicable requirements. In addition, the plan sponsor of any group health plan offering any type of limited wraparound coverage is required to report to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), in a form and manner specified in guidance by the Secretary of HHS.

We seek comment on the content of the proposed collection form. We also seek comment on the impact that an extension of the limited wraparound pilot program would have on the number of employers/sponsors participating in the limited wraparound pilot program. In addition, if HHS extends the limited wraparound pilot program, we seek comment on when the limited wraparound pilot program

should sunset, or whether the limited wraparound pilot program should be made permanent. *Form Number:* CMS-10571 (OMB control number: 0938-NEW); *Frequency:* Once; *Affected Public:* Private Sector; *Number of Respondents:* 8; *Total Annual Responses:* 8; *Total Annual Hours:* 24. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Usree Bandyopadhyay at 410-786-6650.)

Dated: March 22, 2018.

William N. Parham, III,

Director, Paperwork Reduction Staff, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018-06082 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[Document Identifiers: CMS-10341, CMS-10538, CMS-R-153, CMS-10561 and CMS-10336]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is announcing an opportunity for the public to comment on CMS' intention to collect information from the public. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the PRA), federal agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information (including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information) and to allow 60 days for public comment on the proposed action. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding our burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection of information, including the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions, the accuracy of the estimated burden, ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: When commenting, please reference the document identifier or

OMB control number. To be assured consideration, comments and recommendations must be submitted in any one of the following ways:

1. *Electronically.* You may send your comments electronically to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for "Comment or Submission" or "More Search Options" to find the information collection document(s) that are accepting comments.

2. *By regular mail.* You may mail written comments to the following address: CMS, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs, Division of Regulations Development, Attention: Document Identifier/OMB Control Number ____, Room C4-26-05, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

To obtain copies of a supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed collection(s) summarized in this notice, you may make your request using one of following:

1. Access CMS' website address at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/PaperworkReductionActof1995/PRA-Listing.html>.

2. Email your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and CMS document identifier, to Paperwork@cms.hhs.gov.

3. Call the Reports Clearance Office at (410) 786-1326.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Parham at (410) 786-4669.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Contents

This notice sets out a summary of the use and burden associated with the following information collections. More detailed information can be found in each collection's supporting statement and associated materials (see **ADDRESSES**).

- CMS-10341 Affordable Care Act Information and Collection Requirements for Section 1115 Demonstration Projects
- CMS-10538 Hospice Information for Medicare Part D Plans
- CMS-R-153 Medicaid Drug Use Review (DUR) Program
- CMS-10561 Essential Community Provider Data Collection to Support QHP Certification for PYs 2021-2023
- CMS-10336 Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Electronic Health Record Incentive Program

Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of

information they conduct or sponsor. The term "collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires federal agencies to publish a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, CMS is publishing this notice.

Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Section 1115 Demonstration Projects Regulations at 42 CFR 431.408, 431.412, 431.420, 431.424, and 431.428; *Use:* This collection is necessary to ensure that states comply with regulatory and statutory requirements related to the development, implementation and evaluation of demonstration projects. States seeking waiver authority under Section 1115 are required to meet certain requirements for public notice, the evaluation of demonstration projects, and reports to the Secretary on the implementation of approved demonstrations. *Form Number:* CMS-10341 (OMB control number 0938-1162); *Frequency:* Yearly and quarterly; *Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Governments; *Number of Respondents:* 37; *Total Annual Responses:* 300; *Total Annual Hours:* 24,092. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Tonya Moore at 410-786-0019.)

2. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Hospice Information for Medicare Part D Plans; *Use:* The form would be completed by the prescriber or the beneficiary's hospice, or if the prescriber or hospice provides the information verbally to the Part D sponsor, the form would be completed by the sponsor. Information provided on the form would be used by the Part D sponsor to establish coverage of the drug under Medicare Part D. Per statute, drugs that are necessary for the palliation and management of the terminal illness and related conditions are not eligible for payment under Part D. The standard form provides a vehicle for the hospice provider, prescriber or sponsor to document that the drug prescribed is "unrelated" to the

terminal illness and related conditions. It also gives a hospice organization the option to communicate a beneficiary's change in hospice status and care plan to Part D sponsors. *Form Number:* CMS-10538 (OMB control number 0938-1269); *Frequency:* Occasionally; *Affected Public:* Private sector (business or other for-profits); *Number of Respondents:* 424; *Total Annual Responses:* 376,487; *Total Annual Hours:* 31,374. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Shelly Winston at 410-786-3694.)

3. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Medicaid Drug Use Review (DUR) Program; *Use:* States must provide for a review of drug therapy before each prescription is filled or delivered to a Medicaid patient. This review includes screening for potential drug therapy problems due to therapeutic duplication, drug-disease contraindications, drug-drug interactions, incorrect drug dosage or duration of drug treatment, drug-allergy interactions, and clinical abuse/misuse. Pharmacists must make a reasonable effort to obtain, record, and maintain Medicaid patient profiles. These profiles must reflect at least the patient's name, address, telephone number, date of birth/age, gender, history, e.g., allergies, drug reactions, list of medications, and pharmacist's comments relevant to the individual's drug therapy.

The State must conduct RetroDUR which provides for the ongoing periodic examination of claims data and other records in order to identify patterns of fraud, abuse, inappropriate or medically unnecessary care. Patterns or trends of drug therapy problems are identified and reviewed to determine the need for intervention activity with pharmacists and/or physicians. States may conduct interventions via telephone, correspondence, or face-to-face contact.

Annual reports are submitted to CMS for the purposes of monitoring compliance and evaluating the progress of States' DUR programs. The information submitted by States is reviewed and results are compiled by CMS in a format intended to provide information, comparisons and trends related to States' experiences with DUR. The States benefit from the information and may enhance their programs each year based on State reported innovative practices that are compiled by CMS from the DUR annual reports. *Form Number:* CMS-R-153 (OMB control number: 0938-0659); *Frequency:* Yearly, quarterly, and occasionally; *Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Governments; *Number of Respondents:*

51; *Total Annual Responses:* 663; *Total Annual Hours:* 41,004. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Emeka Egwim at 410-786-1092.)

4. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Essential Community Provider Data Collection to Support QHP Certification for PYs 2021-2023; *Use:* For plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2021, Health and Human Services (HHS) intends to continue collecting more complete provider data for inclusion on the HHS Essential Community Provider (ECP) list to ensure a more accurate reflection of the universe of qualified available ECPs in a given service area that can be counted toward an issuer's satisfaction of the ECP standard. HHS intends to continue collecting these data on qualified and available ECPs directly from providers through the online ECP petition. Providers will submit an ECP petition to be added to the HHS ECP list or update required data fields to remain on the list. *Form Number:* CMS-10561 (OMB Control Number: 0938-1295); *Frequency:* Annually; *Affected Public:* Private sector (Business or other for-profits and Not-for-profit Institutions); *Number of Respondents:* 14,260; *Total Annual Responses:* 14,260; *Total Annual Hours:* 7,468. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Deborah Hunter at (202) 309-1098).

5. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Electronic Health Record Incentive Program; *Use:* The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) (Pub. L. 111-5) was enacted on February 17, 2009. The Recovery Act includes many measures to modernize our nation's infrastructure, and improve affordable health care. Expanded use of health information technology (HIT) and certified electronic health record (EHR) technology will improve the quality and value of America's health care. Title IV of Division B of the Recovery Act amends Titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act (the Act) by establishing incentive payments to eligible professionals (EPs), eligible hospitals and critical access hospitals (CAHs), and Medicare Advantage (MA) organizations participating in the Medicare and Medicaid programs that adopt and successfully demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology. These Recovery Act provisions, together with Title XIII of

Division A of the Recovery Act, may be cited as the "Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act" or the "HITECH Act."

The HITECH Act creates incentive programs for EPs and eligible hospitals, including CAHs, in the Medicare Fee-for-Service (FFS), MA, and Medicaid programs that successfully demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology. In their first payment year, Medicaid EPs and eligible hospitals may adopt, implement or upgrade to certified EHR technology. It also, provides for payment adjustments in the Medicare FFS and MA programs starting in FY 2015 for EPs and eligible hospitals participating in Medicare that are not meaningful users of certified EHR technology. These payment adjustments do not pertain to Medicaid providers.

The first final rule for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR Incentive Program, which was published in the **Federal Register** on July 28, 2010 (CMS-0033-F), specified the initial criteria EPs, eligible hospitals and CAHs, and MA organizations must meet in order to qualify for incentive payments; calculation of incentive payment amounts; payment adjustments under Medicare for covered professional services and inpatient hospital services provided by EPs, eligible hospitals and CAHs failing to demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR technology beginning in 2015; and other program participation requirements. On the same date, the Office of the National Coordinator of Health Information Technology (ONC) issued a closely related final rule (45 CFR part 170, RIN 0991-AB58) that specified the initial set of standards, implementation specifications, and certification criteria for certified EHR technology. ONC has also issued a separate final rule on the establishment of certification programs for health information technology (HIT) (45 CFR part 170, RIN 0991-AB59). The functionality of certified EHR technology should facilitate the implementation of meaningful use. Subsequently, final rules have been issued by CMS (77 FR 53968) and ONC (77 FR 72985) to create a Stage 2 of meaningful use criteria and other changes to the CMS EHR Incentive Programs and the 2014 Edition Certification Criteria for EHR technology.

The information collection requirements contained in this information collection request are needed to implement the HITECH Act. In order to avoid duplicate payments, all EPs are enumerated through their National Provider Identifier (NPI), while all eligible hospitals and CAHs are

enumerated through their CMS Certification Number (CCN). State Medicaid agencies and CMS use the provider's tax identification number and NPI or CCN combination in order to make payment, validate payment eligibility and detect and prevent duplicate payments for EPs, eligible hospitals and CAHs. *Form Number:* CMS-10336 (OMB Control Number: 0938-1158); *Frequency:* Occasionally; *Affected Public:* Private sector; *Number of Respondents:* 214,694; *Total Annual Responses:* 214,694; *Total Annual Hours:* 2,034,740. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Steven Johnson at (410) 786-3332).

Dated: March 22, 2018.

William N. Parham, III,

Director, Paperwork Reduction Staff, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018-06081 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2010-D-0073]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Guidance on Consultation Procedures: Foods Derived From New Plant Varieties

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA).

DATES: Fax written comments on the collection of information by April 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, Fax: 202-395-7285, or emailed to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910-0704. Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Domini Bean, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, Three White Flint North, 10A-12M, 11601 Landsdown St., North Bethesda, MD 20852, 301-796-5733, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Guidance on Consultation Procedures: Foods Derived From New Plant Varieties

OMB Control Number 0910-0704—Extension

This information collection supports the above captioned Agency guidance document. FDA recommends that producers who use biotechnology in the manufacture or development of foods and food ingredients work cooperatively with FDA to ensure that products derived through biotechnology are safe and comply with all applicable legal requirements and has instituted a voluntary consultation process with industry. To facilitate this process the Agency has issued a guidance entitled, "Guidance on Consultation Procedures: Foods Derived From New Plant Varieties," which is available on our website at <https://www.fda.gov/FoodGuidances>. The guidance describes FDA's consultation process for the evaluation of information on new plant varieties provided by developers. The Agency believes this consultation process will help ensure that human food and animal feed safety issues or other regulatory issues (e.g., labeling) are resolved prior to commercial distribution. Additionally, such communication will help to ensure that any potential food safety issues regarding a new plant variety are resolved during development, and will help to ensure that all market entry decisions by the industry are made consistently and in full compliance with the standards of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act).

In the **Federal Register** of December 13, 2017 (82 FR 58619), we published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed extension of this collection of information. Two comments were received in response to the notice. Overall, the comments supported FDA's need for the information collection and neither comment suggested revising our estimate of the associated burden. However, both comments reminded us that significant resources were invested into developing data upon which

respondents rely to bring information to FDA regarding the development of foods derived from new plant varieties. All the more reason, the comments said, FDA should identify mechanisms by which it can better incorporate its experience over time and, where possible, implement more efficient, streamlined review processes for those products similar to those the Agency has reviewed in the past. The comments recommended FDA compare efficiencies with a process at the U.S. Department of Agriculture regarding the review of agricultural biotechnology products. We appreciate this suggestion. FDA strives to allocate its limited resources in ways that maximize protection to the public health and facilitate compliance with existing regulatory requirements implemented to do so. We also look for ways in which we might coordinate our efforts with those by other agencies who share these objectives.

Both comments also included the suggestion that FDA develop a less redundant review process (such as reciprocity if no material differences are identified) that better coordinates expertise across the Center for Food Safety and Nutrition (CFSAN) and the Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) into a single, efficient review. We appreciate this suggestion as well and, as discussed in the guidance, note the following:

[FDA's] Office of Premarket Approval of the CFSAN and the Office of Surveillance and Compliance of the CVM have established a Biotechnology Evaluation Team (BET) to facilitate, and to ensure consistency in the process by which firms consult under the 1992 policy and inform FDA regarding the marketing of bioengineered foods and food ingredients derived from new plant varieties including those developed using rDNA techniques. The BET oversees the consultation process, identifies regulatory and scientific issues that need to be addressed, and once all relevant issues have been adequately addressed, brings the consultation to closure.

At the same time, we have shared the comments received in response to this notice under the PRA with the BET. Consistent with our Good Guidance Practice regulations (21 CFR 10.115), FDA welcomes comments on our guidance documents at any time.

In consideration of these comments, we have retained the currently approved burden estimated associated with the information collection, which is as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN¹

| Activity | FDA form No. | Number of respondents | Number of responses per respondent | Total annual responses | Average burden per response | Total hours |
|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Initial consultation | None | 20 | 2 | 40 | 4 | 160 |
| Final consultation | 3665 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 150 | 1,800 |
| Total | | | | | | 1,960 |

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Our estimate is based on the information collection activities discussed below.

Initial Consultations

Initial consultations are generally a one-time burden, although a developer might return more than once to discuss additional issues before submitting a final consultation. As noted in the guidance, FDA encourages developers to consult early in the development phase of their products, and as often as necessary. Historically, firms developing a new bioengineered plant variety intended for food use have generally initiated consultation with FDA early in the process of developing such a variety, even though there is no legal obligation for such consultation. These consultations have served to make FDA aware of foods and food ingredients before these products are distributed commercially, and have provided FDA with the information necessary to address any potential questions regarding the safety, labeling, or regulatory status of the food or food ingredient. As such, these consultations have provided assistance to both industry and the Agency in exercising their mutual responsibilities under the FD&C Act.

FDA estimates that CVM and CFSAN jointly received an average of 40 initial consultations per year in the last 3 years via telephone, email, or written letter. Based on this information, we expect to receive no more than 40 annually in the next 3 years.

Final Consultations

Final consultations are a one-time burden. At some stage in the process of research and development, a developer will have accumulated the information that the developer believes is adequate to ensure that food derived from the new plant variety is safe and that it demonstrates compliance with the relevant provisions of the FD&C Act. The developer will then be in a position to conclude any ongoing consultation with FDA. The developer submits to FDA a summary of the safety and nutritional assessment that has been

conducted about the bioengineered food that is intended to be introduced into commercial distribution. FDA evaluates the submission to ensure that all potential safety and regulatory questions have been addressed. FDA has developed a form that prompts a developer to include certain elements in the final consultation in a standard format: Form FDA 3665 entitled, "Final Consultation for Food Derived From a New Plant Variety (Biotechnology Final Consultation)." The form, and elements that would be prepared as attachments to the form, can be submitted in electronic format.

We base our estimate of the average time to prepare a submission on informal contact with firms that made one or more biotechnology consultation submission under the voluntary biotechnology consultation process. As such, we estimate the average time to prepare a submission for final consultation to be 150 hours.

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06069 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2018-N-0965]

Request for Nominations for Voting Members on a Public Advisory Committee; Pharmacy Compounding Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is requesting nominations for members to serve on the Pharmacy Compounding Advisory Committee (Committee), Division of Advisory Committee Consultant Management, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. The

Committee provides advice on scientific, technical, and medical issues concerning human drug compounding under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), and, as required, any other product for which FDA has regulatory responsibility, and makes appropriate recommendations to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

FDA seeks to include the views of women and men, members of all racial and ethnic groups, and individuals with and without disabilities on its advisory committees and, therefore, encourages nominations of appropriately qualified candidates from these groups.

DATES: Nominations received on or before May 29, 2018, will be given first consideration for membership on the Pharmacy Compounding Advisory Committee. Nominations received after May 29, 2018, will be considered for nominations to the Committee as later vacancies occur.

ADDRESSES: All nominations for membership should be sent electronically by logging into the FDA Advisory Nomination Portal: <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/FACTRSPortal/FACTRS/index.cfm> or by mail to Advisory Committee Oversight and Management Staff, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 32, Rm. 5103, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regarding all nomination questions for membership, the primary contact is: Cindy Chee, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 31, Rm. 2417, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002; 301-796-9001, Fax: 301-847-8533, email: PCAC@fda.hhs.gov.

Information about becoming a member on an FDA advisory committee can also be obtained by visiting FDA's website by using the following link: <https://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/default.htm>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA is requesting nominations for voting members on the Pharmacy Compounding Advisory Committee.

I. General Description of the Committee's Duties

The Committee provides advice on scientific, technical, and medical issues concerning human drug compounding under sections 503A and 503B of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 353a and 353b), and, as required, any other product for which FDA has regulatory responsibility, and makes appropriate recommendations to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

In implementing sections 503A and section 503B of the FD&C Act, the Agency may consult the Committee on: (1) Drug products for inclusion on a list of drug products that have been withdrawn or removed from the market because such drug products or components of such drug products have been found to be unsafe or not effective, and therefore cannot be compounded; (2) bulk drug substances for inclusion on lists of bulk drug substances that may be used in compounding; and (3) drug products for inclusion on a list of drug products that present demonstrable difficulties for compounding.

Meetings are held approximately two to three times a year, announced in the **Federal Register**, and are open to the public except as determined otherwise by the Commissioner or designee in accordance with the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Notice of all meetings shall be given to the public.

II. Criteria for Voting Members

The Committee consists of a core of 12 voting members including the Chair. Members and the Chair are selected by the Commissioner or designee from among authorities knowledgeable in the fields of pharmaceutical compounding, pharmaceutical manufacturing, pharmacy, medicine, and related specialties. These members will include representatives from the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy, the U.S. Pharmacopeia, pharmacists with current experience and expertise in compounding, physicians with background and knowledge in compounding, and patient and public health advocacy organizations. Almost all non-Federal members of this committee serve as Special Government Employees. Members will be invited to serve for terms of up to 4 years.

III. Nomination Procedures

Any interested person may nominate one or more qualified individuals for membership on the advisory committee. Self-nominations are also accepted. Nominations must include a current,

complete résumé or curriculum vitae for each nominee and a signed copy of the Acknowledgement and Consent form available at the FDA Advisory Nomination Portal (see **ADDRESSES**). Nominations must also specify the advisory committee for which the nominee is recommended. Nominations must also acknowledge that the nominee is aware of the nomination unless self-nominated. FDA will ask potential candidates to provide detailed information concerning such matters related to financial holdings, employment, and research grants and/or contracts to permit evaluation of possible sources of conflicts of interest.

This notice is issued under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app. 2) and 21 CFR part 14, relating to advisory committees.

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06062 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2018-N-0235]

Orthopaedic Sensing, Measuring, and Advanced Reporting Technology Devices; Public Workshop; Request for Comments; Amendment of Notice

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, the Agency, or we) is announcing an amendment to the notice of public workshop entitled "Orthopaedic Sensing, Measuring, and Advanced Reporting Technology (SMART) Devices." That workshop was announced in the **Federal Register** of February 13, 2018. The amendment is being made to reflect a change in the **DATES** portion of the document. There are no other changes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Baumann, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 62, Rm. 2110, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301-796-2508, andrew.baumann@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the **Federal Register** of February 13, 2018 (83 FR 6188), FDA announced that a public workshop entitled "Orthopaedic Sensing, Measuring, and Advanced Reporting Technology (SMART)

Devices" would be held on April 30, 2018. On page 6188, in the third column, the **DATES** portion of the document is changed to reflect new start and end times to read as follows:

DATES: The public workshop will be held on April 30, 2018, from 8 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Submit either electronic or written comments on this public workshop by May 29, 2018. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for registration date and information.

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06064 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2018-D-0943]

Elemental Impurities in Animal Drug Products—Questions and Answers; Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry (GFI) #255 entitled "Elemental Impurities in Animal Drug Products—Questions and Answers." This guidance is intended to assist sponsors of animal drug products in addressing changes in the United States Pharmacopeia (USP) requirements for the control of elemental impurities in drug products marketed in the United States.

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by May 29, 2018 to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on any guidance at any time as follows:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your

comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see "Written/Paper Submissions" and "Instructions").

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- *Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):* Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in "Instructions."

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2018-D-0943 for "Elemental Impurities in Animal Drug Products—Questions and Answers." Received comments will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as "Confidential Submissions," publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- Confidential Submissions—To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states "THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION." The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly

available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as "confidential." Any information marked as "confidential" will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents and the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the "Search" box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

You may submit comments on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)).

Submit written requests for single copies of the guidance to the Policy and Regulations Staff (HFV-6), Center for Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Brent, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-140), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 240-402-0647, email: michael.brent@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a draft GFI #255 entitled "Elemental Impurities in Animal Drug Products—Questions and Answers." This document provides recommendations to sponsors regarding the control of elemental impurities in animal drug products, including all dosage forms and routes of administration.

II. Significance of Guidance

This level 1 draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of FDA on "Elemental Impurities in Animal Drug Products—Questions and Answers." It does not establish any rights for any person and

is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. This guidance is not subject to Executive Order 12866.

III. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This draft guidance refers to previously approved collections of information found in FDA regulations. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). The collections of information in section 512(n)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(n)(1)) have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0669. The collections of information in 21 CFR 514.1 and 514.8 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0032.

IV. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the internet may obtain the draft guidance at either <https://www.fda.gov/AnimalVeterinary/GuidanceComplianceEnforcement/GuidanceforIndustry/default.htm> or <https://www.regulations.gov>.

Dated: March 20, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–06061 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2016-N-0001]

Advisory Committee; Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee, Renewal

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; renewal of advisory committee.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the renewal of the Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee by the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (the Commissioner). The Commissioner has determined that it is in the public interest to renew the Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee for an additional 2 years beyond the charter expiration date. The new charter will be in effect until March 3, 2020.

DATES: Authority for the Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee will expire on March 3, 2020, unless the Commissioner formally determines that renewal is in the public interest.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay Fajiculay, Division of Advisory Committee and Consultant Management, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 31, Rm. 2417, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, 301-796-9001, email: GIDAC@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 41 CFR 102-3.65 and approval by the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to 45 CFR part 11 and by the General Services Administration, FDA is announcing the renewal of the Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee (the Committee). The Committee is a discretionary Federal advisory committee established to provide advice to the Commissioner.

The Committee advises the Commissioner or designee in discharging responsibilities as they relate to helping to ensure safe and effective drugs for human use and, as required, any other product for which FDA has regulatory responsibility.

The Committee reviews and evaluates available data concerning the safety and effectiveness of marketed and investigational human drug products for use in the treatment of gastrointestinal diseases and makes appropriate recommendations to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

The Committee shall consist of a core of 11 voting members including the Chair. Members and the Chair are selected by the Commissioner or designee from among authorities knowledgeable in the fields of gastroenterology, endocrinology, surgery, clinical pharmacology, physiology, pathology, liver function, motility, esophagitis, and statistics. Members will be invited to serve for overlapping terms of up to 4 years. Almost all non-Federal members of this committee serve as Special Government Employees. The core of voting members may include one technically qualified member, selected by the Commissioner or designee, who is identified with consumer interests and is recommended by either a consortium of consumer-oriented organizations or other interested persons. In addition to the voting members, the Committee may include one non-voting member who is identified with industry interests.

