

Plaza, SW., in the Benjamin Franklin Room.

**STATUS:** November 3—10:30 a.m. (Closed); 3 p.m. (Open).

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

**Monday, November 3—10:30 a.m. (Closed)**

1. Financial Transparency.
2. Strategic Planning.
3. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.

**Monday, November 3—3 p.m. (Open)**

1. Minutes of the Previous Meeting, October 2–3, 2003.
2. Remarks of the Postmaster General and CEO.
3. Quarterly Report on Service Performance.
4. Capital Investments.
  - a. Accounts Payable Replacement System.
  - b. 120 Automatic Flats Tray Lidders.
  - c. 2,014 Cargo Vans.
5. Tentative Agenda for the December 8–9, 2003, meeting in Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

William T. Johnstone, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260–1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

**William T. Johnstone,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03–27083 Filed 10–22–03; 3:58 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7710–12–M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meeting during the week of October 27, 2003:

An Open Meeting will be held on Wednesday, October 29, 2003 at 10 a.m., in Room 6600.

The subject matter of the Open Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, October 29, 2003 will be:

1. The Commission will hear oral argument on an appeal by the Division of Enforcement and the Office of the Chief Accountant (together, the "Division") from the decision of an administrative law judge in a proceeding brought against James Thomas McCurdy, a certified public accountant. The administrative law judge found that McCurdy did not engage in improper professional conduct within the meaning of Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice in connection with his audit of the financial statements of JWB Aggressive Growth Fund (the "Fund"), a registered investment

company, for the year ending December 31, 1998. The law judge found that McCurdy's audit of the Fund's financial statements was not performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards ("GAAS"), primarily because McCurdy failed to obtain sufficient competent evidence about the probable collectibility of a receivable that was recorded as an asset in the Fund's financial statements. The law judge also found that the record did not establish the charge that the Fund's financial statements were not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") because the Division did not establish that the receivable was not collectible. The law judge further found that McCurdy's professional conduct was neither reckless nor highly unreasonable and thus did not constitute a violation of Rule 102(e) as charged. The law judge therefore dismissed the charges against McCurdy.

Among the issues likely to be argued are:

1. whether McCurdy obtained sufficient competent evidence about the collectibility of the receivable.
2. whether the Fund's financial statements were in accordance with GAAP.
3. whether McCurdy's audit of the Fund's financial statements were in accordance with GAAS.
4. whether McCurdy's professional conduct was reckless or highly unreasonable.
5. if McCurdy's conduct was reckless or highly unreasonable, whether sanctions should be imposed in the public interest.

For further information, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 942–7070.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: The Office of the Secretary at (202) 942–7070.

Dated: October 21, 2003.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03–26972 Filed 10–21–03; 5:00 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8010–01–P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–48657; File No. SR–Amex–2003–87]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC Relating to Allocation and Performance Evaluation Procedures for Securities Admitted to Dealings on an Unlisted Basis

October 17, 2003.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on October 3, 2003 the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission.<sup>5</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange seeks a six-month extension of its allocations and performance evaluation procedures for securities admitted to dealings on an unlisted trading privileges ("UTP") basis to permit these programs to remain in effect while the Commission considers permanent approval of these procedures.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, its proposal and discussed any comments it received regarding the proposal. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to extend its specialist allocation and performance evaluation rules for securities admitted to dealings on a UTP basis to permit the Commission to consider the permanent approval of these rules. The Commission approved on a pilot basis, through two independent approval orders, the Exchange's specialist

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>5</sup> The Commission waived the five-day pre-filing notice requirement. See Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii). 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii). The Amex also asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay.