

liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>22</sup> We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate.

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (“NME”) cases.<sup>23</sup> Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during the administrative review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>24</sup>

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For any companies listed that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter.

<sup>22</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>23</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>24</sup> *Id.*

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: April 30, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I—Companies Subject to the Administrative Review That Are Preliminarily Determined To Be Part of the PRC-Wide Entity

Fastco (Shanghai) Trading Co., Ltd.  
Gem-Year Industrial Co., Ltd.  
Haiyan Dayu Fasteners Co., Ltd.  
Jiaxing Brother Standard Part Co., Ltd., IFI & Morgan Ltd. and RMB Fasteners Ltd. (collectively “RMB/IFI Group”)  
Jiaxing Brother Standard Part.  
Midas Union Co., Ltd.  
New Pole Power System Co. Ltd.  
Shanghai P&J International Trading Co., Ltd.  
Zhejiang Morgan Brother Technology Co. Ltd.

#### Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

##### Summary

1. Background
2. Verification
3. Respondent Selection
4. Scope of the Order
5. Questionnaires
6. Non-Market Economy Country
7. PRC-Wide Entity
8. Separate Rates
9. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
10. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2015–11082 Filed 5–6–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–549–821]

#### Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Review in Part; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand.<sup>1</sup> This review covers 33 companies. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014. We preliminarily find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value by the one company subject to this review, Beyond Packaging Co., Ltd. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 7, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dmitry Vladimirov or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665 and 202–482–1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is polyethylene retail carrier bags, which are currently classified under subheading 3923.21.0085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> The written description is dispositive.

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 69 FR 48204 (August 9, 2004) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the 2013/2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice.

### Rescission of Review in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Except for Beyond Packaging Co., Ltd. (Beyond Packaging), the petitioners<sup>3</sup> withdrew their request for an administrative review of the remaining 32 companies identified in the *Initiation Notice*<sup>4</sup> within the 90-day period.<sup>5</sup> The petitioners were the only party to request a review of these companies. Accordingly, we are rescinding this administrative review, in part, with respect to these companies in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

### Methodology

In accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we relied on facts available with an adverse inference with respect to Beyond Packaging, the sole company selected for individual examination and sole company in this review. Thus, we preliminarily assigned a rate of 122.88 percent as the weighted-average dumping margin for Beyond Packaging. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included in Appendix I attached to

<sup>3</sup> Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bag Committee and its individual members, Hilex Poly Co., LLC, and Superbag Corporation (collectively, the petitioners).

<sup>4</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 79 FR 58729 (September 30, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*). The *Initiation Notice* incorrectly lists one of the companies as 2PK Inetplas Co., Ltd., instead of 2PK Interplas Co., Ltd. This error was corrected in *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 79 FR 64565 (October 30, 2014).

<sup>5</sup> See letter from King & Spalding LLP on behalf of the petitioners entitled "Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review" dated December 16, 2014.

this notice. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin on PRCBs from Thailand exists for the period August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014, at the following rate:

Company	Rate (percent)
Beyond Packaging Co., Ltd .....	122.88

### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>6</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>7</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>8</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs.

When submitting a document to the Department via the Department's electronic records system, ACCESS, the document must be received successfully in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the date on which it is due.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department

shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For the final results, if we continue to rely on adverse facts available to establish Beyond Packaging's weighted-average dumping margin, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 122.88 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Beyond Packaging.

For the companies for which the review is rescinded, the antidumping duty shall be assessed at the rate equal to the cash deposit of the estimated antidumping duty required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2). We will instruct CBP accordingly.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PRCBs from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Beyond Packaging will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash deposit rate will be 4.69 percent.<sup>9</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

<sup>9</sup> See *Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 75 FR 48940 (August 12, 2010).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

## Notifications to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

## Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 1, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- A. Summary
- B. Background
- C. Scope of the Order
- D. Rescission of Review in Part
- E. Discussion of the Methodology
  - 1. Use of Facts Otherwise Available
    - a. Use of Facts Available
    - b. Application of Facts Available With an Adverse Inference
    - c. Selection and Corroboration of Information Used as Facts Available
  - 2. Duty Absorption
- F. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-11087 Filed 5-6-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-984]

### Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, Rescission in Part, and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part; 2012-2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on drawn stainless steel sinks (sinks) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is August 6, 2012, through December 31, 2013. We preliminarily find that Guangdong Dongyuan Kitchenware Industrial Co., Ltd. (Dongyuan) received

countervailable subsidies during the POR. We are rescinding the review with respect to Foshan Zhaoshun Trade Co., Ltd. (Zhaoshun), Zhongshan Superte Kitchenware Co., Ltd. (Superte), Zhongshan Newecan Enterprise Development Corporation Limited (Newecan), Zhongshan Silk Imp. & Exp. Group Co., Ltd. of Guangdong (Zhongshan Silk). Further, we preliminarily find that Shunde Native Produce Import and Export Co., Ltd. of Guangdong (Native Produce) did not have any reviewable entries during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 7, 2015.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Meek or Joshua Morris, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2778 and (202) 482-1779, respectively.

#### Scope of the Order

Drawn stainless steel sinks are sinks with single or multiple drawn bowls, with or without drain boards, whether finished or unfinished, regardless of type of finish, gauge, or grade of sinks. The products covered by this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under statistical reporting number 7324.10.0000. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China" dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided as Appendix I to this Notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the

main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each program found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>1</sup>

In making the preliminary findings, we relied, in part, on facts available and, because the Government of the PRC did not act to the best of its ability to respond to the Department's requests for information, we applied an adverse inference in selecting from among the facts otherwise available.<sup>2</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

#### Partial Rescission

As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, the companies Zhaoshun, Superte, Newecan, and Zhongshan Silk timely withdrew their requests for administrative review of themselves.<sup>3</sup> No other parties requested reviews of these companies. The Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), is therefore rescinding this administrative review with respect to Zhaoshun, Superte, Newecan, and Zhongshan Silk.<sup>4</sup>

#### Preliminary Determination of No Shipments and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part

Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information and information provided by Native Produce, we preliminarily determine that Native Produce did not

<sup>1</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

<sup>2</sup> See sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act. For further information, *see* "Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences" in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>3</sup> As noted in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Native Produce also submitted a withdrawal of its request for review. It did so, however, after the 90-day deadline pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) and was, therefore, untimely.

<sup>4</sup> See Appendix II for the full list of companies for which this review is being rescinded.