Further information regarding the most recent charter and other information can be found at <https://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/GastrointestinalDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/ucm102959.htm> or by contacting the Designated Federal

Officer (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**). In light of the fact that no change has been made to the committee name or description of duties, no amendment will be made to 21 CFR 14.100.

This document is issued under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app.). For general information related to FDA advisory committees, please check <https://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/default.htm>.

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06063 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB for Review and Approval; Public Comment Request; NURSE Corps Scholarship Program; Information Collection Request Title: Nurse Corps Scholarship Program, OMB No. 0915-0301—Revision

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, HRSA has submitted an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. Comments submitted during the first public review of this ICR will be provided to OMB. OMB will accept further comments from the public during the review and approval period.

DATES: Comments on this ICR should be received no later than April 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, including the ICR Title, to the desk officer for HRSA, either by email to OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov or by fax to 202-395-5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the clearance requests submitted to OMB for review, email Lisa Wright-Solomon, the HRSA Information Collection Clearance Officer at paperwork@hrsa.gov or call (301) 443-1984.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Information Collection Request Title: Nurse Corps Scholarship Program (NCSP) OMB No. 0915-0301—Revision.

Abstract: The NCSP, administered by HRSA's Bureau of Health Workforce, provides scholarships to nursing students in exchange for a minimum 2-year full-time service commitment (or part-time equivalent), at an eligible health care facility with a critical shortage of nurses (*i.e.*, a Critical Shortage Facility (CSF)). The scholarship consists of payment of tuition, fees, other reasonable educational costs, and a monthly support stipend. Program recipients are required to fulfill NCSP service commitments at CSFs, which are located in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, the U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, the Federated States of Micronesia, the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the Republic of Palau.

Need and Proposed Use of the Information: The NCSP collects data to determine an applicant's eligibility for the program, monitor a participant's continued enrollment in a school of nursing, monitor the participant's compliance with the NCSP service obligation, and prepare annual reports to Congress. The following information will be collected: (1) From the schools, on a quarterly basis—general applicant and nursing school data such as full name, location, tuition/fees, and enrollment status; (2) from the schools, on an annual basis—data concerning tuition/fees and overall student enrollment status; and (3) from the participants and their employing CSF on a biannual basis—data concerning the participant's employment status, work schedule, and leave usage.

The revision to this clearance package will incorporate one new form and one updated form. The CSF Verification Form will be used to verify participant transfers to CSFs. The Initial Employment Verification Form has been revised to include all eligible service site types listed in the NCSP Application and Program Guidance.

Likely Respondents: NCSP scholars in school, graduates, educational institutions, and CSFs.

Burden Statement: Burden in this context means the time expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide the information requested. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to

a collection of information; to search data sources; to complete and review the collection of information; and to

transmit or otherwise disclose the information. The total annual burden

hours estimated for this ICR are summarized in the table below.

TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN—HOURS

| Form name | Number of respondents | Number of responses per respondent | Total responses | Average burden per response (in hours) | Total burden hours |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--------------------|
| Eligible Applications/Application Program Guidance | 2,600 | 1 | 2,600 | 2 | 5,200 |
| School Enrollment Verification Form | 500 | 4 | 2,000 | .33 | 660 |
| Confirmation of Interest Form | 250 | 1 | 250 | .2 | 50 |
| Data Collection Worksheet Form | 500 | 1 | 500 | 1 | 500 |
| Graduation Close Out Form | 200 | 1 | 200 | .17 | 34 |
| Initial Employment Verification Form | 500 | 1 | 500 | .42 | 210 |
| Employer—Participant Service Verification Form | 1,000 | 2 | 2,000 | .12 | 240 |
| CSF Verification Form | 200 | 1 | 200 | .2 | 40 |
| Total | 5,750 | | 8,250 | | 6,934 |

Amy McNulty,

Acting Director, Division of the Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2018–06077 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Notice of Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of meetings of the National Advisory Council on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.

The meetings will be open to the public as indicated below, with attendance limited to space available. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should notify the Contact Person listed below in advance of the meetings. The open session on May 16, 2018 will be videocast and can be accessed from the NIH Videocasting and Podcasting website (<https://videocast.nih.gov>).

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Advisory Council on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.
Closed: May 15, 2018.

Time: 9:00 a.m. to 9:45 a.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Terrace Level Conference Rooms, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Open: May 15, 2018.

Time: 9:45 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Agenda: Presentations and other business of the Council.

Place: National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Terrace Level Conference Rooms, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Contact Person: Abraham P. Bautista, Ph.D., Executive Secretary, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse & Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, 5635 Fishers Lane, Rm. 2085, Rockville, MD 20852, 301–443–9737, bautista@mail.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Advisory Council on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Cancer Advisory Board, and National Advisory Council on Drug Abuse.

Open: May 16, 2018.

Time: 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

Agenda: Presentation of NIAAA, NCI, and NIDA Director's Update, Scientific Reports, and other topics within the scope of the Collaborative Research on Addiction at NIH (CRAN).

Place: National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Terrace Level Conference Rooms, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Contact Person: Abraham P. Bautista, Ph.D., Director, Office of Extramural Activities, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse & Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, 5635 Fishers Lane, Room 2085, Rockville, MD 20852, 301–443–9737, bautista@mail.nih.gov.

Paulette S. Gray, Ph.D., Director, Division of Extramural Activities, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 9609 Medical Center Drive, Room 7W444,

Bethesda, MD 20892, 240–276–6340, grayp@dea.nci.nih.gov.

Susan Weiss, Ph.D., Director, Division of Extramural Research, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, 6001 Executive Boulevard, NSC, Room 5274, 301–443–6487, sweiss@nida.nih.gov.

Any interested person may file written comments with the committee by forwarding the statement to the Contact Person listed on this notice. The statement should include the name, address, telephone number and when applicable, the business or professional affiliation of the interested person.

Information is also available on the Institute's/Center's home page: <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/news-events/meetings-events-exhibits>, where an agenda and any additional information for the meetings will be posted when available.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.271, Alcohol Research Career Development Awards for Scientists and Clinicians; 93.272, Alcohol National Research Service Awards for Research Training; 93.273, Alcohol Research Programs; 93.891, Alcohol Research Center Grants; 93.701, ARRA Related Biomedical Research and Research Support Awards., National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Melanie J. Pantoja,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–06116 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of a

meeting of the NIH Clinical Center Research Hospital Board.

The meeting will be open to the public, with attendance limited to space available. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should notify the Contact Person listed below in advance of the meeting.

Name of Committee: NIH Clinical Center Research Hospital Board.

Date: April 20, 2018.

Time: 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

Agenda: NIH CC CEO Update, Patient Safety Clinical Quality Update, and Other Business of the Board.

Place: National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 6C, 31 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Contact Person: Gretchen Wood, Staff Assistant, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, One Center Drive, Building 1, Room 126, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-496-4272, woodgs@nih.gov.

Any interested person may file written comments with the committee by forwarding the statement to the Contact Person listed on this notice. The statement should include the name, address, telephone number and when applicable, the business or professional affiliation of the interested person.

In the interest of security, NIH has instituted stringent procedures for entrance onto the NIH campus. All visitor vehicles, including taxicabs, hotel, and airport shuttles will be inspected before being allowed on campus. Visitors will be asked to show one form of identification (for example, a government-issued photo ID, driver's license, or passport) and to state the purpose of their visit.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.14, Intramural Research Training Award; 93.22, Clinical Research Loan Repayment Program for Individuals from Disadvantaged Backgrounds; 93.232, Loan Repayment Program for Research Generally; 93.39, Academic Research Enhancement Award; 93.936, NIH Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome Research Loan Repayment Program; 93.187, Undergraduate Scholarship Program for Individuals from Disadvantaged Backgrounds, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Sylvia L. Neal,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06117 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as

amended, notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Health Services Research.

Date: April 19, 2018.

Time: 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Contact Person: Chittari V. Shivakumar, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, National Institutes of Health, Center for Scientific Review, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-408-9098, chittari.shivakumar@nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Member Conflict: Retina Diseases and Pathophysiology.

Date: April 19, 2018.

Time: 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Alessandra C. Rovescalli, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, National Institutes of Health, Center for Scientific Review, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Rm. 5205, MSC7846, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 435-1021, rovescaa@mail.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393-93.396, 93.837-93.844, 93.846-93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Melanie J. Pantoja,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06113 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute on Aging; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as

amended, notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The contract proposals and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the contract proposals, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute on Aging Special Emphasis Panel; FSH, aging, and bone.

Date: May 15, 2018.

Time: 9:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate contract proposals.

Place: National Institute on Aging, Gateway Building, Suite 2W200, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Anita H. Undale, MD, Ph.D., Scientific Review Branch, National Institute on Aging, Gateway Building, Suite 2W200, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20892, 240-747-7825, anita.undale@nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.866, Aging Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Melanie J. Pantoja,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06115 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; PAR Panel;

Innovative Basic Research on Adducts in Cancer Risk Identification and Prevention.

Date: April 13, 2018.

Time: 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Jeffrey Smiley, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 6194, MSC 7804, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-594-7945, smileyja@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Member Conflict: Cancer Immunotherapy and Biomarker Development.

Date: April 25, 2018.

Time: 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Svetlana Kotliarova, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center For Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 6214, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-594-7945, kotliars@mail.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393-93.396, 93.837-93.844, 93.846-93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Melanie J. Pantoja,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-06114 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA-2014-0022]

Technical Mapping Advisory Council

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Committee management; notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Technical Mapping Advisory Council (TMAC) will meet in person on May 15-16, 2018 in Arlington, Virginia. The meeting will be open to the public.

DATES: The TMAC will meet on Tuesday, May 15, 2018 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT), and Wednesday, May 16 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EDT. Please note

that the meeting will close early if the TMAC has completed its business.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Arlington, Virginia at 3101 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia, 22201. Members of the public who wish to attend the meeting must register in advance by sending an email to FEMA-TMAC@fema.dhs.gov (Attention: Mark Crowell) by 11:00 a.m. EDT on Tuesday, May 8, 2018. Members of the public must check in at the front desk on the ninth floor of 3101 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia, 22201; photo identification is required. For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the meeting, contact the person listed in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** below as soon as possible.

To facilitate public participation, members of the public are invited to provide written comments on the issues to be considered by the TMAC, as listed in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. The Agenda and other associated material will be available for review at www.fema.gov/TMAC by Friday, May 11, 2018. Written comments to be considered by the committee at the time of the meeting must be received by Monday, May 14, 2018, identified by Docket ID FEMA-2014-0022, and submitted by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* Address the email to: FEMA-RULES@fema.dhs.gov and CC: FEMA-TMAC@fema.dhs.gov. Include the Docket ID in the subject line of the message. Include name and contact detail in the body of the email.

- *Mail:* Regulatory Affairs Division, Office of Chief Counsel, FEMA, 500 C Street SW, Room 8NE, Washington, DC 20472-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the words "Federal Emergency Management Agency" and the Docket ID FEMA-2014-0022 for this action. Comments received will be posted without alteration at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided.

Docket: For docket access to read background documents or comments received by the TMAC, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for the Docket ID FEMA-2014-0022.

A public comment period will be held on Tuesday, May 15, 2018, from 1:30 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. EDT and again on Wednesday, May 16, 2018 from 11:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. EDT. Speakers are requested to limit their comments to no

more than 3 minutes. Please note that the public comment periods may end before the time indicated, following the last call for comments. Contact Mark Crowell, below, to register as a speaker by close of business on Friday, May 11, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Crowell, Designated Federal Officer for the TMAC, FEMA, 400 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472-3100, telephone (202) 646-3432, and email mark.crowell@fema.dhs.gov. The TMAC website is: <http://www.fema.gov/TMAC>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of this meeting is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix.

As required by the *Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2012*, the TMAC makes recommendations to the FEMA Administrator on: (1) How to improve, in a cost-effective manner, the (a) accuracy, general quality, ease of use, and distribution and dissemination of flood insurance rate maps and risk data; and (b) performance metrics and milestones required to effectively and efficiently map flood risk areas in the United States; (2) mapping standards and guidelines for (a) flood insurance rate maps, and (b) data accuracy, data quality, data currency, and data eligibility; (3) how to maintain, on an ongoing basis, flood insurance rate maps and flood risk identification; (4) procedures for delegating mapping activities to State and local mapping partners; and (5) (a) methods for improving interagency and intergovernmental coordination on flood mapping and flood risk determination, and (b) a funding strategy to leverage and coordinate budgets and expenditures across Federal agencies. Furthermore, the TMAC is required to submit an Annual Report to the FEMA Administrator that contains: (1) A description of the activities of the Council; (2) an evaluation of the status and performance of flood insurance rate maps and mapping activities to revise and update Flood Insurance Rate Maps; and (3) a summary of recommendations made by the Council to the FEMA Administrator.

Agenda: On May 15, 2018, the TMAC will discuss the topics identified in FEMA's 2018 Tasking Memo to the TMAC and confirm subcommittee leads and subcommittee members for 2018. Each subcommittee will then meet to formulate the outline for their assigned topic and chapter in the TMAC 2018 Annual Report. Each subcommittee lead will then present the preliminary outline for their assigned topic and chapter in the Annual Report for

Council discussion. On May 16, 2018, the TMAC will continue their discussion of topics for TMAC attention in 2018, refining the outlines for each of the chapters in the TMAC 2018 Annual Report, and collecting requests for subject matter expert briefs to the Council to inform their topic research. Members of the public will be afforded an opportunity to comment each day during the meeting (no more than 3 minutes per individual) and prior to any votes. However, the TMAC does not currently anticipate holding any votes during this meeting of the TMAC. A detailed agenda will be posted by Friday, May 11, 2018, at <http://www.fema.gov/TMAC>.

Dated: March 19, 2018.

Roy E. Wright,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Insurance and Mitigation, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018-06072 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID: FEMA-2018-0003; OMB No. 1660-0138]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Direct Housing Program Forms

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public to take this opportunity to comment on a revision of a currently approved information collection. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice seeks comments concerning the collection of information related to FEMA's temporary housing assistance, which provides temporary housing to eligible survivors of federally declared disasters.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before May 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To avoid duplicate submissions to the docket, please use only one of the following means to submit comments:

(1) *Online.* Submit comments at www.regulations.gov under Docket ID FEMA-2018-0003. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

(2) *Mail.* Submit written comments to Docket Manager, Office of Chief Counsel, DHS/FEMA, 500 C Street SW, 8NE, Washington, DC 20472-3100.

All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket ID. Regardless of the method used for submitting comments or material, all submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>, and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth McDowell, Supervisory Program Specialist, FEMA, Recovery Directorate, at (540) 686-3630. You may contact the Information Management Division for copies of the proposed collection of information at email address: FEMA-Information-Collections-Management@fema.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5174) authorizes the President to provide temporary housing units to include manufactured housing units, recreational vehicles and other readily fabricated dwellings to eligible applicants who require temporary housing as a result of a major disaster. 44 CFR part 206 provides the requirements for disaster-related housing needs of individuals and households who are eligible for temporary housing assistance. The information collected is necessary to determine the feasibility of a potential site for placement of a Transportable Temporary Housing Unit (TTHU), to ensure the TTHU is ready for applicant occupancy, and to confirm applicant understanding of the requirements of occupancy of the TTHUs.

Collection of Information

Title: Direct Housing Program Forms.

Type of Information Collection:

Revision of a currently approved information collection.

OMB Number: 1660-0138.

FEMA Forms: FEMA Form 009-0-129, Ready for Occupancy Status; FEMA Form 009-0-131, Sales Calculation Worksheet; FEMA Form 009-0-134, Disaster Assistance Recertification Worksheet; FEMA Form 009-0-135, Temporary Housing Agreement; FEMA Form 009-0-137, Unit Pad Requirements—Information Checklist.

Abstract: The Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency

Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5174) authorizes the President to provide temporary housing units to include manufactured housing units, recreational vehicles and other readily fabricated dwellings to eligible applicants who require temporary housing as a result of a major disaster. The information collected is necessary to determine the feasibility of a potential site for placement of a TTHU, to ensure the TTHU is ready for applicant occupancy, and to confirm applicant understanding of the requirements of occupancy of the THUs.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, Business or other for-profits.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25,000.

Estimated Number of Responses: 25,000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 7,917.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost: \$320,453.28.

Estimated Respondents' Operation and Maintenance Costs: \$0.

Estimated Respondents' Capital and Start-Up Costs: \$0.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to the Federal Government: \$ 2,997,510.

Comments

Comments may be submitted as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** caption above. Comments are solicited to (a) evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Dated: March 16, 2018.

William H. Holzerland,

Senior Director for Information Management, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, Mission Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2018-06071 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-23-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLCO956000 L14400000.BJ0000 18X]

Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey, Colorado

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of official filing.

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled to be officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Colorado State Office, Lakewood, Colorado, 30 calendar days from the date of this publication. The surveys, which were executed at the request of the U.S. Forest Service and the BLM, are necessary for the management of these lands.

DATES: Unless there are protests of this action, the plats described in this notice will be filed on April 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit written protests to the BLM Colorado State Office, Cadastral Survey, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, CO 80215-7093.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randy Bloom, Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado, (303) 239-3856; *rbloom@blm.gov*. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf may call the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The Service is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The plat and field notes of the dependent resurvey and subdivision of section 13 in Township 1 South, Range 77 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on February 20, 2018.

The plat, in 2 sheets, and field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 1 South, Range 80 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on March 2, 2018.

A person or party who wishes to protest any of the above surveys must file a written notice of protest within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. A statement of reasons for the protest may be filed with the notice of protest and must be filed within 30 calendar days after the protest is filed. If a protest against the survey is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed or otherwise resolved.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, please be aware that your entire protest, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 43 U.S.C. Chap. 3.

Randy A. Bloom,
Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 2018-06093 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic classes, and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a) on or before May 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DRW, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Attorney General has delegated his authority under the Controlled Substances Act to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), 28 CFR 0.100(b). Authority to exercise all necessary functions with respect to the promulgation and implementation of 21 CFR part 1301, incident to the registration of manufacturers, distributors, dispensers, importers, and exporters of controlled substances (other than final orders in connection with suspension, denial, or revocation of registration) has been redelegated to the Deputy Assistant Administrator of the DEA Office of Diversion Control (“Deputy Assistant Administrator”) pursuant to section 7 of 28 CFR part 0, appendix to subpart R.

In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is notice that on January 29, 2018, Synthcon, LLC, 770 Wooten Road, Unit 101, Colorado Springs, CO 80915 applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application: Synthcon, LLC

ACTION: Notice of application.

| Controlled substance | Drug code | Schedule |
|---|-----------|----------|
| 3-Fluoro-N-methylcathinone (3-FMC) | 1233 | I |
| Cathinone | 1235 | I |
| Methcathinone | 1237 | I |
| 4-Fluoro-N-methylcathinone (4-FMC) | 1238 | I |
| Pentedrone (α-methylaminovalerophenone) | 1246 | I |
| Mephedrone (4-Methyl-N-methylcathinone) | 1248 | I |
| 4-Methyl-N-ethylcathinone (4-MEC) | 1249 | I |
| Naphyrone | 1258 | I |
| N-Ethylamphetamine | 1475 | I |
| N,N-Dimethylamphetamine | 1480 | I |
| Aminorex | 1585 | I |
| 4-Methylaminorex (cis isomer) | 1590 | I |
| Gamma Hydroxybutyric Acid | 2010 | I |
| Methaqualone | 2565 | I |
| Mecloqualone | 2572 | I |
| JWH-250 (1-Pentyl-3-(2-methoxyphenylacetyl) indole) | 6250 | I |

| Controlled substance | Drug code | Schedule |
|---|-----------|----------|
| SR-18 (Also known as RCS-8) (1-Cyclohexylethyl-3-(2-methoxyphenylacetyl) indole) | 7008 | I |
| 5-Fluoro-UR-144 and XLR11 [1-(5-Fluoro-pentyl)1H-indol-3-yl][(2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone] | 7011 | I |
| AB-FUBINACA (N-(1-amino-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide) | 7012 | I |
| JWH-019 (1-Hexyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole) | 7019 | I |
| AB-PINACA (N-(1-amino-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide) | 7023 | I |
| THJ-2201 [1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-indazol-3-yl](naphthalen-1-yl)methanone | 7024 | I |
| AB-CHMINACA (N-(1-amino-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-(cyclohexylmethyl)-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide) | 7031 | I |
| MAB-CHMINACA (N-(1-amino-3,3dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-(cyclohexylmethyl)-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide) | 7032 | I |
| ADB-PINACA (N-(1-amino-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide) | 7035 | I |
| APINACA and AKB48 N-(1-Adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide | 7048 | I |
| JWH-081 (1-Pentyl-3-(1-(4-methoxynaphthoyl) indole) | 7081 | I |
| SR-19 (Also known as RCS-4) (1-Pentyl-3-[(4-methoxy)-benzoyl] indole) | 7104 | I |
| JWH018 (also known as AM678) (1-Pentyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole) | 7118 | I |
| JWH-122 (1-Pentyl-3-(4-methyl-1-naphthoyl) indole) | 7122 | I |
| UR-144 (1-Pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone | 7144 | I |
| JWH-073 (1-Butyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole) | 7173 | I |
| JWH-200 (1-[2-(4-Morpholinyl)ethyl]-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole) | 7200 | I |
| AM2201 (1-(5-Fluoropentyl)-3-(1-naphthoyl) indole) | 7201 | I |
| JWH-203 (1-Pentyl-3-(2-chlorophenylacetyl) indole) | 7203 | I |
| PB-22 (Quinolin-8-yl 1-pentyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate) | 7222 | I |
| 5F-PB-22 (Quinolin-8-yl 1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-indole-3-carboxylate) | 7225 | I |
| Alpha-ethyltryptamine | 7249 | I |
| CP-47,497 (5-(1,1-Dimethylheptyl)-2-[(1R,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl-phenol]) | 7297 | I |
| CP-47,497 C8 Homologue (5-(1,1-Dimethyloctyl)-2-[(1R,3S)3-hydroxycyclohexyl-phenol]) | 7298 | I |
| Lysergic acid diethylamide | 7315 | I |
| 2,5-Dimethoxy-4-(n)-propylthiophenethylamine (2C-T-7) | 7348 | I |
| Tetrahydrocannabinols | 7370 | I |
| Mescaline | 7381 | I |
| 2-(4-Ethylthio-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl) ethanamine (2C-T-2) | 7385 | I |
| 3,4,5-Trimethoxyamphetamine | 7390 | I |
| 4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine | 7391 | I |
| 4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine | 7392 | I |
| 4-Methyl-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine | 7395 | I |
| 2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine | 7396 | I |
| JWH-398 (1-Pentyl-3-(4-chloro-1-naphthoyl) indole) | 7398 | I |
| 2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylamphetamine | 7399 | I |
| 3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine | 7400 | I |
| 5-Methoxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine | 7401 | I |
| N-Hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine | 7402 | I |
| 3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine | 7404 | I |
| 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine | 7405 | I |
| 4-Methoxyamphetamine | 7411 | I |
| 5-Methoxy-N-N-dimethyltryptamine | 7431 | I |
| Alpha-methyltryptamine | 7432 | I |
| Bufotenine | 7433 | I |
| Diethyltryptamine | 7434 | I |
| Dimethyltryptamine | 7435 | I |
| Psilocybin | 7437 | I |
| Psilocyn | 7438 | I |
| N-Ethyl-1-phenylcyclohexylamine | 7455 | I |
| 1-(1-Phenylcyclohexyl)pyrrolidine | 7458 | I |
| 1-[1-(2-Thienyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine | 7470 | I |
| 1-[1-(2-Thienyl)cyclohexyl]pyrrolidine | 7473 | I |
| N-Ethyl-3-piperidyl benzilate | 7482 | I |
| N-Methyl-3-piperidyl benzilate | 7484 | I |
| N-Benzylpiperazine | 7493 | I |
| 4-Methyl-alpha-pyrrolidinopropiophenone (4-MePPP) | 7498 | I |
| 2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-methylphenyl) ethanamine (2C-D) | 7508 | I |
| 2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylphenyl) ethanamine (2C-E) | 7509 | I |
| 2-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl) ethanamine (2C-H) | 7517 | I |
| 2-(4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl) ethanamine (2C-I) | 7518 | I |
| 2-(4-Chloro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl) ethanamine (2C-C) | 7519 | I |
| 2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-nitro-phenyl) ethanamine (2C-N) | 7521 | I |
| 2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-(n)-propylphenyl) ethanamine (2C-P) | 7524 | I |
| 2-(4-Isopropylthio)-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl) ethanamine (2C-T-4) | 7532 | I |
| MDPV (3,4-Methylenedioxypropylvalerone) | 7535 | I |
| 2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(2-methoxybenzyl) ethanamine (25B-NBOMe) | 7536 | I |
| 2-(4-chloro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(2-methoxybenzyl) ethanamine (25C-NBOMe) | 7537 | I |
| 2-(4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(2-methoxybenzyl) ethanamine (25I-NBOMe) | 7538 | I |
| Methylone (3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-methylcathinone) | 7540 | I |
| Butylone | 7541 | I |
| Pentylone | 7542 | I |
| alpha-pyrrolidinopentiophenone (α -PVP) | 7545 | I |
| alpha-pyrrolidinobutiophenone (α -PBP) | 7546 | I |

| Controlled substance | Drug code | Schedule |
|--|-----------|----------|
| AM-694 (1-(5-Fluoropentyl)-3-(2-iodobenzoyl) indole) | 7694 | I |
| Heroin | 9200 | I |
| Normorphine | 9313 | I |
| U-47700 (3,4-dichloro-N-[2-(dimethylamino)cyclohexyl]-N-methylbenzamide) | 9547 | I |
| AH-7921 (3,4-dichloro-N-[(1-dimethylamino)cyclohexylmethyl]benzamide) | 9551 | I |
| Alphacetylmethadol except levo-alphacetylmethadol | 9603 | I |
| Alphameprodine | 9604 | I |
| Alphamethadol | 9605 | I |
| Benzethidine | 9606 | I |
| Betacetylmethadol | 9607 | I |
| Clonitazene | 9612 | I |
| Diampromide | 9615 | I |
| Diethylthiambutene | 9616 | I |
| Dimethylthiambutene | 9619 | I |
| 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-4-propionoxypiperidine | 9661 | I |
| 1-(2-Phenylethyl)-4-phenyl-4-acetoxypiperidine | 9663 | I |
| Tilidine | 9750 | I |
| Para-Fluorofentanyl | 9812 | I |
| 3-Methylfentanyl | 9813 | I |
| Alpha-methylfentanyl | 9814 | I |
| Acetyl-alpha-methylfentanyl | 9815 | I |
| Acetyl Fentanyl (N-(1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)-N-phenylacetamide) | 9821 | I |
| Beta-hydroxyfentanyl | 9830 | I |
| Beta-hydroxy-3-methylfentanyl | 9831 | I |
| Alpha-methylthiofentanyl | 9832 | I |
| 3-Methylthiofentanyl | 9833 | I |
| Furanyl fentanyl (N-(1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)-N-phenylfuran-2-carboxamide) | 9834 | I |
| Thiofentanyl | 9835 | I |
| Tetrahydrofuranlyl fentanyl | 9843 | I |
| Cyclopropyl Fentanyl | 9845 | I |
| Fentanyl-related Substance | 9850 | I |
| Amphetamine | 1100 | II |
| Methamphetamine | 1105 | II |
| 1-Phenylcyclohexylamine | 7460 | II |
| Phencyclidine | 7471 | II |
| 4-Anilino-N-phenethyl-4-piperidine (ANPP) | 8333 | II |
| Phenylacetone | 8501 | II |
| 1-Piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile | 8603 | II |
| Alphaprodine | 9010 | II |
| Anileridine | 9020 | II |
| Cocaine | 9041 | II |
| Diphenoxylate | 9170 | II |
| Ecgonine | 9180 | II |
| Levorphanol | 9220 | II |
| Meperidine | 9230 | II |
| Meperidine intermediate-A | 9232 | II |
| Meperidine intermediate-B | 9233 | II |
| Meperidine intermediate-C | 9234 | II |
| Dextropropoxyphene, bulk (non-dosage forms) | 9273 | II |
| Morphine | 9300 | II |
| Levo-alphacetylmethadol | 9648 | II |
| Alfentanil | 9737 | II |
| Remifentanil | 9739 | II |
| Sufentanil | 9740 | II |
| Carfentanil | 9743 | II |
| Tapentadol | 9780 | II |
| Fentanyl | 9801 | II |

The company plans to manufacture the above-listed controlled substances as analytical reference standards for distribution to its customers. In reference to drug code 7370 tetrahydrocannabinols the company plans to bulk manufacture as synthetic substances. No other activity for this drug code is authorized for this registration.

Dated: March 15, 2018.

Susan A. Gibson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2018-06090 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Christopher D. Owens, M.D.: Decision and Order

On August 11, 2017, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Christopher D. Owens, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant), of San

Francisco, California. GX 2. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Registrant's DEA Certificate of Registration, and the denial of any applications to renew or modify his registration, or for any other registration, on the ground that Registrant does not "have . . . state authority to handle controlled substances." *Id.* at 1.

As to the jurisdictional basis for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that Registrant is registered "as a practitioner in [s]chedules II through V under . . . Certificate of Registration [No.] FO0414677," at the address of "University of California, San Francisco, 400 Parnassus Ave[.], #581, San Francisco, CA." *Id.* at 2. The Order alleged that this "registration expires by its terms on December 31, 2018." *Id.*

As to the substantive ground for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that "[o]n June 22, 2017, the Medical Board of California issued a Default Decision and Order revoking [Registrant's] Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A108740, effective July 21, 2017," and that "[o]n July 20, 2017, the . . . Board . . . issued an Order denying [his] petition for reconsideration." *Id.* The Show Cause Order thus alleged that Registrant is "currently without authority to practice medicine or handle controlled substances in the State of California, the [S]tate in which [he is] registered with the" Agency. *Id.* The Order further asserted that "based on [his] lack of authority to handle controlled substances in . . . California," his registration is subject to revocation. *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3); other citations omitted).

The Show Cause Order notified Registrant of his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement of position while waiving his right to a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequence of failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2. The Show Cause Order also notified Registrant of his right to submit a Corrective Action Plan pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C). *Id.* at 3.

On August 14, 2017, the Show Cause Order was served on Registrant by providing it to an Assistant Federal Public Defender who was representing Registrant in a pending criminal matter and who stated that she was authorized by Registrant to accept service of the Show Cause Order on his behalf. GX 6. The Attorney also stated that she would provide a copy of the Order to Registrant and subsequently confirmed that she did. *Id.* at 1–2.

On November 28, 2017, the Government filed a Request for Final

Agency Action (RFAA). Therein, the Government represents that "[a]t least 30 days have passed since" the Show Cause Order "was served on Registrant." RFAA, at 2. The Government further represents that "Registrant has not requested a hearing and has not otherwise corresponded or communicated with DEA regarding the Order . . . including the filing of any written statement in lieu of a hearing." *Id.*

Based on the Government's representations, I find that 30 days have now passed and Registrant has neither requested a hearing nor filed a written statement while waiving his right to a hearing. I also find that Registrant has not submitted a Corrective Action Plan. Accordingly, I find that Registrant has waived his right to a hearing or to submit a written statement while waiving his right to a hearing; I also find that Registrant has waived his right to submit a Corrective Action Plan. 21 CFR 1301.43(d). I make the following findings of fact.

Findings

Registrant is the holder of DEA Certificate of Registration No. FO0414677, pursuant to which he is authorized to dispense controlled substances in schedules II through V, as a practitioner, at the registered address of University of California, San Francisco, 400 Parnassus Ave., #581, San Francisco, CA. GX 1, at 1. This Registration does not expire until December 31, 2018. *Id.*

Registrant was also the holder of Physician's and Surgeon's certificate No. A108740 issued by the Medical Board of California (hereinafter, MBC or Board). GX 3, at 1. However, on April 25, 2017, a state Administrative Law Judge conducted a hearing at which the ALJ concluded that Registrant "is unsafe to practice medicine and issued an Interim Suspension Order." *Id.* at 2. The State ALJ also ordered the Board to file an Accusation against Registrant within 30 days of April 26, 2017. *Id.* On May 16, 2017, the MBC's Executive Director filed an Accusation against Registrant, which alleged that "he self-administered illicit drugs and has been diagnosed with a substance abuse disorder." *Id.* at 2, 5. After Registrant failed to respond to the Accusation within the period provided under California law, the Board found Registrant in default and ordered that his medical license be revoked effective on July 21, 2017. *Id.* at 4–5. While Registrant filed a petition for reconsideration, on July 20, 2017, the Board denied the petition. GX 4. I take official notice of the results of a search

of the Board's license verification web page. *See* 5 U.S.C. 556(e). That search shows that, as of the date of this Decision, Registrant's Physician's and Surgeon's License remains revoked. *See* <https://search.dca.ca.gov/results>.

Discussion

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), the Attorney General is authorized to suspend or revoke a registration issued under section 823 of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA), "upon a finding that the registrant . . . has had his State license . . . suspended [or] revoked . . . by competent State authority and is no longer authorized by State law to engage in the . . . dispensing of controlled substances." With respect to a practitioner, DEA has long held that the possession of authority to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the State in which a practitioner engages in professional practice is a fundamental condition for obtaining *and maintaining* a practitioner's registration. *See, e.g., James L. Hooper*, 76 FR 71371 (2011), *pet. for rev. denied*, 481 Fed. Appx. 826 (4th Cir. 2012); *Frederick Marsh Blanton*, 43 FR 27616 (1978).

The Agency's rule derives from the text of two other provisions of the CSA: section 802(21), which defines the term "practitioner," and section 823(f), which sets forth the registration requirements applicable to practitioners. Notably, in section 802(21), Congress defined "the term 'practitioner' [to] mean[] a . . . physician . . . or other person licensed, registered or otherwise permitted, by . . . the jurisdiction in which he practices . . . to distribute, dispense, [or] administer . . . a controlled substance in the course of professional practice." 21 U.S.C. 802(21). The text of this provision makes clear that a physician is not a practitioner within the meaning of the CSA if he is not "licensed, registered or otherwise permitted, by the jurisdiction in which he practices . . . to dispense [or] administer . . . a controlled substance in the course of professional practice." *Id.*

To the same effect, Congress, in setting the requirements for obtaining a practitioner's registration, directed that "[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners . . . if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices." 21 U.S.C. 823(f). Thus, based on these provisions, the Agency held nearly forty years ago that "[s]tate authorization to dispense or otherwise handle controlled substances is a prerequisite to the issuance *and maintenance* of a Federal controlled

substances registration.” *Blanton*, 43 FR at 27617 (revoking physician’s registration based on one-year suspension of his state license) (emphasis added).

Based on my finding that Registrant’s Physician’s and Surgeon’s Certificate has been revoked, I find that Registrant is currently without authority to dispense controlled substances under the laws of California, the State in which he is registered. *See* Cal. Health & Safety Code § 11150 (“No person other than a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian . . . shall write or issue a prescription” for a controlled substance.); *id.* § 11210 (“A physician, surgeon, dentist, [or] veterinarian . . . may prescribe for, furnish to, or administer controlled substances to his or her patient when the patient is suffering from a disease, ailment, injury”); *id.* § 11024 (“‘Physician,’ ‘dentist,’ ‘podiatrist,’ . . . means persons who are licensed to practice their respective professions in this state.”); *id.* § 11352.1(b) (“any person who knowingly and unlawfully dispenses or furnishes a dangerous drug . . . without a license to dispense or furnish these products, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor”).¹ I will therefore order that his registration be revoked and that any pending application to renew or modify his registration, or for any other registration in California, be denied.

Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a) and 28 CFR 0.100(b), I order that DEA Certificate of Registration No. FO0414677 issued to Christopher D. Owens, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(f), I order that any pending application to renew or modify this registration, or for any other registration in the State of California, be, and it hereby is, denied. This Order is effective immediately.²

¹ *See also* Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 2052 (“any person . . . who diagnoses, treats, operates for or prescribes for any ailment, . . . disease, . . . disorder, injury, or other physical or mental condition of any person, without having at the time of so doing a valid, unrevoked, or unsuspended certificate as provided in this chapter . . . is guilty of a public offense”); *id.* § 2051 (“The physician’s and surgeon’s certificate authorizes the holder to use drugs or devices in or upon human beings . . . in the treatment of diseases, injuries, deformities, and other physical and mental conditions).

² For the same reasons which led the MBC to issue the Interim Suspension Order, I find that the public interest necessitates that this Order be effective immediately. 21 CFR 1316.67.

Dated: March 14, 2018.

Robert W. Patterson,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2018–06089 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Revised Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On March 14, 2018, the Department of Justice published notice of a proposed consent decree that it lodged on February 27, 2018, with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, et al.*, Case No. 3:18–cv–00054 (S.D. Ohio). It has come to the attention of the Department of Justice that members of the public were unable to access a copy of the proposed consent decree on the Department’s website. As a result, the Department of Justice is now publishing this revised notice, which will give members of the public 30 days from the publication date of this revised notice to review and comment on the proposed consent decree.

The proposed consent decree resolves claims of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) against seven defendants—Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC; Cargill, Inc.; Flowserve Corporation; Kelsey-Hayes Company; NCR Corporation; Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, and Waste Management of Ohio (collectively “Defendants”)—for response costs and injunctive relief with respect to the North Sanitary (aka “Valleycrest”) Landfill Superfund Site in Dayton, Ohio (“Site”). A complaint, which was filed simultaneously with the proposed consent decree, alleges that the Defendants are liable under Sections 106, 107(a), and 113(g)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607(a), and 9613(g)(2). Under the proposed consent decree, the Defendants will perform the remedy selected by EPA to address contamination at the Site by, among other things, designing and constructing a landfill “cap” that will cover approximately 70 acres of the Site. Other significant remedial actions will include the design and construction of a system to address landfill gas, as well as a system to prevent leachate from contaminating groundwater.

Additionally, the Defendants will reimburse EPA for its future response costs, but they will not reimburse EPA for its future oversight costs unless and until such costs, together with past responses costs and interim costs incurred before entry of the consent decree, exceed \$8.37 million. The proposed consent decree will provide covenants not to sue to the Defendants, as well as to numerous other potentially responsible parties (“Other Settling Parties”) who have previously entered into settlement agreements with one or more of the Defendants and, in most instances, received indemnifications from them, provided that such Other Settling Parties (listed in Appendix E of the consent decree) submit signature pages agreeing to be bound by the consent decree and, if they own property likely affected by the remedial action, cooperate in the implementation of the consent decree.

The publication of this revised notice opens a new period for public comment on the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer *United States v. Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, et al.*, Case No. 3:18–cv–00054 (S.D. Ohio), D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–11076. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this revised notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

| <i>To submit comments:</i> | <i>Send them to:</i> |
|----------------------------|---|
| By email | <i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i> |
| By mail | Assistant Attorney General,
U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O.
Box 7611, Washington, DC
20044–7611. |

During the public comment period, the proposed consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will also provide a paper copy of the proposed consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$84.50 (338 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper

copy without the Appendices and signature pages, the cost is \$20.75.

Susan Akers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2018-06080 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1125-0003]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested; Fee Waiver Request

AGENCY: Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** allowing for a 60-day comment period. **DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until April 26, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Jean King, General Counsel, Executive Office for Immigration Review, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 2600, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia, 22041; telephone: (703) 305-0470. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be sent to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

- functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and/or
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision and extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Fee Waiver Request.
3. *The Agency Form Number:* Form EOIR-26A (OMB 1125-0003).
4. *Affected Public Who Will Be Asked or Required to Respond, as Well as a Brief Abstract:*
Primary: An individual submitting an appeal or motion to the Board of Immigration Appeals. *Other:* Attorneys and qualified representatives representing an alien in immigration proceedings before EOIR. *Abstract:* The information on the fee waiver request form is used by the Board of Immigration Appeals to determine whether the requisite fee for a motion or appeal will be waived due to an individual's financial situation.
5. *An Estimate of the Total Number of Respondents and the Amount of Time Estimated for an Average Respondent to Respond:* It is estimated that there are 7,116 respondents, 7,116 annual responses, and that each response takes 1 hour to complete.
6. *An Estimate of the Total Public Burden (in Hours) Associated With the Collection:* 7,116 annual burden hours.
If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Melody D. Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2018-06068 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-30-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; National Science Board

The National Science Board's Task Force on Skilled Technical Workforce, pursuant to NSF regulations (45 CFR part 614), the National Science Foundation Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1862n-5), and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a teleconference for the transaction of National Science Board business, as follows:

TIME & DATE: Monday, April 2, 2018 at 12:30-1:30 p.m. EDT.

PLACE: This meeting will be held by teleconference at the National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314. An audio link will be available for the public. Members of the public must contact the Board Office to request the public audio link by sending an email to nationalsciencebrd@nsf.gov at least 24 hours prior to the teleconference.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Task Force on Skilled Technical Workforce discussion on current and future activities.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Point of contact for this meeting is: Mateo Munoz, mmunoz@nsf.gov, 703-292-7000. Meeting information and updates may be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices.jsp#sunshine>. Please refer to the National Science Board website at www.nsf.gov/nsb for general information.

Chris Blair,

Executive Assistant to the National Science Board Office.

[FR Doc. 2018-06211 Filed 3-23-18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2018-0059]

Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Biweekly notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 189a. (2) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is

publishing this regular biweekly notice. The Act requires the Commission to publish notice of any amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, and grants the Commission the authority to issue and make immediately effective any amendment to an operating license or combined license, as applicable, upon a determination by the Commission that such amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person.

This biweekly notice includes all notices of amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, from February 27, 2018, to March 12, 2018. The last biweekly notice was published on March 13, 2018.

DATES: Comments must be filed by April 26, 2018. A request for a hearing must be filed by May 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0059. Address questions about NRC dockets to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Mail comments to:* May Ma, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWFN-7-A60M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ikeda Betts, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-1959, email: Ikeda.Betts@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0059, facility name, unit number(s), plant docket number, application date, and subject when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0059.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC-2018-0059, facility name, unit number(s), plant docket number, application date, and subject in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the following amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission’s regulations in § 50.92 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would

not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The basis for this proposed determination for each amendment request is shown below.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Commission may issue the license amendment before expiration of the 60-day period provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. In addition, the Commission may issue the amendment prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period if circumstances change during the 30-day comment period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example in derating or shutdown of the facility. If the Commission takes action prior to the expiration of either the comment period or the notice period, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. If the Commission makes a final no significant hazards consideration determination, any hearing will take place after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A. Opportunity To Request a Hearing and Petition for Leave To Intervene

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, any persons (petitioner) whose interest may be affected by this action may file a request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene (petition) with respect to the action. Petitions shall be filed in accordance with the Commission’s “Agency Rules of Practice and Procedure” in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309. The NRC’s regulations are accessible electronically from the NRC Library on the NRC’s website at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/>. Alternatively, a copy of the regulations is available at the NRC’s Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Room O1-F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852. If a petition is filed,

the Commission or a presiding officer will rule on the petition and, if appropriate, a notice of a hearing will be issued.

As required by 10 CFR 2.309(d) the petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following general requirements for standing: (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner; (2) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (3) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (4) the possible effect of any decision or order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.309(f), the petition must also set forth the specific contentions which the petitioner seeks to have litigated in the proceeding. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner must provide a brief explanation of the bases for the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to the specific sources and documents on which the petitioner intends to rely to support its position on the issue. The petition must include sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant or licensee on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions must be limited to matters within the scope of the proceeding. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to satisfy the requirements at 10 CFR 2.309(f) with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene. Parties have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing with respect to resolution of that party's admitted contentions, including the opportunity to present evidence, consistent with the NRC's regulations, policies, and procedures.

Petitions must be filed no later than 60 days from the date of publication of this notice. Petitions and motions for leave to file new or amended contentions that are filed after the deadline will not be entertained absent a determination by the presiding officer that the filing demonstrates good cause by satisfying the three factors in 10 CFR

2.309(c)(1)(i) through (iii). The petition must be filed in accordance with the filing instructions in the "Electronic Submissions (E-Filing)" section of this document.

If a hearing is requested, and the Commission has not made a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to establish when the hearing is held. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing would take place after issuance of the amendment. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, then any hearing held would take place before the issuance of the amendment unless the Commission finds an imminent danger to the health or safety of the public, in which case it will issue an appropriate order or rule under 10 CFR part 2.

A State, local governmental body, Federally-recognized Indian Tribe, or agency thereof, may submit a petition to the Commission to participate as a party under 10 CFR 2.309(h)(1). The petition should state the nature and extent of the petitioner's interest in the proceeding. The petition should be submitted to the Commission no later than 60 days from the date of publication of this notice. The petition must be filed in accordance with the filing instructions in the "Electronic Submissions (E-Filing)" section of this document, and should meet the requirements for petitions set forth in this section, except that under 10 CFR 2.309(h)(2) a State, local governmental body, or Federally-recognized Indian Tribe, or agency thereof does not need to address the standing requirements in 10 CFR 2.309(d) if the facility is located within its boundaries. Alternatively, a State, local governmental body, Federally-recognized Indian Tribe, or agency thereof may participate as a non-party under 10 CFR 2.315(c).

If a hearing is granted, any person who is not a party to the proceeding and is not affiliated with or represented by a party may, at the discretion of the presiding officer, be permitted to make a limited appearance pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.315(a). A person making a limited appearance may make an oral or written statement of his or her position on the issues but may not

otherwise participate in the proceeding. A limited appearance may be made at any session of the hearing or at any prehearing conference, subject to the limits and conditions as may be imposed by the presiding officer. Details regarding the opportunity to make a limited appearance will be provided by the presiding officer if such sessions are scheduled.

B. Electronic Submissions (E-Filing)

All documents filed in NRC adjudicatory proceedings, including a request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene (petition), any motion or other document filed in the proceeding prior to the submission of a request for hearing or petition to intervene, and documents filed by interested governmental entities that request to participate under 10 CFR 2.315(c), must be filed in accordance with the NRC's E-Filing rule (72 FR 49139; August 28, 2007, as amended at 77 FR 46562, August 3, 2012). The E-Filing process requires participants to submit and serve all adjudicatory documents over the internet, or in some cases to mail copies on electronic storage media. Detailed guidance on making electronic submissions may be found in the Guidance for Electronic Submissions to the NRC and on the NRC website at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>. Participants may not submit paper copies of their filings unless they seek an exemption in accordance with the procedures described below.

To comply with the procedural requirements of E-Filing, at least 10 days prior to the filing deadline, the participant should contact the Office of the Secretary by email at hearing.docket@nrc.gov, or by telephone at 301-415-1677, to (1) request a digital identification (ID) certificate, which allows the participant (or its counsel or representative) to digitally sign submissions and access the E-Filing system for any proceeding in which it is participating; and (2) advise the Secretary that the participant will be submitting a petition or other adjudicatory document (even in instances in which the participant, or its counsel or representative, already holds an NRC-issued digital ID certificate). Based upon this information, the Secretary will establish an electronic docket for the hearing in this proceeding if the Secretary has not already established an electronic docket.

Information about applying for a digital ID certificate is available on the NRC's public website at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals/getting-started.html>. Once a participant

has obtained a digital ID certificate and a docket has been created, the participant can then submit adjudicatory documents. Submissions must be in Portable Document Format (PDF). Additional guidance on PDF submissions is available on the NRC's public website at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/electronic-sub-ref-mat.html>. A filing is considered complete at the time the document is submitted through the NRC's E-Filing system. To be timely, an electronic filing must be submitted to the E-Filing system no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date. Upon receipt of a transmission, the E-Filing system time-stamps the document and sends the submitter an email notice confirming receipt of the document. The E-Filing system also distributes an email notice that provides access to the document to the NRC's Office of the General Counsel and any others who have advised the Office of the Secretary that they wish to participate in the proceeding, so that the filer need not serve the document on those participants separately. Therefore, applicants and other participants (or their counsel or representative) must apply for and receive a digital ID certificate before adjudicatory documents are filed so that they can obtain access to the documents via the E-Filing system.

