8100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138; telephone (801) 524–3781; facsimile (801) 524–3807; email at *gknowles@usbr.gov* at least five (5) days prior to the call. Any written comments received will be provided to the AMWG members.

Public Disclosure of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: March 30, 2015.

Glen Knowles,

Chief, Adaptive Management Group, Environmental Resources Division, Upper Colorado Regional Office, Salt Lake City, Utah.

[FR Doc. 2015–08923 Filed 4–16–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–459 and 731–TA–1155 (Review)]

Commodity Matchbooks From India; Determinations

On the basis of the record [1] developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (''Commission'') determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the countervailing duty order and antidumping duty order on commodity matchbooks from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on November 3, 2014 (79 FR 65186) and determined on February 6, 2015 that it would conduct expedited reviews (80 FR 9480, February 23, 2015).

The Commission completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on April 6, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4525 (April 2015), entitled *Commodity Matchbooks from India: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–459 and 731–TA–1155 (Review).*

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 2, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–08826 Filed 4–16–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 701–TA–530 (Preliminary)]

Supercalendered Paper From Canada; Determination

Determination

On the basis of the record [1] developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (''Commission'') determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act''), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of supercalendered paper, provided for in subheading 4802.61.30 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be subsidized by the government of Canada.[2]

Commencement of Final Phase Investigation

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigation. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (''Commerce'') of an affirmative preliminary determination in the investigation under section 703(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under section 705(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigation. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigation.

Background

On February 26, 2015, the Coalition for Fair Paper Imports, which is an ad hoc association of U.S. producers that includes Madison Paper Industries, Inc., Madison, ME and Verso Corp., Memphis, TN, filed a petition with the Commission and Commerce, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of subsidized imports of supercalendered paper from Canada. Accordingly, effective February 26, 2015, the Commission, pursuant to section 703(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a)), instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701–TA–530 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of March 5, 2015 (80 FR 12036). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on March 19, 2015, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 703(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on April 14, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4529 (April 2015), entitled *Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Investigation No. 701–TA–530 (Preliminary).*

By order of the Commission.

Dated: April 14, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–08882 Filed 4–16–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Meeting of the CJIS Advisory Policy Board

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Justice.

[1] The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

[1] The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

[2] Commissioner F. Scott Kieff did not participate in this investigation.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce the meeting of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Advisory Policy Board (APB). The CJIS APB is a federal advisory committee established pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). This meeting announcement is being published as required by section 10 of the FACA.

The FBI CJIS APB is responsible for reviewing policy issues and appropriate technical and operational issues related to the programs administered by the FBI's CJIS Division, and thereafter, making appropriate recommendations to the FBI Director. The programs administered by the CJIS Division are the Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System/Next Generation Identification, Interstate Identification Index, Law Enforcement Enterprise Portal, National Crime Information Center, National Instant Criminal Background Check System, National Incident-Based Reporting System, National Data Exchange, and Uniform Crime Reporting.

This meeting is open to the public. All attendees will be required to check-in at the meeting registration desk. Registrations will be accepted on a space available basis. Interested persons whose registrations have been accepted may be permitted to participate in the discussions at the discretion of the meeting chairman and with approval of the Designated Federal Officer (DFO). Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Board. Written comments shall be focused on the APB's current issues under discussion and may not be repetitive of previously submitted written statements. Written comments should be provided to Mr. R. Scott Trent, DFO, at least seven (7) days in advance of the meeting so that the comments may be made available to the APB for their consideration prior to the meeting.

Anyone requiring special accommodations should notify Mr. Trent at least seven (7) days in advance of the meeting.

DATES: *Dates and Times:* The APB will meet in open session from 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m., on June 3–4, 2015.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at The Hyatt Regency Orange County, 11999 Harbor Boulevard, Garden Grove, California 92840, telephone (714) 750–1234.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquiries may be addressed to Ms. Kimberly S. Parsons; Management and Program Analyst; CJIS Training and Advisory Process Unit, Resources Management Section; FBI CJIS Division, Module C2, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306–0149; telephone (304) 625–2404, facsimile (304) 625–5090.

Dated: April 13, 2015.

R. Scott Trent,

CJIS Designated Federal Officer, Criminal Justice Information, Services Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

[FR Doc. 2015–08919 Filed 4–16–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On April 10, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island in the consolidated lawsuit entitled *Emhart Industries, Inc.* v. *New England Container Co., Inc.,* Civil Action No. 06–218–S.

The Consent Decree resolves claims alleged by the United States on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (''EPA'') against New England Container Co., Inc. (''NECC'') pursuant to section 107 and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (''CERCLA''), 42 U.S.C. 9607. The United States' cross claim seeks reimbursement and contribution of response costs incurred and to be incurred for response actions taken and to be taken at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Centredale Manor Restoration Project Superfund Site in North Providence, Rhode Island (''Site'').

Under the proposed Consent Decree, NECC, a former operator of the Site, will pay $8.75 million in partial reimbursement of EPA's past response costs. This amount was determined based on an analysis of NECC's ability to pay, and is being funded by proceeds from certain historic insurance policies.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *Emhart Industries, Inc.* v. *New England Container Co., Inc.,* D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–07101/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	*Send them to:*
By email	*pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.*
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may also be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: *http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html.* We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $5.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is $4.00.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2015–08844 Filed 4–16–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Notice of Proposed Revisions for the LSC Grant Assurances for Calendar Year 2016 Funding

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of proposed changes and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Legal Services Corporation (''LSC'') intends to revise the LSC Grant Assurances for calendar year 2016 funding and is soliciting public comment on the proposed changes. The proposed revisions affect Grant Assurances 2, 14, 16, and 17. In addition, LSC is proposing one new Grant Assurance, which requires LSC recipients to have a whistleblower protection policy and a conflicts of interest policy. The proposed LSC grant assurances for calendar year 2016 funding, in redline format indicating the proposed changes to the current ''LSC 2015 Grant Assurances,'' are available at *http://grants.lsc.gov/sites/default/files/Grants/ReferenceMaterials/2016-GrantAssurances-Proposed.pdf.*