A person filing electronically using the NRC's adjudicatory E-Filing system may seek assistance by contacting the NRC's Electronic Filing Help Desk through the "Contact Us" link located on the NRC's public website at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>, by email to MSHD.Resource@nrc.gov, or by a toll-free call at 1-866-672-7640. The NRC Electronic Filing Help Desk is available between 9 a.m. and 6 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, excluding government holidays.

Participants who believe that they have a good cause for not submitting documents electronically must file an exemption request, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302(g), with their initial paper filing stating why there is good cause for not filing electronically and requesting authorization to continue to submit documents in paper format. Such filings must be submitted by: (1) First class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; or (2) courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service to the Office of the Secretary, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff.

Participants filing adjudicatory documents in this manner are responsible for serving the document on all other participants. Filing is considered complete by first-class mail as of the time of deposit in the mail, or by courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service upon depositing the document with the provider of the service. A presiding officer, having granted an exemption request from using E-Filing, may require a participant or party to use E-Filing if the presiding officer subsequently determines that the reason for granting the exemption from use of E-Filing no longer exists.

Documents submitted in adjudicatory proceedings will appear in the NRC's electronic hearing docket which is available to the public at <https://adams.nrc.gov/ehd>, unless excluded pursuant to an order of the Commission or the presiding officer. If you do not have an NRC-issued digital ID certificate as described above, click cancel when the link requests certificates and you will be automatically directed to the NRC's electronic hearing dockets where you will be able to access any publicly available documents in a particular hearing docket. Participants are requested not to include personal privacy information, such as social security numbers, home addresses, or personal phone numbers in their filings, unless an NRC regulation or other law requires submission of such information. For example, in some instances, individuals provide home addresses in order to demonstrate proximity to a facility or site. With respect to copyrighted works, except for limited excerpts that serve the purpose of the adjudicatory filings and would constitute a Fair Use application, participants are requested not to include copyrighted materials in their submission.

For further details with respect to these license amendment applications, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection in ADAMS and at the NRC's PDR. For additional direction on accessing information related to this document, see the "Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments" section of this document.

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Docket No. 50-293, Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (PNPS), Plymouth County, Massachusetts

Date of amendment request: January 12, 2018. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18023A795.

Description of amendment request: The amendment would revise the PNPS

Site Emergency Plan (SEP) for the permanently shutdown and defueled condition. The amendment would revise the PNPS on-shift and Emergency Response Organization (ERO) staffing for the permanently shutdown and defueled condition.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed changes to the PNPS SEP do not impact the function of plant structures, systems, or components. The proposed changes do not affect accident initiators or precursors, nor does it alter design assumptions. The proposed changes do not prevent the ability of the on-shift and augmented ERO to perform their intended functions to mitigate the consequences of any accident or event that will be credible in the permanently shut down and defueled condition. The proposed changes only remove positions that will no longer be credited in the PNPS SEP.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed changes reduce the number of on-shift and augmented ERO positions commensurate with the hazards associated with a permanently shut down and defueled facility. The proposed changes do not involve installation of new equipment or modification of existing equipment, so that no new equipment failure modes are introduced. Also, the proposed changes do not result in a change to the way that the equipment or facility is operated so that no new accident initiators are created.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

Margin of safety is associated with confidence in the ability of the fission product barriers (*i.e.*, fuel cladding, reactor coolant system pressure boundary, and containment structure) to limit the level of radiation dose to the public. The proposed changes are associated with the PNPS SEP and do not impact operation of the plant or its response to transients or accidents. The changes do not affect the Technical Specifications. The proposed changes do not involve a change in the method of plant operation, and no accident analyses will be affected by the proposed changes.

Safety analysis acceptance criteria are not affected by the proposed changes. The revised PNPS SEP will continue to provide the necessary response staff with the proposed changes.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Attorney for licensee: William B. Glew, Jr., Associate General Counsel—Nuclear, Legal Nuclear and Environmental, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., 440 Hamilton Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601.

NRC Branch Chief: Douglas A. Broaddus.

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Docket Nos. 50–352 and 50–353, Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania

Date of amendment request: January 29, 2018. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18029A509.

Description of amendment request: The amendments would revise the Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, Technical Specifications (TSs). The proposed changes would lower the TS standby liquid control system (SLCS) surveillance requirement (SR) pump flowrate value, raise the TS SLCS SR Boron-10 enrichment value of the sodium pentaborate added to the SLCS tank, and expand the operating range in the sodium pentaborate solution temperature/concentration requirements figure. These changes will provide increased testing margin and operational flexibility.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed changes will lower the SLCS TS SR pump flowrate value, raise the TS SLCS SR Boron-10 (B-10) Enrichment value of the Sodium Pentaborate added to the SLCS tank and expand the operating range contained in the TS Figure for Sodium Pentaborate Solution Temperature/Concentration Requirements. These changes

will provide greater operating flexibility. The proposed changes will maintain plant operation within the bounds of the current analysis for the ATWS [anticipated transient without scram] events and for accident source term dose limits in the Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) analysis.

The proposed changes do not alter the physical design of any plant structure, system, or component; therefore, the proposed changes have no adverse effect on plant operation, or the availability or operation of any accident mitigation equipment. The plant response to the design basis accidents does not change. Operation or failure of the SLCS is not assumed to be an initiator of any analyzed event in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) and cannot cause an accident. The changes to the SLCS TS SRs are bounded by current analyses for the ATWS events and LOCA and therefore the changes do not adversely affect consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes conform to NRC regulatory requirements regarding ATWS events and AST [alternative source term] dose limits.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed changes will lower the TS SLCS SR pump flowrate value, raise the TS SLCS SR B-10 Enrichment value of the Sodium Pentaborate added to the SLCS tank and expand the operating range in the Sodium Pentaborate Solution Temperature/Concentration Requirements Figure. These changes will provide greater operating flexibility. The proposed changes will maintain plant operation within the bounds of the current analysis for the ATWS events and for accident source term dose limits in the LOCA analysis.

The proposed changes do not alter the plant configuration (no new or different type of equipment is being installed) or require any new or unusual operator actions. The proposed changes do not alter the safety limits or safety analysis assumptions associated with the operation of the plant. The proposed changes do not introduce any new failure modes that could result in a new accident. The proposed changes do not reduce or adversely affect the capabilities of any plant structure, system, or component in the performance of their safety function. Also, the response of the plant and the operators following the design basis accidents is unaffected by the proposed changes.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

The proposed changes will lower the TS SLCS SR pump flowrate value, raise the TS

SLCS SR B-10 Enrichment value of the Sodium Pentaborate added to the SLCS tank and expand the operating range in the Sodium Pentaborate Solution Temperature/Concentration Requirements Figure. These changes will provide greater operating flexibility. The proposed changes will maintain plant operation within the bounds of the current analysis for the ATWS events and for accident source term dose limits in the LOCA analysis.

The proposed changes have no adverse effect on plant operation, or the availability or operation of any accident mitigation equipment. The plant response to the design basis accidents does not change. The proposed changes do not adversely affect existing plant safety margins or the reliability of the equipment assumed to operate in the safety analyses. There is no change being made to safety analysis assumptions, safety limits or limiting safety system settings that would adversely affect plant safety as a result of the proposed changes.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Attorney for licensee: Tamra Domeyer, Associate General Counsel, Exelon Generation Company, LLC, 4300 Winfield Road, Warrenville, IL 60555.

NRC Branch Chief: James G. Danna.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., Docket Nos. 50–424 and 50–425, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2 (VEGP), Burke County, Georgia

Date of amendment request: October 11, 2017. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17284A348.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendments would revise the VEGP Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) to incorporate a Tornado Missile Risk Evaluator (TMRE) Methodology based on Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 17-02, Revision 1, "Tornado Missile Risk (TMRE) Industry Guidance Document," September 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17268A036). This methodology can only be applied to discovered conditions where tornado missile protection is not currently provided, and cannot be used to avoid providing tornado missile protection in the plant modification process.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards

consideration, which is presented below with staff edits in square brackets:

1. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed amendment does not involve an increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated. The relevant accident previously evaluated is a Design Basis Tornado impacting the VEGP site. The probability of a Design Basis Tornado is driven by external factors and is not affected by the proposed amendment. There are no changes required to any of the previously evaluated accidents in the UFSAR.

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the consequences of a Design Basis Tornado. [The methodology as proposed does not alter any input assumptions or results of the accident analyses. Instead, it reflects a methodology to more realistically evaluate the probability of unacceptable consequences of a Design Basis Tornado. As such, there is no significant increase in the consequence of an accident previously evaluated. A similar consideration would apply in the event additional non-conforming conditions are discovered in the future.]

2. Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed amendment will involve no physical changes to the existing plant, so no new malfunctions could create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident. The proposed amendment makes no changes to conditions external to the plant that could create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident. The proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident due to new accident precursors, failure mechanisms, malfunctions, or accident initiators not considered in the design and licensing bases. The existing Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) accident analysis will continue to meet requirements for the scope and type of accidents that require analysis.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

The proposed amendment does not exceed or alter any controlling numerical value for a parameter established in the UFSAR or elsewhere in the VEGP [licensing basis] related to design basis or safety limits. The change does not impact any UFSAR Chapter 6 or 15 Safety Analyses, and those analyses remain valid. The change does not reduce diversity or redundancy as required by regulation or credited in the UFSAR. The change does not reduce defense-in-depth as described in the UFSAR. Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis as edited by the NRC

staff and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Attorney for licensee: Jennifer M. Buettner, Associate General Counsel, Southern Nuclear Operating Company, 40 Iverness Center Parkway, Birmingham, AL 35242.

NRC Branch Chief: Douglas A. Broaddus.

III. Previously Published Notices of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The following notices were previously published as separate individual notices. The notice content was the same as above. They were published as individual notices either because time did not allow the Commission to wait for this biweekly notice or because the action involved exigent circumstances. They are repeated here because the biweekly notice lists all amendments issued or proposed to be issued involving no significant hazards consideration.

For details, see the individual notice in the **Federal Register** on the day and page cited. This notice does not extend the cited time period of the original notice.

PSEG Nuclear LLC and Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311, Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Salem County, New Jersey

Date of amendment request: February 8, 2018. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18040A301.

Brief description of amendment request: The proposed amendments would modify the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Technical Specification (TS)-allowed outage time for more than one inoperable analog rod position indicator from 1 hour to 24 hours and change the basis for entry into the TS actions for inoperable rod position indicators from "per bank" to "per group." The proposed amendments would also separate existing TS 3.1.3.2.1, Action a.1, into two separate actions and would remove the duplicative Action b (Unit No. 1 only).

*Date of publication of individual notice in **Federal Register**:* March 1, 2018 (83 FR 8904).

Expiration date of individual notice: April 2, 2018 (public comments); April 30, 2018 (hearing requests).

IV. Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses

During the period since publication of the last biweekly notice, the Commission has issued the following amendments. The Commission has determined for each of these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

A notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to facility operating license or combined license, as applicable, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a hearing in connection with these actions, was published in the **Federal Register** as indicated.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments. If the Commission has prepared an environmental assessment under the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 51.22(b) and has made a determination based on that assessment, it is so indicated.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the applications for amendment, (2) the amendment, and (3) the Commission's related letter, Safety Evaluation and/or Environmental Assessment as indicated. All of these items can be accessed as described in the "Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments" section of this document.

Dominion Energy Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336, and 50-423, Millstone Power Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 (Millstone 1, 2, and 3), New London County, Connecticut

Date of amendment request: June 15, 2017.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revised the facility operating licenses by replacing all references to the former company name, "Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc." (or DNC), with "Dominion Energy

Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.” (or DENC), including the cover of the Environmental Protection Plan in Appendix B for Millstone 3 and the Design Features page for Millstone 1, 2, and 3. Additionally, references to DNC’s former ultimate parent company, “Dominion Resources, Inc.,” were replaced with the new name “Dominion Energy, Inc.”

Date of issuance: February 26, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

Amendment Nos.: 118, 334, and 271. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18038B200; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-21, DPR-65, and NPF-49: The amendments revised the facility operating licenses and technical specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 10, 2017 (82 FR 47035).

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 26, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Docket No. 50-255, Palisades Nuclear Plant (PNP), Van Buren County, Michigan

Date of amendment request: November 1, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated January 24, 2018.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the fire protection program transition license condition 2.C.(3)(c)2. by extending the full implementation date by one fuel cycle from the fall 2018 refueling outage to the summer 2020 refueling outage. The amendment also revised Paragraph 2.C.(3) of the Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-20 for PNP to incorporate the revised fire protection documentation and approvals.

Date of issuance: February 27, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 265. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18039A244; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-20: Amendment revised the Renewed Facility Operating License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: December 5, 2017 (82 FR 57472). The supplemental letter dated January 24, 2018, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff’s original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 27, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Docket Nos. 50-352 and 50-353, Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania

Date of amendment request: July 19, 2017, as supplemented by letters dated December 6, 2017; February 19, 2018; and February 27, 2018.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revised the existing Technical Specification requirements related to “operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel” with new requirements on reactor pressure vessel water inventory control to protect Safety Limit 2.1.4. Safety Limit 2.1.4 requires reactor pressure vessel water level to be greater than the top of active irradiated fuel. The changes are based on Technical Specifications Task Force Traveler TSTF-542, Revision 2, “Reactor Pressure Vessel Water Inventory Control.”

Date of issuance: February 27, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented no later than May 31, 2019.

Amendment Nos.: 227 (Unit 1) and 190 (Unit 2). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18017A201; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-39 and NPF-85: Amendments revised the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: September 12, 2017 (82 FR 42848). The supplemental letters dated December 6, 2017; February 19, 2018; and February 27, 2018, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the NRC staff’s original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 27, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Docket Nos. 50-352 and 50-353, Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania

Date of amendment request: April 24, 2017.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revised the existing technical specification (TS) requirements associated with the main condenser offgas monitoring instrumentation and gaseous effluents. Specifically, certain requirements are relocated from the TSs to licensee-controlled documents such that future changes can be made to these provisions pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59 or by the regulatory requirements applicable to the licensee-controlled document.

Date of issuance: February 28, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days.

Amendment Nos.: 228 (Unit 1) and 191 (Unit 2). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18025B769; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-39 and NPF-85: The amendments revised the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses and TSs.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: July 5, 2017 (82 FR 31096).

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 28, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Docket No. 50-410, Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Oswego County, New York

Date of amendment request: May 31, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the run time for Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.6.4.3.1 for Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.4.3, “Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) System,” and SR 3.7.2.1 for TS 3.7.2, “Control Room Envelope Filtration (CREF) System.” The run time for SR 3.6.4.3.1 would be reduced from a continuous 10 hours to a continuous 15 minutes, and the run time for SR 3.7.2.1 would be reduced from 1 hour to 15 minutes at

frequencies controlled in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Date of issuance: March 6, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days of issuance.

Amendment No.: 166. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18032A177; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-69: The amendment revised the Renewed Facility Operating License and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 1, 2017 (82 FR 35839). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 6, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Docket No. 50-219, Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek), Ocean County, New Jersey

Date amendment request: February 28, 2017, as supplemented by letters dated September 20 and November 10, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment changed the site emergency plan to revise the on-shift staffing and the Emergency Response Organization staffing for the permanently defueled condition.

Date of issuance: March 7, 2018.

Effective date: Following the docketing of the certifications required by 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1) that Oyster Creek has been permanently defueled and shall be implemented within 60 days, as noted, but will not exceed March 29, 2020.

Amendment No.: 293. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17356A213; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-16: Amendment revised the renewed facility operating license.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: April 25, 2017 (82 FR 19103). The supplemental letters dated September 20 and November 10, 2017, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 7, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, et al., Docket Nos. 50-334 and 50-412, Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Date of amendment request: April 9, 2017.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revised Technical Specifications Section 4.2.1, "Fuel Assemblies," and Section 5.6.3, "Core Operating Limits Report (COLR)," to allow the use of Optimized ZIRLO™ as an approved fuel rod cladding material. In the letter dated April 9, 2017, the licensee also requested an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR part 50, Appendix K, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12, to support the license amendments.

Date of issuance: March 1, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days.

Amendment Nos.: 302 (Unit No. 1) and 191 (Unit No. 2). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18022B116; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-66 and NPF-73: Amendments revised the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: July 18, 2017 (82 FR 32881).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 1, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

NextEra Energy Duane Arnold, LLC, Docket No. 50-331, Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC), Linn County, Iowa

Date of amendment request: March 24, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the DAEC technical specification (TS) Table 3.3.2.1-1, "Control Rod Block Instrumentation," by relocating certain cycle-specific Minimum Critical Power Ratio values to the DAEC Core Operating Limits Report. The amendment also adds a requirement to DAEC TS 5.6.5, "Core Operating Limits Report."

Date of issuance: March 7, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of its issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 303. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18011A059; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-49: Amendment revised the Renewed Facility Operating License and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 23, 2017 (82 FR 23627).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety evaluation dated March 7, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

NextEra Energy Duane Arnold, LLC, Docket No. 50-331, Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC), Linn County, Iowa

Date of amendment request: April 20, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the DAEC Technical Specification 3.1.2, "Reactivity Anomalies," to change the method used to perform the reactivity anomaly surveillance. The new method would allow performance of the surveillance based on the difference between the monitored (*i.e.*, actual) core reactivity and the predicted core reactivity. The surveillance is currently performed based on the difference between the monitored control rod density and the predicted control rod density.

Date of issuance: March 9, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days of the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 304. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18016A627; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-49: The amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: June 19, 2017 (82 FR 27889).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 9, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Northern States Power Company (NSPM), Docket No. 50-263, Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Wright County, Minnesota

Date of amendment request: March 24, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated September 20, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revises the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Emergency

Plan to increase the staff augmentation times for certain emergency response organization positions from 30 and 60 minutes to 60 and 90 minutes, respectively. Additionally, the amendment defines facility activation, removes references to augmenting resources from the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, removes a 30-minute Electrical Maintenance Responder, clarifies transfer of Direction and Control responsibilities, and implements various administrative changes to position titles, figures, etc.

Date of issuance: March 5, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of issuance.

Amendment No.: 196. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17349A916; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-22: The amendment revised the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Site Emergency Plan.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 9, 2017 (82 FR 21559).

The supplemental letter dated September 20, 2017, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 5, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Northern States Power Company—Minnesota, Docket No. 50-263, Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Wright County, Minnesota

Date of amendment request: March 31, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated September 25, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the emergency action level scheme to one based on the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) document NEI 99 01, Revision 6, "Development of Emergency Action Levels for Non-Passive Reactors," dated November 21, 2012. NEI 99-01, Revision 6, was endorsed by the NRC by letter dated March 28, 2013.

Date of issuance: March 6, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of issuance.

Amendment No.: 197. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17345A046;

documents related to this amendment are listed in the safety evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-22: The amendment revised the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Emergency Plan.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: June 6, 2017 (82 FR 26134).

The supplemental letter dated September 25, 2017, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the NRC staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a safety evaluation dated March 6, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Northern States Power Company, Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306, Prairie Island

Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Goodhue County, Minnesota

Date of amendment request: February 23, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated September 20, 2017.

Brief description of amendments: The amendment revised the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Emergency Plan to increase the staff augmentation times for certain emergency response organization positions from 30 and 60 minutes to 60 and 90 minutes. Additionally, the changes defined facility activation, removed references to augmenting resources from the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, removed 30-minute Electrical Maintenance and 30-minute Radwaste Operator Responders, clarified transfer of Direction and Control responsibilities, and implemented various administrative changes to position titles, figures, etc.

Date of issuance: March 5, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 223—Unit 1; 210—Unit 2. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17362A202; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-42 and DPR-60: The amendments revised the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Emergency Plan.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: April 11, 2017 (82 FR 17459).

The supplemental letter dated September 20, 2017, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 5, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Northern States Power Company—Minnesota, Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306, Prairie Island

Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Goodhue County, Minnesota

Date of amendment request: March 29, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated September 27, 2017.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revised the emergency action level scheme to one based on the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) document NEI 99-01, Revision 6, "Development of Emergency Action Levels for Non-Passive Reactors," dated November 21, 2012. NEI 99-01, Revision 6, was endorsed by the NRC by letter dated March 28, 2013.

Date of issuance: March 6, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 224 (Unit 1) and 211 (Unit 2). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17346A361; documents related to these amendments are listed in the safety evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-42 and DPR-60: The amendments revised the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Emergency Plan.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 23, 2017 (82 FR 23628).

The supplemental letter dated September 27, 2017, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the NRC staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a safety evaluation dated March 6, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Omaha Public Power District, Docket No. 50-285, Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1 (FCS), Washington County, Nebraska

Date of amendment request: March 31, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated September 26, 2017.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the FCS renewed facility operating license, definitions, and Technical Specification (TS) sections to align with those required for the Permanently Defueled TS that will reflect decommissioning requirements.

Date of issuance: March 6, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 297. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18010A087; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-40: The amendment revised the renewed facility operating license and TS.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: June 6, 2017 (82 FR 26135). The supplemental letter dated September 26, 2017, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the NRC staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 6, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364, Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Houston County, Alabama

Date of amendment request: November 15, 2016, as supplemented by letters dated June 22, 2017; September 11, 2017; October 12, 2017; and February 9, 2018.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revise Technical Specification 5.5.17, "Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program." Specifically, the amendments increased the existing Type A integrated leakage rate test program test interval from 10 years to 15 years; adopted an extension of the containment isolation valve leakage testing (Type C) frequency from 60 months to 75 months; adopted the use of American National Standards Institute/American Nuclear Society (ANSI/ANS) 56.8-2002, "Containment

System Leakage Testing Requirements"; and adopted a grace interval of 9 months for Type A, Type B, and Type C leakage tests, in accordance with Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 94-01, Revision 2-A and Revision 3-A, "Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix J."

Date of issuance: February 28, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 217 (Unit 1) and 214 (Unit 2). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17261A087; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8: The amendments revised the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: January 3, 2017 (82 FR 161). The supplemental letters dated June 22, 2017; September 11, 2017; October 12, 2017; and February 9, 2018, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 28, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364, Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Houston County, Alabama

Date of amendment request: March 22, 2017.

Brief description of amendments: The amendment revised Technical Specification 3.7.1, "Main Steam Safety Valves (MSSVs)," to resolve a non-conservative moderator temperature coefficient value.

Date of issuance: March 1, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 218 (Unit 1) and 215 (Unit 2). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17291A781; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendments.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8: The

amendments revised the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses and Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 9, 2017 (82 FR 21562).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 1, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Docket Nos. 52-025 and 52-026, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP), Units 3 and 4, Burke County, Georgia

Date of amendment request: September 22, 2017, as supplemented by letter dated January 19, 2018.

Description of amendments: The amendment authorized changes to the VEGP, Units 3 and 4, Updated Final Safety Analysis Report in the form of departures from the incorporated plant-specific Design Control Document Tier 2 and Tier 2* information to modify the licensing requirements for the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Class 1 piping component analysis from limited to design-by-rule evaluations described in ASME Section III, NB-3600, to include the ability to perform design-by-analysis evaluations, as described in ASME Section III, NB-3200.

Date of issuance: February 22, 2018.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 109 (Unit 3) and 108 (Unit 4). A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18026A765; documents related to these amendments are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Facility Combined Licenses Nos. NPF-91 and NPF-92: Amendment revised the Facility Combined License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 24, 2017 (82 FR 49241). The supplement dated January 19, 2018, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the NRC staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in the Safety Evaluation dated February 22, 2018.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, on March 19, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Kathryn M. Brock,

Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2018-05905 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2018-0001]

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

DATE: Weeks of March 26, April 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 2018.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of March 26, 2018

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 26, 2018.

Week of April 2, 2018—Tentative

Wednesday, April 4, 2018

10:30 a.m. Discussion of Management and Personnel Issues (Closed Ex. 2, 6, & 9).

Thursday, April 5, 2018

10:00 a.m. Meeting with Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (Public); (Contact: Mark Banks: 301-415-3718).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—<http://www.nrc.gov/>.

Week of April 9, 2018—Tentative

Tuesday, April 10, 2018

10:00 a.m. Briefing on the Annual Threat Environment (Closed Ex. 1).

Thursday, April 12, 2018

9:00 a.m. Briefing on Accident Tolerant Fuel (Public); (Contact: Andrew Proffitt: 301-415-1418).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—<http://www.nrc.gov/>.

Week of April 16, 2018—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 16, 2018.

Week of April 23, 2018—Tentative

Tuesday, April 24, 2018

9:00 a.m. Briefing on Advanced Reactors (Public); (Contact: Lucieann Vechioli: 301-415-6035).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—<http://www.nrc.gov/>.

Thursday, April 26, 2018

9:00 a.m. Strategic Programmatic Overview of the Fuel Facilities and

the Nuclear Materials Users Business Lines (Public Meeting); (Contact: Mahmoud Jardaneh: 301-415-4126 or Soly Soto Lugo: 301-415-7528).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—<http://www.nrc.gov/>.

Week of April 30, 2018—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 30, 2018.

* * * * *

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. For more information or to verify the status of meetings, contact Denise McGovern at 301-415-0681 or via email at Denise.McGovern@nrc.gov.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html>.

* * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify Kimberly Meyer-Chambers, NRC Disability Program Manager, at 301-287-0739, by videophone at 240-428-3217, or by email at Kimberly.Meyer-Chambers@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *

Members of the public may request to receive this information electronically. If you would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301-415-1969), or you may email Patricia.Jimenez@nrc.gov or Wendy.Moore@nrc.gov.

Dated: March 23, 2018.

Denise McGovern,

Policy Coordinator Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06249 Filed 3-23-18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 29, 2018.

PLACE: Closed Commission Hearing Room 10800.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (6), (7), (8), 9(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (a)(5), (a)(6), (a)(7), (a)(8), (a)(9)(ii) and (a)(10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the closed meeting.

Commissioner Jackson, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the closed meeting in closed session.

The subject matters of the closed meeting will be:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings; and

Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed; please contact Brent J. Fields from the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551-5400.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06159 Filed 3-23-18; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82922; File No. SR-NYSE-2018-09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Change To Amend the Eleventh Amended and Restated Operating Agreement

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on March 12, 2018, New York Stock Exchange

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Eleventh Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of New York Stock Exchange LLC (the “Operating Agreement”) to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of New York. A conforming change would be made to update the date of the Operating Agreement. The proposed change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Operating Agreement to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of New York. A conforming change would be made to update the date of the Operating Agreement.

The Exchange is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of New York. As such, it has and maintains a registered office and registered agent in New York.⁴

Article I, Section 1.05 of the Operating Agreement provides that the address of the registered office of the

Exchange in the State of New York is c/o National Registered Agents, Inc., 875 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 501, New York, NY 10001. The Exchange proposes to amend such provision to provide that the address is c/o United Agent Group Inc., 15 North Mill Street, Nyack, Rockland County, New York 10960.

Article I, Section 1.06 of the Operating Agreement provides that the name and address of the registered agent of the Exchange for service of process on the Exchange in the State of New York is National Registered Agents, Inc., 875 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 501, New York, NY 10001. The Exchange proposes to amend such provision to provide that the name and address is United Agent Group Inc., 15 North Mill Street, Nyack, Rockland County, New York 10960.

The changes are non-substantive technical administrative changes.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Exchange Act⁵ in general, and with Section 6(b)(1)⁶ in particular, in that it enables the Exchange to be so organized as to have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and to comply, and to enforce compliance by its exchange members and persons associated with its exchange members, with the provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the rules of the Exchange.

The proposed rule change is a non-substantive administrative change that does not impact the governance or ownership of the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would enable the Exchange to continue to be so organized as to have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and comply and enforce compliance with the provisions of the Exchange Act by its members and persons associated with its members, because ensuring that the Operating Agreement identifies the registered agent and registered office in New York and making a conforming change to the date of the Operating Agreement would contribute to the orderly operation of the Exchange by adding clarity and transparency to its rules.

For similar reasons, the Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and

practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system by ensuring that market participants can more easily navigate, understand and comply with its rules. The Exchange believes that, by ensuring that such rules accurately identify the registered agent and registered office in New York, and by making a conforming change to the date of the Operating Agreement, the proposed rule change would reduce potential investor or market participant confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to address any competitive issue but rather is concerned solely with making a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent of the Exchange.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(3)⁹ thereunder in that the proposed rule change is concerned solely with the administration of the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(3).

⁴ See N.Y. Ltd. Liab. Co. Law § 302.

takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹⁰ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSE-2018-09 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2018-09. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2018-09, and

should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06101 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Extension:

Rule 11a-3, SEC File No. 270-321, OMB Control No. 3235-0358

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Section 11(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") (15 U.S.C. 80a-11(a)) provides that it is unlawful for a registered open-end investment company ("fund") or its underwriter to make an offer to the fund's shareholders or the shareholders of any other fund to exchange the fund's securities for securities of the same or another fund on any basis other than the relative net asset values ("NAVs") of the respective securities to be exchanged, "unless the terms of the offer have first been submitted to and approved by the Commission or are in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Commission may have prescribed in respect of such offers." Section 11(a) was designed to prevent "switching," the practice of inducing shareholders of one fund to exchange their shares for the shares of another fund for the purpose of exacting additional sales charges.

Rule 11a-3 (17 CFR 270.11a-3) under the Act of 1940 is an exemptive rule that permits open-end investment companies ("funds"), other than insurance company separate accounts, and funds' principal underwriters, to make certain exchange offers to fund shareholders and shareholders of other funds in the same group of investment

companies. The rule requires a fund, among other things, (i) to disclose in its prospectus and advertising literature the amount of any administrative or redemption fee imposed on an exchange transaction, (ii) if the fund imposes an administrative fee on exchange transactions, other than a nominal one, to maintain and preserve records with respect to the actual costs incurred in connection with exchanges for at least six years, and (iii) give the fund's shareholders a sixty day notice of a termination of an exchange offer or any material amendment to the terms of an exchange offer (unless the only material effect of an amendment is to reduce or eliminate an administrative fee, sales load or redemption fee payable at the time of an exchange).

The rule's requirements are designed to protect investors against abuses associated with exchange offers, provide fund shareholders with information necessary to evaluate exchange offers and certain material changes in the terms of exchange offers, and enable the Commission staff to monitor funds' use of administrative fees charged in connection with exchange transactions.

The staff estimates that there are approximately 1,606 active open-end investment companies registered with the Commission as of September 2017. The staff estimates that 25 percent (or 402) of these funds impose a non-nominal administrative fee on exchange transactions. The staff estimates that the recordkeeping requirement of the rule requires approximately 1 hour annually of clerical time per fund, for a total of 402 hours for all funds.

The staff estimates that 5 percent of these 1,606 funds (or 80) terminate an exchange offer or make a material change to the terms of their exchange offer each year, requiring the fund to comply with the notice requirement of the rule. The staff estimates that complying with the notice requirement of the rule requires approximately 1 hour of attorney time and 2 hours of clerical time per fund, for a total of approximately 240 hours for all funds to comply with the notice requirement. The staff estimates that such notices will be enclosed with other written materials sent to shareholders, such as annual shareholder reports or account statements, and therefore any burdens associated with mailing required notices are accounted for in the burdens associated with Form N-1A registration statements for funds. The recordkeeping and notice requirements together therefore impose a total burden of 642 hours on all funds. The total number of respondents is 482, each responding once a year. The burdens associated

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

with the disclosure requirement of the rule are accounted for in the burdens associated with the Form N-1A registration statement for funds.

The estimate of average burden hours is made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, and is not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following website, www.reginfo.gov. Comments should be directed to: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an email to: [Shagufta Ahmed@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Shagufta.Ahmed@omb.eop.gov); and (ii) Pamela Dyson, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06121 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82921; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2018-020]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 4370 Regarding the Requirements for the Listing of Securities That Are Issued by the Exchange or Any of Its Affiliates

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 20, 2018, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and

II, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 4370 regarding the requirements for the listing of securities that are issued by the Exchange or any of its affiliates.

The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Proposed new language is italicized; deleted text is in brackets.

* * * * *

4370. Additional Requirements for Nasdaq-Listed Securities Issued by Nasdaq or Its Affiliates

(a) For purposes of this Rule 4370, the terms below are defined as follows:

(1) No change.

(2) “Affiliate Security” means any security issued by a Nasdaq Affiliate *or any Exchange-listed option on any such security*, with the exception of Portfolio Depository Receipts as defined in Rule 5705(a)(1)(A) and Index Fund Shares as defined in Rule 5705(b)(1)(A).

(b) Upon initial and throughout continued listing *and trading* of the Affiliate Security on The Nasdaq Stock Market, Nasdaq shall:

(1) [file a report quarterly with the Commission] *provide a quarterly report to Nasdaq’s Regulatory Oversight Committee* detailing Nasdaq’s monitoring of:

(A)–(B) No change.

(2) engage an independent accounting firm once a year to review and prepare a report on the Affiliate Security to ensure that the Nasdaq Affiliate is in compliance with the listing requirements contained in the Rule 5000, 5100, 5200, 5300, 5400, 5500, and 5600 Series and promptly [forward to the Commission] *provide Nasdaq’s Regulatory Oversight Committee with a copy of the report prepared by the independent accounting firm.*

(c) No change.

* * * * *

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for

the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 4370 (Additional Requirements for Nasdaq-Listed Securities Issued by Nasdaq or its Affiliates) regarding the requirements for the listing of securities that are issued by the Exchange or any of its affiliates.

Rule 4370 sets forth certain monitoring requirements that must be met throughout the continued listing of securities issued by Nasdaq or its affiliates. More specifically, Rule 4370 provides that, upon initial and throughout continued listing of the Affiliate Security³ on The Nasdaq Stock Market, Nasdaq shall:

- File a report quarterly (“Quarterly Report”) with the Commission detailing Nasdaq’s monitoring of (a) the Nasdaq Affiliate’s compliance with the listing requirements; and (b) the trading of the Affiliate Security; and
- engage an independent accounting firm once a year to review and prepare a report on the Affiliate Security to ensure that the Nasdaq Affiliate is in compliance with the listing requirements (“Annual Report”) and promptly forward to the Commission a copy of the report prepared by the independent accounting firm.

In discussions with the Commission Staff regarding the Exchange’s Rule 4370, it was determined that the Exchange no longer needs to provide to the Commission copies of the reports specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) thereunder; instead, the Exchange must provide these reports to the Exchange’s

³ Pursuant to Rule 4370(a)(2), “Affiliate Security” means any security issued by a Nasdaq Affiliate, with the exception of Portfolio Depository Receipts as defined in Rule 5705(a)(1)(A) and Index Fund Shares as defined in Rule 5705(b)(1)(A), and pursuant to Rule 4370(a)(1), “Nasdaq Affiliate” means The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. and any entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc., where “control” means that the one entity possesses, directly or indirectly, voting control of the other entity either through ownership of capital stock or other equity securities or through majority representation on the board of directors or other management body of such entity.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Regulatory Oversight Committee. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 4370 to remove the requirement that the Quarterly Report be filed with the Commission and that copies of the Annual Report be forwarded to the Commission, and to require instead that copies of each such report be provided to Nasdaq's Regulatory Oversight Committee. In addition, the Exchange proposes to modify the definition of "Affiliate Security" in Rule 4370(a)(2) to include any Exchange-listed option on any such security. Finally, the Exchange proposes to modify Rule 4370(b) to require that the Exchange also follow Rule 4370 upon initial and throughout continued trading, not just listing, of the "Affiliate Security" on the Exchange.

No other changes would be made to Rule 4370, which would continue to require that Nasdaq file a report with the Commission if it determines that the Nasdaq Affiliate is not in compliance with the listing requirements.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Exchange Act⁴ in general, and Section 6(b)(5)⁵ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, because the proposed changes would reduce the paperwork received by the Commission and ease the burden of submitting the Quarterly and Annual Reports, while continuing to help protect against concerns that the Exchange will not effectively enforce its rules with respect to the listing and

trading of Affiliate Securities. The proposed rule change would not change the information available to the Commission. The Exchange understands that these reports are subject to Section 17A of the Exchange Act⁶ and that it will be required to keep and preserve, and to furnish to the Commission upon request, copies of these reports in accordance with Rule 17a-1 thereunder.⁷

The Exchange believes that the proposed change adding Exchange-listed options to the definition of "Affiliate Security" in Rule 4370(a)(2) and requiring that the Exchange also follow Rule 4370 upon initial and throughout continued trading, not just listing, of the "Affiliate Security" on the Exchange, will expand the scope of Rule 4370, which would help eliminate any perception of a potential conflict of interest if a Nasdaq Affiliate seeks to list and/or trade an option on an Affiliate Security on the Exchange and thus promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to a free and open market and protect investors and the public interest by helping protect against concerns that the Exchange will not effectively enforce its rules with respect to the listing and trading of these securities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not intended to address competitive issues but rather to reduce the paperwork received by the Commission, ease the burden of submitting the Quarterly and Annual Reports, and provide greater clarity in the Exchange's rules, without changing the information available to the Commission.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act⁸ and Rule

19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁹ Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹⁰ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹¹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹² of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2018-020 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2018-020. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q.

⁷ 17 CFR 240.17a-1.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2018-020 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06100 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82923; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2018-10]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Change Amending Certain Governing Documents of the Exchange and NYSE Market, Inc. To Make a Technical Change Updating the Entities' Registered Offices and Registered Agents and Update the Date as Required

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on March 13, 2018, NYSE American LLC (the

"Exchange" or "NYSE American") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend certain of governing documents of the Exchange and NYSE Market (DE), Inc. ("NYSE Market (DE)") to make a technical change updating the entities' registered offices and registered agents and update the date as required. In addition, the Exchange proposes to amend the Eleventh Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE LLC"). The proposed change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend certain of governing documents of the Exchange and NYSE Market (DE) to make a technical change updating the entities' registered offices and registered agents and update the date as required. As discussed below, the Exchange proposes to amend the following documents (together, the "Governing Documents"):

- Certificate of Formation of the Exchange ("Certificate of Formation");
- Eleventh Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of the Exchange ("NYSE American Operating Agreement"); and

- Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of NYSE Market (DE), Inc. (the "NYSE Market (DE) Certificate").

The changes are non-substantive technical administrative changes.

The NYSE LLC is an affiliate of the Exchange, and NYSE Market (DE) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of NYSE LLC. NYSE Market (DE) in turn owns a majority interest in NYSE Amex Options LLC ("NYSE Amex Options"), a facility of the Exchange. The Exchange and NYSE Market (DE) are the only members of NYSE Amex Options.⁴ The Exchange filed the NYSE Market (DE) Certificate as a "rule of the exchange" under Section 3(a)(27) of the Exchange Act because NYSE Market (DE) has a majority interest in a facility of the Exchange.⁵

In addition, because of NYSE LLC's ownership of NYSE Market (DE), the Exchange filed the Eleventh Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of the NYSE LLC ("NYSE Operating Agreement") as a "rule of the Exchange" under Section 3(a)(27) of the Exchange Act.⁶ The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Operating Agreement (as amended, the "Amended NYSE Operating Agreement") to update the registered office and registered agent in the state of New York and to make a conforming change to the date.

Exchange Governing Documents

The Exchange is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. As such, it is required to have and maintain a registered office and registered agent in Delaware.⁷

Pursuant to Delaware law,⁸ the Certificate of Formation is amended by filing a "State of Delaware Certificate of

⁴ See Exchange Act Release No. 75301 (June 25, 2015), 80 FR 37695 (July 1, 2015) (SR-NYSEMKT-2015-44) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of proposed rule change amending the members' schedule of the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of NYSE Amex Options LLC).

⁵ See 15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(27); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75984 (September 25, 2015), 80 FR 59213, 59214 (October 1, 2015) (SR-NYSEMKT-2015-71) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of proposed rule change adding to the rules of the Exchange the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of NYSE Market, Inc., and the Eighth Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of New York Stock Exchange LLC).

⁶ See 15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(27); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 79232 (November 3, 2016), 81 FR 78873, 78874 (November 9, 2016) (SR-NYSEMKT-2016-96) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of proposed rule change to add the NYSE Operating Agreement to the rules of the Exchange).

⁷ See Del. Code tit. 6, § 18-104(a).

⁸ See Del. Code tit. 6, § 18-202(a).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Amendment Changing Only the Registered Office or Registered Agent of a Limited Liability Company,” as set forth in Exhibit 5A to the proposed rule change.

The Exchange proposes to amend Article I, Sections 1.05 and 1.06 of the NYSE American Operating Agreement and to make a conforming change to update the date of the NYSE American Operating Agreement.

Article I, Section 1.05 of the NYSE American Operating Agreement provides that the address of the registered office of the Exchange in the State of Delaware is c/o The Corporation Trust Company located at the Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, City of Wilmington, County of New Castle, State of Delaware 19801. The Exchange proposes to amend such provision to provide that the address is c/o United Agent Group Inc. located at 3411 Silverside Road, Tatnall Building No. 104, Wilmington, County of New Castle, State of Delaware 19810.

Article I, Section 1.06 of the NYSE American Operating Agreement provides that the name and address of the registered agent of the Exchange for service of process on the Exchange in the State of Delaware is The Corporation Trust Company located at the Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, City of Wilmington, County of New Castle, State of Delaware 19801. The Exchange proposes to amend such provision to provide that the name and address is United Agent Group Inc. located at 3411 Silverside Road, Tatnall Building No. 104, Wilmington, County of New Castle, State of Delaware 19810.

NYSE Market (DE) Certificate

NYSE Market (DE) is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. As such, it is required to have and maintain a registered office and registered agent in Delaware.⁹

Article II of the NYSE Market (DE) Certificate provides that the address of NYSE Market (DE)’s registered office in the State of Delaware is c/o National Registered Agents, Inc., 160 Greentree Drive, in the City of Dover, Suite 101, County of Kent, State of Delaware, 19904, and provides that the name of its registered agent at such address is National Registered Agents, Inc. The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Market (DE) Certificate to identify United Agent Group Inc. as the registered agent, and to provide that the address of the registered office is 3411 Silverside Road, Tatnall Building No. 104, Wilmington, County of New Castle, Delaware 19810.

Pursuant to Delaware law,¹⁰ the NYSE Market (DE) Certificate is amended by filing a “State of Delaware Certificate of Change of Registered Agent and/or Registered Office,” as attached in the proposed rule change.

NYSE Operating Agreement

On March 12, 2018, the NYSE LLC amended the NYSE Operating Agreement to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of New York and to make a conforming change to the date.¹¹ Consistent with that change, the Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Operating Agreement. The Amended NYSE Operating Agreement will be a “rule of the Exchange” under Section 3(a)(27) of the Exchange Act.¹²

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Exchange Act¹³ in general, and with Section 6(b)(1)¹⁴ in particular, in that it enables the Exchange to be so organized as to have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and to comply, and to enforce compliance by its exchange members and persons associated with its exchange members, with the provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the rules of the Exchange.

The proposed rule change is a non-substantive administrative change that does not impact the governance or ownership of the Exchange, its facility NYSE Amex Options, or NYSE Amex Options’ direct and indirect parent entities. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would enable the Exchange to continue to be so organized as to have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and comply and enforce compliance with the provisions of the Exchange Act by its members and persons associated with its members, because ensuring that the Governing Documents identify the registered agent and registered office in Delaware would contribute to the orderly operation of the Exchange by adding clarity and transparency to its

rules and complying with Delaware requirements for limited liability companies and corporations to have such agents and offices. Similarly, the proposed conforming change to the date of the NYSE American Operating Agreement would contribute to the orderly operation of the Exchange by adding clarity and transparency to its rules.

Amending the previously filed NYSE Operating Agreement would enable the Exchange to continue to be so organized as to have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and comply and enforce compliance with the provisions of the Exchange Act by its members and persons associated with its members because the Exchange would be ensuring that its rules remain consistent with the NYSE LLC operating agreement in effect. The Exchange notes that, as with the NYSE Operating Agreement, it would be required to file any changes to the Amended NYSE Operating Agreement with the Commission as a proposed rule change.¹⁵ In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes, including the amendments to the NYSE Market (DE) Certificate, are consistent with and will facilitate an ownership structure of the Exchange’s facility NYSE Amex Options that will provide the Commission with appropriate oversight tools to ensure that the Commission will have the ability to enforce the Exchange Act with respect to NYSE Amex Options and its direct and indirect parent entities.

For similar reasons, the Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Exchange Act,¹⁶ in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system by ensuring that market participants can more easily navigate, understand and comply with its rules. The Exchange

¹⁰ See Del. Code tit 8, § 133.

¹¹ See SR–NYSE–2018–09 (March 12, 2018).

¹² See 15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(27); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75984 (September 25, 2015), 80 FR 59213, 59214 (October 1, 2015) (SR–NYSEMKT–2015–71) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of proposed rule change adding to the rules of the Exchange the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of NYSE Market, Inc., and the Eighth Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of New York Stock Exchange LLC).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

¹⁵ The Exchange notes that any amendment to the Amended NYSE Operating Agreement would require that NYSE LLC file a proposed rule change with the Commission.

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ See Del. Code tit 8, §§ 131 and 132.

believes that, by ensuring that the Governing Documents accurately identify the registered agent and registered office in Delaware, and by making a conforming change to the date of the NYSE American Operating Agreement, the proposed rule change would reduce potential investor or market participant confusion.

The Exchange believes that amending the NYSE Operating Agreement would remove impediments to the operation of the Exchange by ensuring that its rules remain consistent with the NYSE LLC operating agreement in effect. The Amended NYSE Operating Agreement would be a “rule of the exchange” under Section 3(a)(27) of the Exchange Act.¹⁷ The Exchange notes that, as with the NYSE Operating Agreement, no amendment to the Amended NYSE Operating Agreement could be made without the Exchange filing a proposed rule change with the Commission. For the same reasons, the proposed rule change is also designed to protect investors as well as the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to address any competitive issue but rather is concerned solely with making a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent of the Exchange and NYSE Market (DE), Inc. and ensuring that the Commission will have the ability to enforce the Exchange Act with respect to NYSE Amex Options and its direct and indirect parent entities.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(3)¹⁹ thereunder in that the proposed rule change is concerned solely with the administration of the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)²⁰ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEAMER-2018-10 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEAMER-2018-10. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal

office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEAMER-2018-10, and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06102 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82924; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2018-18]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Certificate of Incorporation

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on March 12, 2018, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “NYSE Arca”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Certificate of Incorporation of NYSE Arca, Inc. (the “Certificate”) to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of Delaware. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78a.

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(27).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(3).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Certificate to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of Delaware.

The Exchange is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. As such, it is required to have and maintain a registered office and registered agent in Delaware.⁴

Article 2 of the Certificate sets forth 1209 Orange Street in the City of Wilmington, County of New Castle, as the address of the registered office in the State of Delaware, and provides that the name of its registered agent at such address is The Corporation Trust Company. The Exchange proposes to amend the Certificate to identify United Agent Group Inc. as the registered agent, and to provide that the address of the registered office is 3411 Silverside Road, Tatnall Building No. 104, Wilmington, County of New Castle, Delaware 19810.

Pursuant to Delaware law,⁵ the Certificate is amended by filing a "State of Delaware Certificate of Change of Registered Agent and/or Registered Office," as set forth in Exhibit 5 to the proposed rule change.

The change is a non-substantive technical administrative change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Exchange Act⁶ in general, and with Section 6(b)(1)⁷ in particular, in that it enables the Exchange to be so organized as to have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and to

comply, and to enforce compliance by its exchange members and persons associated with its exchange members, with the provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the rules of the Exchange.

The proposed rule change is a non-substantive administrative change that does not impact the governance or ownership of the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would enable the Exchange to continue to be so organized as to have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and comply and enforce compliance with the provisions of the Exchange Act by its members and persons associated with its members, because ensuring that the Certificate identifies the registered agent and registered office in Delaware would contribute to the orderly operation of the Exchange by adding clarity and transparency to its rules and complying with Delaware requirements for corporations to have such agent and office.

For similar reasons, the Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁸ in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system by ensuring that market participants can more easily navigate, understand and comply with its rules. The Exchange believes that, by ensuring that such rules accurately identify the registered agent and registered office in Delaware, the proposed rule change would reduce potential investor or market participant confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to address any competitive issue but rather is concerned solely with making a technical change updating the

registered office and registered agent of the Exchange.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(3)¹⁰ thereunder in that the proposed rule change is concerned solely with the administration of the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹¹ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEArca-2018-18 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2018-18. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(3).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁴ See Del. Code tit 8, §§ 131 and 132.

⁵ See Del. Code tit 8, § 133.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2018-18, and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06103 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82925; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2018-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE National, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Change To Amend the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on March 12, 2018, NYSE National, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE National") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items

have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of NYSE National, Inc. (the "Certificate") to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of Delaware. The proposed change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Certificate to make a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent in the state of Delaware.

The Exchange is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. As such, it is required to have and maintain a registered office and registered agent in Delaware.⁴

Article Second of the Certificate sets forth 1209 Orange Street, in the City of Wilmington, State of Delaware 19801, County of New Castle, as the address of the initial registered office, and provides that the name of its initial registered agent at that address is The Corporation Trust Company. The Exchange proposes to amend the Certificate to identify United Agent Group Inc. as the registered agent, and to provide that the address of the registered office is 3411 Silverside Road, Tatnall Building No. 104, Wilmington, County of New Castle, Delaware 19810.

Pursuant to Delaware law,⁵ the Certificate is amended by filing a "State of Delaware Certificate of Change of Registered Agent and/or Registered Office," as set forth in Exhibit 5 attached to the proposed rule change.

The change is a non-substantive technical administrative change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Exchange Act⁶ in general, and with Section 6(b)(1)⁷ in particular, in that it enables the Exchange to be so organized as to have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and to comply, and to enforce compliance by its exchange members and persons associated with its exchange members, with the provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the rules of the Exchange.

The proposed rule change is a non-substantive administrative change that does not impact the governance or ownership of the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would enable the Exchange to continue to be so organized as to have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and comply and enforce compliance with the provisions of the Exchange Act by its members and persons associated with its members, because ensuring that the Certificate identifies the registered agent and registered office in Delaware would contribute to the orderly operation of the Exchange by adding clarity and transparency to its rules and complying with Delaware requirements for corporations to have such agent and office.

For similar reasons, the Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁸ in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system by

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁴ See Del. Code tit 8, §§ 131 and 132.

⁵ See Del. Code tit 8, § 133.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

ensuring that market participants can more easily navigate, understand and comply with its rules. The Exchange believes that, by ensuring that such rules accurately identify the registered agent and registered office in Delaware, the proposed rule change would reduce potential investor or market participant confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to address any competitive issue but rather is concerned solely with making a technical change updating the registered office and registered agent of the Exchange.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(3)¹⁰ thereunder in that the proposed rule change is concerned solely with the administration of the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹¹ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-04 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-04, and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06104 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82928; File No. SR-Phlx-2018-23]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 990 Regarding the Requirements for Securities Listed on the Exchange Issued by Nasdaq, Inc. or Its Affiliates

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 9, 2018, Nasdaq PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 990 regarding the requirements for securities listed on the exchange issued by Nasdaq, Inc. ("Nasdaq") or its affiliates.

The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Proposed new language is italicized; deleted text is in brackets.

* * * * *

Rule 990. Additional Requirements for Securities Listed on the Exchange Issued by Nasdaq or Its Affiliates

(a) For purposes of this Rule 990, the terms below are defined as follows:

- (1) No change.
- (2) "Affiliate Security" means any security issued by a Nasdaq Affiliate or any Exchange-listed option on any such security, with the exception of Trust Shares as defined in Rule 803(i) and Index Fund Shares as defined in Rule 803(l).

(b) Upon initial and throughout continued listing and trading of the Affiliate Security on the Exchange, the Exchange shall:

- (1) [file a report quarterly with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission")] provide a quarterly report to the Exchange's Regulatory Oversight Committee detailing the Exchange's monitoring of:

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(3).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

(A)–(B) No change.

(2) engage an independent accounting firm once a year to review and prepare a report on the Affiliate Security to ensure that the Nasdaq Affiliate is in compliance with the listing requirements contained in the Rule 800 Series and promptly [forward to the Commission] provide PHLX's Regulatory Oversight Committee with a copy of the report prepared by the independent accounting firm.

(c) No change.

* * * * *

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 990 (Additional Requirements for Securities Listed on the Exchange Issued by Nasdaq or its Affiliates) regarding the requirements for the listing of securities that are listed on the exchange issued by Nasdaq or its affiliates.

Rule 990 sets forth certain monitoring requirements that must be met throughout the continued listing of securities issued by Nasdaq or its affiliates. More specifically, Rule 990 provides that, upon initial and throughout continued listing of the Affiliate Security³ on the Exchange, the Exchange shall:

- File a report quarterly ("Quarterly Report") with the Commission detailing the Exchange's monitoring of (a) the Nasdaq Affiliate's compliance with the listing requirements; and (b) the trading of the Affiliate Security; and

- engage an independent accounting firm once a year to review and prepare a report on the Affiliate Security to ensure that the Nasdaq Affiliate is in compliance with the listing requirements ("Annual Report") and promptly forward to the Commission a copy of the report prepared by the independent accounting firm.

In discussions with the Commission Staff regarding the Exchange's Rule 990, it was determined that the Exchange no longer needs to provide to the Commission copies of the reports specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) thereunder; instead, the Exchange must provide these reports to the Exchange's Regulatory Oversight Committee. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 990 to remove the requirement that the Quarterly Report be filed with the Commission and that copies of the Annual Report be forwarded to the Commission, and to require instead that copies of each such report be provided to PHLX's Regulatory Oversight Committee. In addition, the Exchange proposes to modify the definition of "Affiliate Security" in Rule 990(a)(2) to include any Exchange-listed option on any such security. Finally, the Exchange proposes to modify Rule 990(b) to require that the Exchange also follow Rule 990 upon initial and throughout continued trading, not just listing, of the "Affiliate Security" on the Exchange.

No other changes would be made to Rule 990, which would continue to require that PHLX file a report with the Commission if it determines that the Nasdaq Affiliate is not in compliance with the listing requirements.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁴ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to,

and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, because the proposed changes would reduce the paperwork received by the Commission and ease the burden of submitting the Quarterly and Annual Reports, while continuing to help protect against concerns that the Exchange will not effectively enforce its rules with respect to the listing and trading of Affiliate Securities. The proposed rule change would not change the information available to the Commission. The Exchange understands that these reports are subject to Section 17A of the Exchange Act⁶ and that it will be required to keep and preserve, and to furnish to the Commission upon request, copies of these reports in accordance with Rule 17a-1 thereunder.⁷

The Exchange believes that the proposed change adding Exchange-listed options to the definition of "Affiliate Security" in Rule 990(a)(2) and requiring that the Exchange also follow Rule 990 upon initial and throughout continued trading, not just listing, of the "Affiliate Security" on the Exchange, will expand the scope of Rule 990, which would help eliminate any perception of a potential conflict of interest if a Nasdaq Affiliate seeks to list and/or trade an option on an Affiliate Security on the Exchange and thus promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to a free and open market and protect investors and the public interest by helping protect against concerns that the Exchange will not effectively enforce its rules with respect to the listing and trading of these securities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The

³ Pursuant to Rule 990(a)(2), "Affiliate Security" means any security issued by a Nasdaq Affiliate, with the exception of Trust Shares as defined in Rule 803(i) and Index Fund Shares as defined in Rule 803(l), and pursuant to Rule 990(a)(1), "Nasdaq Affiliate" means Nasdaq, Inc. and any entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with Nasdaq, Inc., where "control" means that the one entity possesses, directly or indirectly, voting control of the other entity either through ownership of capital stock or other equity securities or through majority

representation on the board of directors or other management body of such entity.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q.

⁷ 17 CFR 240.17a-1.

proposed rule change is not intended to address competitive issues but rather to reduce the paperwork received by the Commission, ease the burden of submitting the Quarterly and Annual Reports, and provide greater clarity in the Exchange's rules, without changing the information available to the Commission.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁹ Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹⁰ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹¹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹² of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2018-23 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2018-23. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2018-23 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06107 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82919; File No. SR-BX-2018-010]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq BX, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 5701 Regarding the Requirements for Securities Listed on the Exchange Issued by Nasdaq, Inc. or Its Affiliates

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 9, 2018, Nasdaq BX, Inc. ("BX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 5701 regarding the requirements for securities listed on the exchange issued by Nasdaq, Inc. ("Nasdaq") or its affiliates.

The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Proposed new language is italicized; deleted text is in brackets.

* * * * *

5701. Additional Requirements for Securities Listed on the Exchange Issued by Nasdaq or Its Affiliates

(a) For purposes of this Rule 5701, the terms below are defined as follows:

- (1) No change.
- (2) "Affiliate Security" means any security issued by a Nasdaq Affiliate or any Exchange-listed option on any such security, with the exception of Portfolio Depository Receipts as defined in Rule 4420(i)(1)(A) and Index Fund Shares as defined in Rule 4420(j)(1)(A).

(b) Upon initial and throughout continued listing and trading of the Affiliate Security on the Exchange, the Exchange shall:

- (1) [file a report quarterly with the Commission] provide a quarterly report to the Exchange's Regulatory Oversight Committee detailing the Exchange's monitoring of:

(A)-(B) No change.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

(2) engage an independent accounting firm once a year to review and prepare a report on the Affiliate Security to ensure that the Nasdaq Affiliate is in compliance with the listing requirements contained in the Rule 5000, 5100, 5200, 5500 and 5600 Series and promptly [forward to the Commission] provide BX's Regulatory Oversight Committee with a copy of the report prepared by the independent accounting firm.

(c) No change.

* * * * *

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 5701 (Additional Requirements for Securities Listed on the Exchange Issued by Nasdaq or its Affiliates) regarding the requirements for the listing of securities that are listed on the exchange issued by Nasdaq or its affiliates.

Rule 5701 sets forth certain monitoring requirements that must be met throughout the continued listing of securities issued by Nasdaq or its affiliates. More specifically, Rule 5701 provides that, upon initial and throughout continued listing of the Affiliate Security³ on the Exchange, the Exchange shall:

- File a report quarterly ("Quarterly Report") with the Commission detailing the Exchange's monitoring of (a) the Nasdaq Affiliate's compliance with the listing requirements; and (b) the trading of the Affiliate Security; and

- engage an independent accounting firm once a year to review and prepare a report on the Affiliate Security to ensure that the Nasdaq Affiliate is in compliance with the listing requirements ("Annual Report") and promptly forward to the Commission a copy of the report prepared by the independent accounting firm.

In discussions with the Commission Staff regarding the Exchange's Rule 5701, it was determined that the Exchange no longer needs to provide to the Commission copies of the reports specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) thereunder; instead, the Exchange must provide these reports to the Exchange's Regulatory Oversight Committee. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 5701 to remove the requirement that the Quarterly Report be filed with the Commission and that copies of the Annual Report be forwarded to the Commission, and to require instead that copies of each such report be provided to BX's Regulatory Oversight Committee. In addition, the Exchange proposes to modify the definition of "Affiliate Security" in Rule 5701(a)(2) to include any Exchange-listed option on any such security. Finally, the Exchange proposes to modify Rule 5701(b) to require that the Exchange also follow Rule 5701 upon initial and throughout continued trading, not just listing, of the "Affiliate Security" on the Exchange.

No other changes would be made to Rule 5701, which would continue to require that BX file a report with the Commission if it determines that the Nasdaq Affiliate is not in compliance with the listing requirements.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁴ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions

in securities, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, because the proposed changes would reduce the paperwork received by the Commission and ease the burden of submitting the Quarterly and Annual Reports, while continuing to help protect against concerns that the Exchange will not effectively enforce its rules with respect to the listing and trading of Affiliate Securities. The proposed rule change would not change the information available to the Commission. The Exchange understands that these reports are subject to Section 17A of the Exchange Act⁶ and that it will be required to keep and preserve, and to furnish to the Commission upon request, copies of these reports in accordance with Rule 17a-1 thereunder.⁷

The Exchange believes that the proposed change adding Exchange-listed options to the definition of "Affiliate Security" in Rule 5701(a)(2) and requiring that the Exchange also follow Rule 5701 upon initial and throughout continued trading, not just listing, of the "Affiliate Security" on the Exchange, will expand the scope of Rule 5701, which would help eliminate any perception of a potential conflict of interest if a Nasdaq Affiliate seeks to list and/or trade an option on an Affiliate Security on the Exchange and thus promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to a free and open market and protect investors and the public interest by helping protect against concerns that the Exchange will not effectively enforce its rules with respect to the listing and trading of these securities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance

³ Pursuant to Rule 5701(a)(2), "Affiliate Security" means any security issued by a Nasdaq Affiliate, with the exception of Portfolio Depository Receipts as defined in Rule 4420(i)(1)(A) and Index Fund Shares as defined in Rule 4420(j)(1)(A), and pursuant to Rule 5701(a)(1), "Nasdaq Affiliate" means Nasdaq, Inc. and any entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with Nasdaq, Inc., where "control" means that the one entity possesses, directly or indirectly, voting control of the other entity either through

ownership of capital stock or other equity securities or through majority representation on the board of directors or other management body of such entity.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q.

⁷ 17 CFR 240.17a-1.

of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not intended to address competitive issues but rather to reduce the paperwork received by the Commission, ease the burden of submitting the Quarterly and Annual Reports, and provide greater clarity in the Exchange's rules, without changing the information available to the Commission.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁹ Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹⁰ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹¹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹² of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule

change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BX-2018-010 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2018-010. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2018-010 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06098 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Extension:

Rule 10A-1, SEC File No. 270-425, OMB Control No. 3235-0468

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 10A-1 (17 CFR 240.10A-1) implements the reporting requirements in Section 10A of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78j-1) which was enacted by Congress on December 22, 1995 as part of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Public Law 104-67, 109 Stat 737. Under section 10A and Rule 10A-1 reporting occurs only if a registrant's board of directors receives a report from its auditor that (1) there is an illegal act material to the registrant's financial statements, (2) senior management and the board have not taken timely and appropriate remedial action, and (3) the failure to take such action is reasonably expected to warrant the auditor's modification of the audit report or resignation from the audit engagement. The board of directors must notify the Commission within one business day of receiving such a report. If the board fails to provide that notice, then the auditor, within the next business day, must provide the Commission with a copy of the report that it gave to the board.

Likely respondents are those registrants filing audited financial statements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a, *et seq.*) and the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1, *et seq.*).

It is estimated that Rule 10A-1 results in an aggregate additional reporting burden of 5 hours per year. The estimated average burden hours are solely for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of SEC rules or forms.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Pamela Dyson, Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06122 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82926; File No. SR-OCC-2017-020]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Concerning Enhanced and New Tools for Recovery Scenarios

March 22, 2018.

I. Introduction

On December 18, 2017, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change SR-OCC-2017-020 (“Proposed Rule Change”), pursuant to Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder.² The Proposed Rule Change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 26, 2017.³ On

January 25, 2018, the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the Proposed Rule Change, disapprove the Proposed Rule Change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.⁴ To date,⁵ the Commission has received one comment letter to the Proposed Rule Change.⁶ The Commission is publishing this order pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁷ to institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to the Proposed Rule Change, nor does it mean that the Commission will ultimately disapprove the Proposed Rule Change. Rather, as discussed below, the Commission seeks additional input on the Proposed Rule Change and issues presented by the proposal.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change⁸

The Proposed Rule Change would make certain revisions to OCC's Rules and By-Laws⁹ to enhance OCC's existing tools to address the risks of liquidity shortfalls and credit losses and to establish new tools by which OCC could re-establish a matched book following a default.¹⁰ Each of the proposed tools is contemplated to be deployed by OCC in an extreme stress event that has placed OCC into a

recovery or orderly wind-down scenario.¹¹

OCC proposed to make four revisions to its Rules and By-Laws. First, OCC proposed to revise the existing assessment powers in Section 6 of Article VIII of OCC's By-Laws, specifically to:

(a) Establish a rolling cooling-off period that would be triggered by the payment of a proportionate charge against the Clearing Fund (*i.e.*, a triggering proportionate charge), during which period the aggregate liability of a Clearing Member to replenish the Clearing Fund (inclusive of assessments) would be 200 percent of the Clearing Member's required contribution as of the time immediately preceding the triggering proportionate charge;¹²

(b) Clarify that a Clearing Member that chooses to terminate its membership status during a cooling-off period will not be liable for replenishment of the Clearing Fund immediately following the expiration of such cooling-off period, provided that the withdrawing Clearing Member satisfies enumerated criteria, including providing notice of such termination by no later than the end of the cooling-off period and by closing-out or transferring all its open positions with OCC by no later than the last day of the cooling-off period;¹³ and

(c) Delineate between the obligation of a Clearing Member to replenish its contributions to the Clearing Fund and its obligations to meet additional assessments that may be levied following a proportionate charge to the Clearing Fund.¹⁴

Second, OCC proposed to adopt a new rule that would provide OCC with discretionary authority to call for voluntary payments from non-defaulting Clearing Members in a circumstance where one or more Clearing Members has already defaulted and OCC has determined that it may not have sufficient resources to satisfy its obligations and liabilities resulting from such default (“Rule 1009”).¹⁵ Rule 1009 also would establish that OCC would prioritize compensation of Clearing Members that made voluntary payments from any amounts recovered from the defaulted Clearing Members.¹⁶

Third, OCC proposed to adopt a new rule that would provide the following authority (“Rule 1111”):

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.* at 61108, 61109.

¹³ *Id.* at 61108, 61109–10.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 61109, 6110.

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ *Id.*

(“Advance Notice”). 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1) and 17 CFR 240.19b-4(n)(1)(i), respectively. The Advance Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 23, 2018. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82513 (Jan. 17, 2018), 83 FR 3244 (Jan. 23, 2018) (SR-OCC-2017-809).

The Financial Stability Oversight Council designated OCC a systemically important financial market utility on July 18, 2012. See Financial Stability Oversight Council 2012 Annual Report, Appendix A, available at <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/2012%20Annual%20Report.pdf>. Therefore, OCC is required to comply with the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act and file advance notices with the Commission. See 12 U.S.C. 5465(e).

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82585 (Jan. 25, 2018), 83 FR 4526 (Jan. 31, 2018) (File No. SR-OCC-2017-020).

⁵ The comment period closed on January 16, 2018. See Notice, *supra* note 3, 28 FR at 61116.

⁶ See letter from Jacqueline H. Mesa, Senior Vice President of Global Policy, Futures Industry Association, dated January 16, 2018, to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission (“FIA Letter”), available at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-occ-2017-020/occ2017020.htm>.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁸ The description of the Proposed Rule Change is substantially excerpted from the Notice. See Notice, *supra* note 3, 82 FR at 61107–61109.

⁹ OCC's By-Laws and Rules can be found on OCC's public website: <http://optionsclearing.com/about/publications/bylaws.jsp>.

¹⁰ Notice, *supra* note 3, 82 FR at 61107.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82351 (Dec. 19, 2017), 82 FR 61107 (Dec. 26, 2017) (SR-OCC-2017-020) (“Notice”). On December 8, 2017, OCC also filed a related advance notice (SR-OCC-2017-809) with the Commission pursuant to Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 and Rule 19b-4(n)(1)(i) under the Act

(a) Allow OCC to call for voluntary tear-ups (“Voluntary Tear-Up”) ¹⁷ of non-defaulting Clearing Member and/or customer positions at any time following the suspension or default of a Clearing Member, with the scope of any such Voluntary Tear-Ups being determined by the Risk Committee of OCC’s Board (“Risk Committee”); ¹⁸

(b) Allow OCC’s Board to vote to tear-up the Remaining Open Positions ¹⁹ of a defaulted Clearing Member, as well as any Related Open Positions ²⁰ in a circumstance where OCC has attempted one or more auctions of such defaulted Clearing Member’s remaining open positions and OCC has determined that it may not have sufficient resources to satisfy its obligations and liabilities resulting from such default, with the scope of any such tear-up (“Partial Tear-Up”) ²¹ being determined by the Risk Committee; ²² and

(c) Allow OCC’s Board to vote to re-allocate losses, costs and fees imposed upon holders of positions extinguished in a Partial Tear-Up through a special charge levied against remaining non-defaulting Clearing Members. ²³

Fourth and finally, OCC proposed to revise the descriptions and authorizations in Article VIII of OCC’s By-Laws concerning the use of the Clearing Fund to reflect its discretion to use remaining Clearing Fund contributions to re-allocate losses imposed on non-defaulting Clearing Members and customers from a Voluntary Tear-Up or a Partial Tear-Up. ²⁴

III. Summary of Comment Received

On January 16, 2018, the Commission received a comment letter from the Futures Industry Association (“FIA”). ²⁵ In the comment letter, FIA stated that it had identified a number of areas where it did not support the approach that OCC proposed in the Proposed Rule Change, and it separated its response into two sections. ²⁶

First, with respect to replenishment of the Clearing Fund, the FIA stated that OCC “should provide an explanation as to how the cap level of 200% [regarding assessments in a cooling-off period] was determined and why [OCC] considers 200% appropriate, rather than a lower

cap level.” ²⁷ Second, with respect to Partial Tear-Up, the FIA generally supported its use as a position rebalancing tool. ²⁸ The FIA stated, however, that its belief that “it is [not] reasonable nor analytically sound for tear-ups to result in incremental costs of undefined amounts being distributed through assessments, as it effectively enables the Board of OCC to engage in unlimited assessments.” ²⁹

Additionally, the FIA stated that “where a cleared trade is selected by the Board of OCC for Partial Tear-Up, the price of the trade should be determined objectively (either by marking to market or an objective best-estimate of market price), not on a discretionary basis.” ³⁰ The FIA also stated that “OCC should ensure that the design and application of Partial Tear-Ups do not disincentivize bidding in default management auctions.” ³¹

IV. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove File No. SR-OCC-2017-020 and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved. ³² Institution of proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the Proposed Rule Change. As noted above, institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide additional comment on the Proposed Rule Change and provide arguments to support the Commission’s analysis as to whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act, ³³ the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional analysis, and input from, commenters with respect to the Proposed Rule Change’s consistency with the Act and the rules thereunder, including the following:

- Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, ³⁴ which requires, in part, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to

promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest; and

- Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii) of the Act, ³⁵ which requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to plan for the recovery and orderly wind-down of the covered clearing agency necessitated by credit losses, liquidity shortfalls, losses from general business risk, or any other losses.

V. Request for Written Comments

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and arguments with respect to the Proposed Rule Change with respect to the issues identified above, as well as any other concerns they may have with the Proposed Rule Change. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii) under the Act, cited above, or any other provision of the Act, rules, and regulations thereunder.

Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation. ³⁶

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved by April 17, 2018. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person’s submission must file that rebuttal by May 1, 2018.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

³⁵ 17 CFR 17Ad-22(e)(3)(iii).

³⁶ Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, as amended by the Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, Public Law 94-29, 89 Stat. 97 (1975), grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular proposal by a self-regulatory organization. See Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).

¹⁷ See *id.* at 61110 (defining Voluntary Tear-Up).

¹⁸ *Id.* at 61109, 61110-11.

¹⁹ See *id.* at 61111 (defining Remaining Open Positions).

²⁰ See *id.* (defining Related Open Positions).

²¹ See *id.* at 61109 (defining Partial Tear-Up).

²² *Id.* at 61109, 61111-12.

²³ *Id.* at 61109, 61112.

²⁴ *Id.*

²⁵ See FIA Letter, *supra* note 4.

²⁶ FIA Letter at 1.

²⁷ *Id.* at 2.

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ *Id.*

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ *Id.*

³² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

³³ *Id.*

³⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-OCC-2017-020 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-OCC-2017-020. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Proposed Rule Change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Proposed Rule Change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's website at <https://www.theocc.com/about/publications/bylaws.jsp>.

All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-OCC-2017-020 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018. If comments are received, any rebuttal comments should be submitted on or before May 1, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁷

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06105 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

³⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270-135, OMB Control No. 3235-0176]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Extension:

Rules 8b-1 to 8b-33

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Rules 8b-1 to 8b-33 (17 CFR 270.8b-1 to 8b-33) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1 *et seq.*) ("Investment Company Act") set forth the procedures for preparing and filing a registration statement under the Investment Company Act. These procedures are intended to facilitate the registration process. These rules generally do not require respondents to report information.¹

The Commission believes that it is appropriate to estimate the total respondent burden associated with preparing each registration statement form rather than attempt to isolate the impact of the procedural instructions under Section 8(b) of the Investment Company Act, which impose burdens only in the context of the preparation of the various registration statement forms. Accordingly, the Commission is not submitting a separate burden estimate for rules 8b-1 through 8b-33, but instead will include the burden for these rules in its estimates of burden for each of the registration forms under the Investment Company Act. The Commission is, however, submitting an

¹ Although the rules under Section 8(b) of the Investment Company Act are generally procedural in nature, two of the rules require respondents to disclose some limited information. Rule 8b-3 (17 CFR 270.8b-3) provides that whenever a registration form requires the title of securities to be stated, the registrant must indicate the type and general character of the securities to be issued. Rule 8b-22 (17 CFR 270.8b-22) provides that if the existence of control is open to reasonable doubt, the registrant may disclaim the existence of control, but it must state the material facts pertinent to the possible existence of control. The information required by both of these rules is necessary to insure that investors have clear and complete information upon which to base an investment decision.

hourly burden estimate of one hour for administrative purposes.

The collection of information under rules 8b-1 to 8b-33 is mandatory. The information provided under rules 8b-1 to 8b-33 is not kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following website, www.reginfo.gov. Comments should be directed to: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an email to: Shagufta_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) Pamela Dyson, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: March 22, 2018.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06120 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82920; File No. SR-ISE-2018-20]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq ISE, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Market Maker Plus Program in the Schedule of Fees

March 22, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 13, 2018, Nasdaq ISE, LLC ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Market Maker Plus program in the Schedule of Fees.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <http://ise.cchwallstreet.com/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange operates a Market Maker Plus program for regular orders in Select Symbols³ whereby Market Makers⁴ that contribute to market quality by maintaining tight markets are eligible for enhanced rebates. The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend: (1) The expirations that are used to evaluate a Market Maker’s performance and hence eligibility for rebates, and (2) the process for excluding certain days from the Market Maker Plus calculation. The Exchange believes that these proposed changes will encourage Market Makers to make quality markets in Select Symbols and thereby further the goals of the Market Maker Plus program.

Market Maker orders in Select Symbols are charged a maker fee of \$0.10 per contract;⁵ provided that Market Makers that qualify for Market Maker Plus will not pay this fee if they meet the applicable tier thresholds set forth in the table below, and will

instead receive the rebates described in the table based on the applicable tier for which they qualify.⁶ The Exchange is not proposing to amend the Market Maker Plus rebates, which will remain unchanged, but proposes to make changes to the process for evaluating Market Makers for achieving Market Maker Plus status.

SELECT SYMBOLS OTHER THAN SPY AND QQQ

| Market Maker Plus tier (specified percentage) | Maker rebate (\$) |
|---|-------------------|
| Tier 1 (80% to less than 85%) | (0.15) |
| Tier 2 (85% to less than 95%) | (0.18) |
| Tier 3 (95% or greater) | (0.22) |

SPY AND QQQ

| Market Maker Plus tier (specified percentage) | Regular maker rebate (\$) | Linked maker rebate ⁷ (\$) |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tier 1 (70% to less than 80%) | (0.00) | N/A |
| Tier 2 (80% to less than 85%) | (0.18) | (0.16) |
| Tier 3 (85% to less than 90%) | (0.22) | (0.20) |
| Tier 4 (90% or greater) | (0.26) | (0.24) |

A Market Maker Plus is defined in the Schedule of Fees as a Market Maker who is on the National Best Bid or National Best Offer (“NBBO”) a specified percentage of the time for series trading between \$0.03 and \$3.00 (for options whose underlying stock’s previous trading day’s last sale price was less than or equal to \$100) and between \$0.10 and \$3.00 (for options whose underlying stock’s previous trading day’s last sale price was greater than \$100) in premium in *each of the front two expiration months*.⁸

⁶ A \$0.10 per contract fee applies instead of the applicable Market Maker Plus rebate when trading against Priority Customer complex orders that leg into the regular order book. There will be no fee charged or rebate provided when trading against non-Priority Customer complex orders that leg into the regular order book.

⁷ Market Makers that qualify for Market Maker Plus Tiers 2–4 for executions in SPY or QQQ may be eligible for a linked maker rebate in addition to the regular maker rebate for the applicable tier. Linked maker rebate applies to executions in SPY or QQQ if the Market Maker does not achieve the applicable tier in that symbol but achieves the tier (*i.e.*, any of Market Maker Plus Tiers 2–4) for any badge/suffix combination in the other symbol, in which case the higher tier achieved applies to both symbols. The regular maker rebate will be provided in the symbol that qualifies the Market Maker for the higher tier based on percentage of time at the NBBO.

⁸ Market Makers may enter quotes in a symbol using one or more unique, exchange assigned identifiers—*i.e.*, badge/suffix combinations. Market Maker Plus status is calculated independently based on quotes entered in a symbol for each of the Market Maker’s badge/suffix combinations, and the highest tier achieved for any badge/suffix combination quoting that symbol applies to

Performance in each of the front two expiration months is measured daily, and would include all available expirations from the that trading day up to and including the first monthly expiration (*i.e.*, the 1st front expiration month), and all expirations after the first monthly expiration up to and including the second monthly expiration (*i.e.*, the 2nd front expiration month). At the end of the month, the Exchange calculates a monthly average based on daily performance in each of these two buckets, and Market Makers that meet the specified percentage of time at the NBBO in both buckets will qualify for the associated Market Maker Plus rebate.

In practice, using the front two expiration months to measure performance means that a Market Maker’s performance is evaluated based on a shrinking number of contracts as the trading day approaches the monthly expiration date. For example, on February 1, 2018, a Market Maker’s performance in symbol AAPL would have been measured in a number of weekly and monthly expirations leading up to and including the February monthly expiration (*i.e.*, for the 1st front expiration month), and similarly in a number of weekly and monthly expirations beginning after the February monthly expiration up to and including the March monthly expiration (*i.e.*, for the 2nd front expiration month). On February 15, 2018, however, the 1st front expiration month would include only one expiration—*i.e.*, the February 16, 2018 monthly contract that expires the next day. The Exchange believes that this frustrates the goals of the Market Maker Plus program as Market Makers need to maintain quotes at the NBBO in a more limited number of expirations as the next monthly expiration approaches, and will not get credit for maintaining tight quotes in other expirations that are not included.

The Exchange therefore proposes to change its Market Maker Plus methodology to ensure that a full month’s worth of expirations are always included in each bucket for the Market Maker Plus calculation. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend the Market Maker Plus language to provide that: “Market Makers are evaluated each trading day for the percentage of time spent on the National Best Bid or National Best Offer (“NBBO”) for qualifying series that expire in two successive thirty calendar day periods beginning on that trading day.” Thus under the proposed methodology, on

executions across all badge/suffix combinations that the member uses to trade in that symbol.

³ “Select Symbols” are options overlying all symbols listed on the Nasdaq ISE that are in the Penny Pilot Program.

⁴ The term “Market Makers” refers to “Competitive Market Makers” and “Primary Market Makers” collectively. See ISE Rule 100(a)(28).

⁵ This fee also applies to Market Maker orders sent to the Exchange by Electronic Access Members.

February 15, 2018, the periods referenced above would include all expirations: (1) From February 15, 2018 to March 17, 2018, and (2) from March 18, 2018 to April 17, 2018. Furthermore, the Exchange proposes to amend the definition of Market Maker Plus by clarifying that Market Maker Plus status requires the Market Maker to meet the specified percentage of time at the NBBO as a monthly average based on daily performance in each of the two successive periods described above.⁹ Qualifying series will also be defined separately as series trading between \$0.03 and \$3.00 (for options whose underlying stock's previous trading day's last sale price was less than or equal to \$100) and between \$0.10 and \$3.00 (for options whose underlying stock's previous trading day's last sale price was greater than \$100) in premium—*i.e.*, current language without the reference to the front two expiration months, which the Exchange proposes to eliminate in connection with the changes to the expiration periods used by the Exchange in the Market Maker Plus calculation.¹⁰ Finally, the Exchange proposes to add language that emphasizes that if a Market Maker would qualify for a different Market Maker Plus tier in each of the two successive periods described above, then the lower of the two Market Maker Plus tier rebates shall apply to all contracts. The proposed changes would add transparency around the process for evaluating Market Maker Plus status—*i.e.*, by including more operational language that describes how performance is measured—and change the process to ensure that an appropriate number of expirations are included in the calculation.

In addition, the Schedule of Fees provides that a Market Maker's single best and single worst quoting days each month,¹¹ on a per symbol basis, will be excluded in calculating whether a Market Maker qualifies for the Market Maker Plus rebate, if doing so will qualify a Market Maker for the rebate.¹²

⁹The current language contains a reference to the "National Best Bid or National Best Offer," which the Exchange proposes to change to "NBBO," based on defining NBBO as National Best Bid or National Best Offer in the immediately preceding sentence.

¹⁰The Exchange proposes to remove all references to the front two expiration months in the Market Maker Plus description (*e.g.*, in the section on excluding certain days from the Market Maker Plus calculation). Where applicable the Exchange will refer instead to the proposed thirty calendar day periods.

¹¹The current language in the Schedule of Fees contains a reference to the expirations used in the calculation, which are being changed in this proposed rule change. *See id.*

¹²Other than days where the Exchange closes early for holiday observance, any day that the

While this provision is intended to aid Market Makers in achieving Market Maker Plus status, and therefore only applies if better for the Market Maker (*i.e.*, if excluding these days will qualify the Market Maker for the rebate), it may provide a disincentive for Market Makers to increase their performance at the end of the month to meet a higher tier as the Market Maker's best quoting day may be removed in addition to the worst. For example, a Market Maker that is on the border between Tier 1 and Tier 2 on the last trading day of the month based on time at the NBBO could attempt to reach the higher tier by quoting more aggressively on that day but would not be incentivized to do if the day would simply be removed as the best quoting day for the month. The Exchange therefore proposes to remove only the Market Maker's worst quoting day. Since this means that removing the day will always be beneficial to the Market Maker, the Exchange also proposes to remove the language related to excluding the day only if doing so will qualify a Market Maker for the rebate.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,¹³ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁴ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the periods used for the Market Maker Plus calculation are reasonable and equitable as this change is designed to encourage Market Makers to make quality markets in Select Symbols and thereby further the goal of the Market Maker Plus program. Currently, the Market Maker Plus program requires that Market Makers show their commitment to market quality by quoting at the NBBO a specified percentage of time in certain series in the front two expiration months—*i.e.*, all available expirations from the trading day up to and

market is not open for the entire trading day or the Exchange instructs members in writing to route their orders to other markets may also be excluded from the Market Maker Plus tier calculation; provided that the Exchange will only remove the day for members that would have a lower time at the NBBO for the specified series with the day included.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

including the first monthly expiration, and all expirations after the first monthly expiration up to and including the second monthly expiration. Because the Exchange measures performance using the front two expiration months, Market Makers have to quote a more limited subset of expirations as the trading day approaches a monthly expiration. The Exchange believes that it is preferable to evaluate performance based on two successive thirty calendar day periods so that as the monthly expiration approaches, Market Makers will nevertheless be able to qualify for Market Maker Plus by maintaining quotes at the NBBO in a number of available expirations. The Exchange believes that this balances the benefit provided by Market Maker Plus rebates with an appropriately demonstrated commitment to market quality. Furthermore, the proposed language also describes the operation of the Market Maker Plus calculation, which is evaluated each trading day and then computed as a monthly average based on daily performance. And finally, the proposed language makes other clarifying changes to the language, such as separately defining qualifying series based on language already included in the Market Maker Plus section of the Schedule of Fees, and reinforcing that the Market Maker Plus program requires a Market Maker to achieve the applicable tier in both of the two successive periods, meaning that if a Market Maker is in a different tier for each of the proposed expiration buckets, then the lower tier of rebate would apply to all contracts. The Exchange believes that adding this detail to the Schedule of Fees in connection with the change of the expirations used for the calculation will further increase transparency around the operation of this program. The Exchange also believes that the proposed changes are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as all Market Makers can qualify for Market Maker Plus by meeting program requirements that are designed to incentivize Market Makers to maintain quality markets. Furthermore, the Exchange believes that the benefits to market quality that may result from Market Makers being required to maintain quotes at the NBBO in a number of expirations will flow to all market participants that trade on the Exchange.

In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed change to the days excluded from the Market Maker Plus calculation is reasonable and equitable as not removing the best day will make it easier for Market Makers to achieve

higher tiers of Market Maker Plus. More specifically, this change is designed to permit Market Makers to aim for a higher tier at the end of month without potentially removing one of those trading days from the calculation if it is the Market Maker's best quoting day for the month. The Exchange believes that this will allow Market Makers to quote more aggressively at the end of the month in order to qualify for a higher tier of Market Maker Plus, and thereby contribute to market quality in Select Symbols. Furthermore, with the change described above, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable and equitable now to remove the language about removing the day only when doing so will qualify the Market Maker for the rebate. This language is no longer needed since removing the worst day will always be better for the Market Maker. Finally, the Exchange believes that these changes are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as all Market Makers will be subject to the same qualification criteria for Market Maker Plus. The proposed fee changes described in this proposed rule change are applicable solely to Market Makers as the Market Maker Plus program, which is designed to encourage Market Makers to maintain quality markets, applies only to these members. The Exchange continues to believe that it is not unfairly discriminatory to offer rebates under this program only to Market Makers since Market Makers, and, in particular, those Market Makers that achieve Market Maker Plus status, are subject to additional requirements and obligations (such as quoting requirements) that other market participants are not.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed fee changes are pro-competitive as they are designed to encourage Market Makers to make quality markets in Select Symbols. The Exchange believes that the Market Maker Plus program will continue to encourage competition by incentivizing Market Makers to provide liquidity and maintain tight markets in Select Symbols. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its

fees to remain competitive. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,¹⁵ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)¹⁶ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-ISE-2018-20 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2018-20. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2018-20 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06099 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-82927; File No. SR-OCC-2017-021]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Concerning Updates to and Formalization of OCC's Recovery and Orderly Wind-Down Plan

March 22, 2018.

I. Introduction

On December 8, 2017, The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change SR-OCC-2017-021 ("Proposed Rule Change"), pursuant to Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

(“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder.² The Proposed Rule Change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 26, 2017.³ On January 25, 2018, the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the Proposed Rule Change, disapprove the Proposed Rule Change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.⁴ The Commission is publishing this order pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁵ to institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to the Proposed Rule Change, nor does it mean that the Commission will ultimately disapprove the Proposed Rule Change. Rather, as discussed below, the Commission seeks additional input on the Proposed Rule Change and issues presented by the proposal.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change⁶

The Proposed Rule Change would formalize and update OCC’s Recovery and Wind-Down (“RWD”) Plan. In its proposal,⁷ OCC stated that the purpose of the proposed RWD Plan is to (i) demonstrate that OCC has considered the scenarios which may potentially prevent it from being able to provide its “Critical Services” (defined below) as a

going-concern,⁸ (ii) provide appropriate plans for OCC’s recovery or orderly wind-down based on the results of such consideration;⁹ and (iii) impart to relevant authorities the information reasonably anticipated to be necessary for purposes of recovery and orderly wind-down planning.¹⁰

The proposed RWD Plan consists of eight chapters.¹¹ Chapter 1 of the RWD Plan would provide an executive summary and overview of the proposed Plan.¹² Chapter 2 of the proposed RWD Plan is designed to impart information that OCC believes would be essential to relevant authorities for purposes of recovery and orderly wind-down planning, as well as to provide readers of the RWD Plan with necessary context for the subsequent discussion and analysis of OCC’s “Critical Services” and “Critical Support Functions” in Chapter 4 (discussed below) and of OCC’s resolution process in Chapter 6 (discussed below).¹³ In Chapter 3 of the proposed RWD Plan, OCC would identify each of its fourteen different internal support functions and provide a brief description of the activities performed by each such support function.¹⁴ The primary purpose of Chapter 4 of the proposed RWD Plan would be to identify OCC’s “Critical Services” and “Critical Support Functions.”¹⁵

Chapter 5 of OCC’s proposed RWD Plan would constitute OCC’s Recovery Plan.¹⁶ The purpose of Chapter 5 would be to demonstrate that OCC has considered scenarios which may potentially prevent it from being able to provide its Critical Services as a going-concern and that, based on the scenarios considered, OCC has prepared appropriate plans for its recovery.¹⁷

⁸ As defined by Rule 17Ad–22(e)(3)(ii), those scenarios are: “credit losses, liquidity shortfalls, losses from general business risks and other losses.” 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(3)(ii).

⁹ See Standards for Covered Clearing Agencies, 81 FR 70786, 70810 (Oct. 13, 2016).

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ Notice, *supra* note 3, 82 FR at 61073.

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Id.* at 61074.

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ *Id.* The RWD Plan would define a “Critical Service” as a service provided by OCC that, if interrupted, would likely have a material negative impact on participants or significant third parties, give rise to contagion, or undermine the general confidence of markets the FMI serves. *Id.*

¹⁶ *Id.* at 61075.

¹⁷ *Id.* For the purposes of the RWD Plan, OCC would define “recovery” consistent with the definition advanced by the Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures and the International Organization of Securities Commissions, which is “the actions of [a Financial Market Utility (“FMI”)], consistent with its rules, procedures, and other ex-ante contractual arrangements, to address any uncovered credit loss, liquidity shortfall, capital

Chapter 6 of OCC’s proposed RWD Plan would constitute OCC’s Wind-Down Plan (“WDP”).¹⁸ Chapter 6 would demonstrate that OCC has considered scenarios which may potentially prevent it from being able to provide its Critical Services as a going-concern and that OCC has adequately evaluated plans for its orderly wind-down.¹⁹ Chapter 7 of OCC’s proposed Plan would memorialize the prior governance for approval of the earlier drafts of OCC’s recovery and orderly wind-down plan and would establish an internal governance process for the maintenance, review and approval of the proposed RWD Plan.²⁰

III. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove File No. SR–OCC–2017–021 and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved.²¹ Institution of proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the Proposed Rule Change. As noted above, institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide additional comment on the Proposed Rule Change and provide arguments to support the Commission’s analysis as to whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act,²² the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission is

inadequacy, or business, operational or other structural weakness, including the replenishment of any depleted pre-funded financial resources and liquidity arrangements, as necessary to maintain the FMI’s viability as a going concern.” *Id.*, note 30 (citation omitted).

¹⁸ *Id.* at 61080.

¹⁹ *Id.* For the purposes of the RWD Plan, OCC would frame its wind-down objective consistent with the objective advanced by the Financial Stability Board for CCP resolution: “CCP resolution should have as its objective the pursuit of financial stability and ensure the continuity of critical CCP functions in all jurisdictions where those functions are critical and without exposing taxpayers to risk of loss. . . . The objectives of CCP resolution can be achieved either by: (i) Restoring the ability of the CCP to continue to perform its critical functions as a going concern; or (ii) ensuring continued performance of those functions by another entity or arrangement (including a bridge entity established by the resolution authority) coupled with the orderly wind-down of the residual CCP in resolution.” *Id.*, note 54 (citation omitted).

²⁰ *Id.* at 61080.

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

²² *Id.*

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82352 (Dec. 19, 2017), 82 FR 61072 (Dec. 26, 2017) (SR–OCC–2017–021) (“Notice”). On December 8, 2017, OCC also filed a related advance notice (SR–OCC–2017–810) with the Commission pursuant to Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 and Rule 19b–4(n)(1)(i) under the Act (“Advance Notice”). 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1) and 17 CFR 240.19b–4(n)(1)(i), respectively. The Advance Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 23, 2018. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82513 (Jan. 17, 2018), 83 FR 3224 (Jan. 23, 2018) (SR–OCC–2017–810).

The Financial Stability Oversight Council designated OCC a systemically important financial market utility on July 18, 2012. See Financial Stability Oversight Council 2012 Annual Report, Appendix A, available at <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/2012%20Annual%20Report.pdf>. Therefore, OCC is required to comply with the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act and file advance notices with the Commission. See 12 U.S.C. 5465(e).

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82586 (Jan. 25, 2018), 83 FR 4527 (Jan. 31, 2018) (File No. SR–OCC–2017–021).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁶ The description of the Proposed Rule Change is substantially excerpted from the Notice. See Notice, *supra* note 3, 82 FR at 61073–80.

⁷ See *id.* at 61073.

instituting proceedings to allow for additional analysis, and input from commenters with respect to the Proposed Rule Change's consistency with the Act and the rules thereunder, including the following:

- Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,²³ which requires, in part, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest; and

- Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii) of the Act,²⁴ which requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to plan for the recovery and orderly wind-down of the covered clearing agency necessitated by credit losses, liquidity shortfalls, losses from general business risk, or any other losses.

IV. Request for Written Comments

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and arguments with respect to the Proposed Rule Change with respect to the issues identified above, as well as any other concerns they may have with the Proposed Rule Change. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii) under the Act, cited above, or any other provision of the Act, rules, and regulations thereunder.

Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.²⁵

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved by April 17,

2018. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person's submission must file that rebuttal by May 1, 2018.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-OCC-2017-020 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-OCC-2017-020. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Proposed Rule Change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Proposed Rule Change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's website at <https://www.theocc.com/about/publications/bylaws.jsp>.

All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-OCC-2017-021 and should be submitted on or before April 17, 2018. If comments are received, any rebuttal comments should be submitted on or before May 1, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁶

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-06106 Filed 3-26-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Multiple IRS Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Departmental Offices, U.S. Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury will submit the following information collection requests to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, on or after the date of publication of this notice. The public is invited to submit comments on these requests.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before April 26, 2018 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Treasury, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.gov and (2) Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, 1750 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 8142, Washington, DC 20220, or email at PRA@treasury.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the submissions may be obtained from Jennifer Quintana by emailing PRA@treasury.gov, calling (202) 622-0489, or viewing the entire information collection request at www.reginfo.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

1. *Title:* Mortgage Credit Certificates (MCCs).

OMB Control Number: 1545-0922.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Mortgage Credit Certificates provide qualified holders of the certificates with a credit against income

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

²⁴ 17 CFR 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii).

²⁵ Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, as amended by the Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, Public Law 94-29, 89 Stat. 97 (1975), grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular proposal by a self-regulatory organization. See Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).

²⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

tax liability. In general, an Issuer elects to establish a mortgage credit certificate program in lieu of issuing qualified mortgage revenue bonds. Section 25 of the Code permits states and political subdivisions to elect to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates in lieu of qualified mortgage revenue bonds. Form 8329 is used by lending institutions and Form 8330 is used by state and local governments to report on mortgage credit certificates (MCCs) authorized under IRC Section 25. IRS matches the information supplied by lenders and issuers to ensure that the credit is computed properly.

Form: 8329, 8330.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 73,720.

2. Title: TD 9099—Disclosure of Relative Values of Optional Forms of Benefit.

OMB Control Number: 1545–0928.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: This document contains previously approved final regulations that consolidate the content requirements applicable to explanations of qualified joint and survivor annuities and qualified preretirement survivor annuities payable under certain retirement plans, and specify requirements for disclosing the relative value of optional forms of benefit that are payable from certain retirement plans in lieu of a qualified joint and survivor annuity. These regulations affect plan sponsors and administrators, and participants in and beneficiaries of, certain retirement plans.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 385,000.

3. Title: Form 8609, Low-Income Housing Credit Allocation Certification; Form 8609–A, Annual Statement for Low-Income Housing Credit.

OMB Control Number: 1545–0988.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Owners of residential low-income rental buildings may claim a low-income housing credit for each qualified building over a 10-year credit period. Form 8609 can be used to obtain a housing credit allocation from the housing credit agency. Form 8609, along with Form 8609–A, is used by the owner to certify necessary information required by the law. The private sector and government agencies, such as housing credit agencies, are affected groups.

Form: 8609, 8609–A.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 414,915.

4. Title: TD 8302—Low-Income Housing Credit for Federally-assisted Buildings.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1005.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: These previously approved final regulations are for the rule requires the taxpayer (low-income building owner) to seek a waiver in writing from the IRS concerning low-income buildings acquired during a special 10-year period in order to avert a claim against a Federal mortgage insurance fund.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,000.

5. Title: Adjustments to Basis of Stock and Indebtedness to Shareholders of S Corporations and Treatment of Distributions by S Corporations to Shareholders (TD 9300) and Open Account Debt (TD 9428).

OMB Control Number: 1545–1139.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: These previously approved regulations provide the procedures and the statements to be filed by S corporations for making the election provided under section 1368, and by shareholders who choose to reorder items that decrease their basis. Statements required to be filled will be used to verify that taxpayers are complying with the requirements imposed by Congress.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 450.

6. Title: CO–25–96 (TD 8824) Limitations on Net Operating Loss Carryforwards and Certain Built-in Losses and Credits.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1218.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Section 1502 provides for the promulgation of previously approved regulations with respect to corporations that file consolidated income tax returns. Section 382 limits the amount of income that can be offset by loss carryovers and credits after an ownership change. These final

regulations provide rules for applying section 382 to groups of corporations that file a consolidated return.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 662.

7. Title: Limitations on net operating loss carryforwards and certain built-in losses following ownership change.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1275.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: 26 U.S.C. 382 limits the amount of the taxable income of any new loss corporation for any post-change year which may be offset by pre-change losses, for each such year. 26 CFR 1.382–9(d)(2)(iii) and (d)(4)(iv) allow a loss corporation to rely on a statement by beneficial owners of indebtedness in determining whether the loss corporation qualifies under section 382(l)(1)(5). Section 1.382–9(d)(6)(ii) requires a loss corporation to file an election if it wants to apply the regulations retroactively, or revoke a prior section 382(l)(1)(6) election.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 200.

8. Title: Methods to Determine Taxable Income in connection with a Cost Sharing Arrangement—IRC Section 482.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1364.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The purpose of Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 482 is to ensure taxpayers clearly reflect income attributable to controlled transactions and to prevent avoidance of taxes regarding such transactions. IRC section 482 places a controlled taxpayer on tax parity with an uncontrolled taxpayer by determining true taxable income.

Previously approved, TD 9568 (76 FR 80082) published December 22, 2011, contained final regulations regarding methods to determine taxable income in connection with a cost sharing arrangement under section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code. The final regulations address issues that had arisen in administering the cost sharing regulations. The final regulations affect domestic and foreign entities that enter into cost sharing arrangements described in the final regulations.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 9,350.

9. *Title:* T.D. 8537—Carryover of Passive Activity Losses and Credits and At Risk Losses to Bankruptcy Estates of Individuals.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1375.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: This document contains previously approved final regulations relating to the application of carryover of passive activity losses and credits and at risk losses to the bankruptcy estates of individuals. The final regulations affect individual taxpayers who file bankruptcy petitions under chapter 7 or chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code and have passive activity losses and credits under section 469 or losses under section 465.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 100.

10. *Title:* EE–14–81 Deductions and Reductions in Earnings and Profits (or Accumulated Profits) With Respect to Certain Foreign Deferred Compensation Plans Maintained by Certain Foreign Corporations.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1393.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The previously approved regulation provides guidance regarding the limitations on deductions and adjustments to earnings and profits (or accumulated profits) for certain foreign deferred compensation plans. Respondents will be multinational corporations.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 634,450.

11. *Title:* TD 9199—Diesel Fuel and Kerosene Excise Tax; Dye Injection.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1418.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The previously approved regulations relate to the diesel fuel and kerosene excise tax and reflect changes made by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (Act) regarding mechanical dye injection systems. Under the Act, diesel fuel and kerosene that are to be used in a nontaxable use must be indelibly dyed by use of a mechanical dye injection system that satisfies the requirements set forth in the regulations. However, Notice 2005–80 lifted the requirement

for mechanical injection and because of this there is currently no requirement in the regulations for pre-approval by the IRS to inject dye into exempt fuel. The statutory requirement remains codified until such time they are finalized or removed. The burden has been adjusted accordingly.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1.

12. *Title:* TD 8706 Electronic Filing of Form W–4.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1435.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: This document contains previously approved final regulations relating to Form W–4, Employee’s Withholding Allowance Certificate. The final regulations authorize employers to establish electronic systems for use by employees in filing their Forms W–4. The regulations provide employers and employees with guidance necessary to comply with the law. The regulations affect employers that establish electronic systems and their employees.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 40,000.

13. *Title:* TD 8881—General Revision of Regulations Relating to Withholding of Tax on Certain U.S. Source Income Paid to Foreign Persons.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1484.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: This document contains previously approved regulations relating to the withholding of income tax under sections 1441, 1442, and 1443 on certain U.S. source income paid to foreign persons and related requirements governing collection, deposit, refunds, and credits of withheld amounts under sections 1461 through 1463. These regulations affect persons making payments of U.S. source income to foreign persons.

Form: None.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1.

14. *Title:* Government Service Information.

OMB Control Number: 1545–1919.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Form 12854 is used to identify applicants who have had prior

government service in order to request the official personnel folder from federal records and to identify possible pay-setting issues.

Form: 12854.

Affected Public: Individuals and households.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 6,203.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Dated: March 21, 2018.

Spencer W. Clark,

Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–06060 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

[OMB Control No. 2900—NEW]

Agency Information Collection Submission for OMB Emergency Review: Request for Restoration of Educational Assistance

AGENCY: Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Emergency clearance notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Veterans Benefits Administration (VBA), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), submitted a request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for emergency clearance and review of VA Form 22–0989, Request for Restoration of Educational Assistance, 2900—NEW. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, VA is soliciting comments for this collection.

DATES: Comments on this proposal for emergency review should be received within April 26, 2018. VA is requesting OMB to take action within 30 calendar days from the close of this **Federal Register** Notice on the request for emergency review. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.1.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed collection of information to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of Veterans Affairs, or sent via electronic mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. To obtain a copy of associated documents for this information collection, access Reginfo.gov. Please refer to “OMB

Control No. 2900—NEW, Restoration” in any correspondence.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: VA Form 22–0989 will allow students to apply for restoration of entitlement for VA education benefits used at a school that closed or had its approval to receive VA benefits withdrawn. Education Service requests approval of this information collection in order to carry out the implementation of the law which requires VA to immediately accept applications to restore education benefits for school closures and disapprovals beginning after January 1, 2015. Under the PRA of 1995, Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. This request for comment is being made

pursuant to Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA. With respect to the following collection of information, the Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of VBA’s functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of VBA’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 3699.

Title: Request for Restoration of Educational Assistance, VA Form 22–0989.

OMB Control Number: 2900—NEW.

Type of Review: Emergency.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Frequency of Response: Once.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 14,045.

Estimated Burden per Respondent: 15 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 3,511 hours.

By direction of the Secretary:

Cynthia Harvey-Pryor,

Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Quality and Compliance, Department of Veterans Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018–06085 Filed 3–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 59

Tuesday, March 27, 2018

CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION

Federal Register/Code of Federal Regulations

General Information, indexes and other finding aids **202-741-6000**

Laws 741-6000

Presidential Documents

Executive orders and proclamations **741-6000**

The United States Government Manual 741-6000

Other Services

Electronic and on-line services (voice) **741-6020**

Privacy Act Compilation **741-6050**

Public Laws Update Service (numbers, dates, etc.) **741-6043**

ELECTRONIC RESEARCH

World Wide Web

Full text of the daily Federal Register, CFR and other publications is located at: www.fdsys.gov.

Federal Register information and research tools, including Public Inspection List, indexes, and Code of Federal Regulations are located at: www.ofr.gov.

E-mail

FEDREGTOC (Daily Federal Register Table of Contents Electronic Mailing List) is an open e-mail service that provides subscribers with a digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents. The digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents includes HTML and PDF links to the full text of each document.

To join or leave, go to <https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USGPOOFR/subscriber/new>, enter your email address, then follow the instructions to join, leave, or manage your subscription.

PENS (Public Law Electronic Notification Service) is an e-mail service that notifies subscribers of recently enacted laws.

To subscribe, go to <http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html> and select *Join or leave the list (or change settings)*; then follow the instructions.

FEDREGTOC and **PENS** are mailing lists only. We cannot respond to specific inquiries.

Reference questions. Send questions and comments about the Federal Register system to: fedreg.info@nara.gov

The Federal Register staff cannot interpret specific documents or regulations.

CFR Checklist. Effective January 1, 2009, the CFR Checklist no longer appears in the Federal Register. This information can be found online at <http://bookstore.gpo.gov/>.

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATE, MARCH

| | | | |
|------------------|----|------------------|----|
| 8743-8922..... | 1 | 11845-12112..... | 19 |
| 8923-9134..... | 2 | 12113-12242..... | 20 |
| 9135-9418..... | 5 | 12243-12470..... | 21 |
| 9419-9682..... | 6 | 12471-12656..... | 22 |
| 9683-9792..... | 7 | 12657-12848..... | 23 |
| 9793-10356..... | 8 | 12849-13096..... | 26 |
| 10357-10552..... | 9 | 13097-13182..... | 27 |
| 10553-10774..... | 12 | | |
| 10775-11128..... | 13 | | |
| 11129-11394..... | 14 | | |
| 11395-11632..... | 15 | | |
| 11633-11844..... | 16 | | |

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING MARCH

At the end of each month the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

Proclamations:

| | |
|-----------|-------|
| 9700..... | 9405 |
| 9701..... | 9407 |
| 9702..... | 9409 |
| 9703..... | 10355 |
| 9704..... | 11619 |
| 9705..... | 11625 |
| 9706..... | 12243 |
| 9707..... | 12245 |
| 9708..... | 12471 |
| 9709..... | 13097 |

Executive Orders:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| 10830 (Amended by EO 13824)..... | 8923 |
| 12473 (Amended by EO 13825)..... | 9889 |
| 13265 (Amended by EO 13824)..... | 8923 |
| 13545 (Revoked by EO 13824)..... | 8923 |
| 13824..... | 8923 |
| 13825..... | 9889 |
| 13826..... | 10771 |
| 13827..... | 12469 |

Administrative Orders:

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Notices: | |
| Notice of March 2, 2018..... | 9413 |
| Notice of March 2, 2018..... | 9415 |
| Notice of March 2, 2018..... | 9417 |
| Notice of March 12, 2018..... | 11393 |

Memorandums:

| | |
|---|-------|
| Memorandum of April 29, 2016 (Revoked by EO 13826)..... | 10771 |
| Memorandum of February 20, 2018..... | 9681 |
| Memorandum of March 22, 2018..... | 13099 |

Orders:

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Order of March 12, 2018..... | 11631 |
|------------------------------|-------|

7 CFR

| | |
|-----------|--------------|
| 3..... | 11129 |
| 205..... | 10775 |
| 318..... | 11845 |
| 319..... | 11395, 11845 |
| 330..... | 11845 |
| 340..... | 11845 |
| 360..... | 11845 |
| 361..... | 11845 |
| 457..... | 11633, 12657 |
| 761..... | 11867 |
| 800..... | 11633 |
| 983..... | 11134 |
| 1212..... | 11136 |
| 1734..... | 10357 |

| | |
|-----------|-------|
| 1940..... | 12657 |
| 3434..... | 11869 |
| 4279..... | 11633 |

Proposed Rules:

| | |
|-----------|-------|
| 210..... | 9447 |
| 235..... | 9447 |
| 925..... | 8802 |
| 959..... | 8804 |
| 1051..... | 11903 |
| 1214..... | 11648 |

9 CFR

| | |
|----------|-------|
| 101..... | 11139 |
| 114..... | 11139 |

10 CFR

Proposed Rules:

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| 72..... | 12504 |
| Ch. I..... | 10407, 11154 |

11 CFR

| | |
|--------|-------|
| 1..... | 10357 |
|--------|-------|

Proposed Rules:

| | |
|----------|-------|
| 100..... | 12864 |
| 110..... | 12864 |
| 113..... | 12283 |

12 CFR

| | |
|-------------|-------|
| 201..... | 13103 |
| 204..... | 13104 |
| 265..... | 9419 |
| 347..... | 9135 |
| 741..... | 10783 |
| 1026..... | 10553 |
| Ch. XI..... | 9135 |

Proposed Rules:

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| 210..... | 11431 |
| 701..... | 12283 |
| Ch. X..... | 12286, 12881 |
| 1081..... | 12505 |
| 1290..... | 11344 |
| 1291..... | 11344 |

13 CFR

| | |
|----------|-------|
| 121..... | 12849 |
| 125..... | 12849 |
| 126..... | 12849 |
| 127..... | 12849 |

Proposed Rules:

| | |
|----------|-------|
| 121..... | 12506 |
|----------|-------|

14 CFR

| | |
|---------|---|
| 1..... | 9162 |
| 21..... | 9162 |
| 23..... | 9176, 11634 |
| 25..... | 9162, 10559, 12247, 12249, 12251, 12252 |
| 26..... | 9162 |
| 27..... | 9162, 9419 |
| 29..... | 9419 |
| 34..... | 9162 |
| 39..... | 8743, 8745, 8927, 9178, |

9424, 9683, 9685, 9688,
9692, 9793, 9795, 9797,
9801, 9811, 10358, 10360,
10563, 10565, 11397, 11399,
11404, 11871, 11873, 12659,
12852
43.....9162
45.....9162
60.....9162
61.....9162
63.....9162
65.....9162
71.....9181, 9813, 9814, 9816,
11407, 11408, 11409, 11411,
12473
73.....10784, 12113
91.....9162, 10567, 12856
97.....9162, 10363, 10365
107.....9162
110.....9162
119.....9162
121.....9162, 12474
125.....9162
129.....9162
133.....9162
135.....9162
137.....9162
141.....9162
142.....9162
145.....9162
183.....9162
Proposed Rules:
39.....8807, 8810, 8951, 9238,
9818, 9820, 10408, 10411,
10415, 10809, 11903, 12508
71.....9242, 9243, 9451, 9452,
9822, 10644, 11443, 11445,
11446, 12289, 12290, 12511,
12688, 12883, 12885, 12887
15 CFR
705.....12106
744.....12475
Proposed Rules:
922.....8812
16 CFR
Ch. II.....12254
Proposed Rules:
Ch. II.....10418
17 CFR
143.....9426
232.....11637
274.....11637
Proposed Rules:
200.....13008
242.....13008
274.....11905
18 CFR
11.....10568
35.....9580, 9636
157.....9697
801.....11875
Proposed Rules:
154.....12888
260.....12888
284.....12888
20 CFR
404.....11143
21 CFR
1.....12483

4.....12259
5.....13105
201.....11639
573.....8929
801.....11639
864.....11143
872.....11144
878.....9698
1100.....11639
1308.....10367
Proposed Rules:
4.....12292
73.....9715
101.....8953
117.....12143
507.....12143
573.....10645
1100.....12294, 12901
1130.....11818
1140.....12294, 12901
1143.....12294, 12901
22 CFR
Proposed Rules:
1304.....11922
25 CFR
Proposed Rules:
273.....12301
26 CFR
1.....10785
801.....9700
Proposed Rules:
301.....10811
29 CFR
1910.....9701, 11413
1915.....9701
1926.....9701
4022.....11413
4044.....11413
Proposed Rules:
101.....11649
102.....11649
4001.....9716
4022.....9716
4041.....9716
4043.....9716
4044.....9716
30 CFR
550.....8930
553.....8930
723.....10611
724.....10611
845.....10611
846.....10611
Proposed Rules:
57.....12904
70.....12904
72.....12904
75.....12904
904.....10646
938.....10647
31 CFR
50.....11876
501.....11876
510.....9182
535.....11876
536.....11876
538.....11876
539.....11876
541.....11876

542.....11876
544.....11876
546.....11876
547.....11876
548.....11876
549.....11876
560.....11876
561.....11876
566.....11876
576.....11876
584.....11876
588.....11876
592.....11876
594.....11876
595.....11876
597.....11876
598.....11876
1010.....11876
Proposed Rules:
538.....12513
560.....12513
33 CFR
100.....11881, 12114
101.....12086
104.....12086
105.....12086
117.....8747, 8748, 8933, 8936,
8937, 9204, 9429, 9430,
9431, 9432, 9824, 10617,
10785, 11145, 11415, 11642,
11643
120.....12086
128.....12086
165.....8748, 8938, 9205, 10368,
10786, 11644, 11646, 11883,
12115, 12117, 12662, 12665,
13106, 13108, 13109
401.....12485
402.....12667
Proposed Rules:
100.....8955, 8957, 9454, 12303
117.....10648, 12305
147.....12144
165.....9245, 9247, 9249, 9252,
9456, 10419, 11649, 12307
34 CFR
230.....9207
Ch. VI.....10619
36 CFR
7.....8940
1258.....11145
Proposed Rules:
2.....8959
7.....11650
242.....12689
1007.....9459
1008.....9459
1009.....9459
1011.....9459
37 CFR
Proposed Rules:
201.....9824
38 CFR
9.....10622
17.....9208
36.....8945
42.....8945
39 CFR
111.....10624

265.....9433
3020.....10370
40 CFR
51.....10376, 12260
52.....8750, 8752, 8756, 9213,
9435, 9438, 10626, 10788,
10791, 10796, 11884, 11887,
12486, 12488, 12491, 12493,
12496, 12669, 12673, 12677
60.....10628
62.....11416, 11418, 13111
63.....9215, 12118
81.....8756, 10796
82.....9703
180.....8758, 9440, 9442, 9703,
11420, 12260, 12265, 12269
271.....10383
300.....12501
Proposed Rules:
52.....8814, 8818, 8822, 8961,
10650, 10652, 10813, 11155,
11927, 11933, 11944, 11946,
12514, 12516, 12522, 12694,
12905
61.....12917
62.....11652
63.....9254, 11314, 12917
81.....10814
174.....8827
180.....9471, 11448, 12311
257.....11584
260.....11654
261.....11654
264.....11654
265.....11654
268.....11654
270.....11654
273.....11654
42 CFR
Proposed Rules:
84.....12527
447.....12696
44 CFR
64.....10638
Proposed Rules:
9.....9473
45 CFR
Proposed Rules:
1355.....11449, 11450
46 CFR
4.....11889
47 CFR
10.....10800
15.....10640, 10641
25.....11146
54.....10800
64.....11422
73.....12274, 12680
74.....10640, 10641
Proposed Rules:
36.....10817
54.....8962, 11452
73.....8828, 12313
48 CFR
Appendix I to Ch. 2.....12681
211.....12681
213.....12681
219.....12681

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 242..... | 12681 | 870..... | 12922 | Ch. III Sub. Ch. B. | 12933 | 648 | 8764, 10803, 11146, |
| 245..... | 12681 | | | 1515..... | 11667 | | 11428, 12502, 12706, 12857 |
| 252..... | 12681 | 49 CFR | | 1520..... | 11667 | 660..... | 11146 |
| 752..... | 9712 | 225..... | 9219 | 1522..... | 11667 | 679 | 8768, 9235, 9236, 9713, |
| 816..... | 10643 | 395..... | 12685 | 1540..... | 11667 | | 10406, 10807, 11152, 11153, |
| 828..... | 10643, 10801 | 1102..... | 9222 | 1542..... | 11667 | | 11429, 11646, 12281, 13115 |
| 852..... | 10643 | Proposed Rules: | | 1544..... | 11667 | Proposed Rules: | |
| 1816..... | 13113 | 107..... | 12529 | 1550..... | 11667 | 17..... | 11162, 11453 |
| 1832..... | 13113 | 171..... | 12529 | | | 100..... | 12689 |
| 1852..... | 13113 | 172..... | 12529 | 50 CFR | | 218..... | 9366, 10954 |
| Proposed Rules: | | 173..... | 12529 | 91 | 12275 | 622..... | 11164, 12326 |
| 9..... | 12318 | 174..... | 12529 | 300 | 10390, 12113, 13080, | 635..... | 9255, 12332 |
| 801..... | 12922 | 177..... | 12529 | | 13090 | 648 | 11474, 11952, 12531, |
| 811..... | 12922 | 178..... | 12529 | 622..... | 12280, 12281 | | 12551 |
| 832..... | 12922 | 179..... | 12529 | 635 | 8946, 9232, 10802, | 679..... | 9257, 13117 |
| 852..... | 12922 | 180..... | 12529 | | 12141 | | |

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

This is a continuing list of public bills from the current session of Congress which have become Federal laws. This list is also available online at <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/laws>.

The text of laws is not published in the **Federal Register** but may be ordered in "slip law" (individual pamphlet) form from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (phone, 202-512-1808). The text will also be made available on the Internet from GPO's Federal Digital System (FDsys) at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys>. Some laws may not yet be available.

H.R. 1625/P.L. 115-141

Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018 (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 348)

H.R. 2254/P.L. 115-142

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2635 Napa Street in Vallejo, California, as the "Janet Capello Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1226)

H.R. 2302/P.L. 115-143

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service

located at 259 Nassau Street, Suite 2 in Princeton, New Jersey, as the "Dr. John F. Nash, Jr. Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1227)

H.R. 2464/P.L. 115-144

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 25 New Chardon Street Lobby in Boston, Massachusetts, as the "John Fitzgerald Kennedy Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1228)

H.R. 2672/P.L. 115-145

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 520 Carter Street in Fairview, Illinois, as the "Sgt. Douglas J. Riney Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1229)

H.R. 2815/P.L. 115-146

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 30 East Somerset Street in Raritan, New Jersey, as the "Gunnery Sergeant John Basilone Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1230)

H.R. 2873/P.L. 115-147

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 207 Glenside Avenue in Wyncote, Pennsylvania, as the "Staff Sergeant Peter Taub Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1231)

H.R. 3109/P.L. 115-148

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service

located at 1114 North 2nd Street in Chillicothe, Illinois, as the "Sr. Chief Ryan Owens Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1232)

H.R. 3369/P.L. 115-149

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 225 North Main Street in Spring Lake, North Carolina, as the "Howard B. Pate, Jr. Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1233)

H.R. 3638/P.L. 115-150

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1100 Kings Road in Jacksonville, Florida, as the "Rutledge Pearson Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1234)

H.R. 3655/P.L. 115-151

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1300 Main Street in Belmar, New Jersey, as the "Dr. Walter S. McAfee Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1235)

H.R. 3821/P.L. 115-152

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 430 Main Street in Clermont, Georgia, as the "Zack T. Addington Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1236)

H.R. 3893/P.L. 115-153

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 100 Mathe Avenue in Interlachen, Florida, as the

"Robert H. Jenkins, Jr. Post Office". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1237)

H.R. 4042/P.L. 115-154

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1415 West Oak Street, in Kissimmee, Florida, as the "Borinqueneers Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1238)

H.R. 4285/P.L. 115-155

To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 123 Bridgeton Pike in Mullica Hill, New Jersey, as the "James C. 'Billy' Johnson Post Office Building". (Mar. 23, 2018; 132 Stat. 1239)

Last List March 23, 2018

Public Laws Electronic Notification Service (PENS)

PENS is a free electronic mail notification service of newly enacted public laws. To subscribe, go to <http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html>

Note: This service is strictly for E-mail notification of new laws. The text of laws is not available through this service. **PENS** cannot respond to specific inquiries sent to this address.