

Mariners to grant general permission to enter the respective safety zone.

Dated: June 25, 2015.

B.W. Roche,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Buffalo.

[FR Doc. 2015-16743 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2015-0570]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; 520 Bridge Construction, Lake Washington; Seattle, WA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on Lake Washington around the east span of the 520 Bridge in Seattle, Washington due to ongoing construction. The safety zone is necessary to ensure the safety of the maritime public and workers involved in the bridge construction when construction barges are located in the east span of the bridge. The safety zone will prohibit any person or vessel from entering or remaining in the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his Designated Representative.

DATES: This rule is effective without actual notice from July 8, 2015 through September 4, 2015. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from June 22, 2015 until July 8, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket [USCG-2015-0570] to view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type the docket number in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email Ryan Griffin, Waterways Management Division, Coast Guard Sector Puget Sound; telephone (206)

217-6051, email

SectorPugetSoundWWM@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Barbara Hairston, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Acronyms

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

A. Regulatory History and Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because publishing an NPRM would be impracticable, as delayed promulgation to accommodate a notice and comment period would endanger the safety of the maritime public and workers involved in the bridge construction.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date until 30 days after publication would be impracticable, as doing so would endanger the safety of the maritime public and workers involved in the bridge construction.

B. Basis and Purpose

The 520 Bridge is the longest floating bridge in the world with a span of 1.4 miles across Lake Washington supported by 33 pontoons. The 520 Bridge is being replaced in order to upgrade the bridges floating pontoons for larger ones. During the bridge replacement project the east span on the 520 Bridge will at times require construction barges to block the waterway that runs beneath that span of the bridge. As a result, the Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone to ensure the safety of the maritime public and workers involved in the bridge construction when the east span is being used by construction barges.

C. Discussion of the Final Rule

The safety zone established in this rule encompasses all waters within 100 yards of the east span of the 520 Bridge, located on Lake Washington and is effective from June 22, 2015, through September 4, 2015, when a construction barge is present in the safety zone. Vessels wishing to enter the safety zone must request permission to do so from the Captain of the Port by contacting the Joint Harbor Operations Center at 206-217-6001 or VHF Channel 16. If permission for entry is granted, vessels must proceed at a minimum speed for safe navigation.

D. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes and executive orders.

1. Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563, Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of Executive Order 12866 or under section 1 of Executive Order 13563. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under those Orders. This rule is not a significant regulatory action as the safety zone established by it is both limited in size and duration and there is an alternative route for vessels with an air draft that permits safe passage under the west span of the bridge.

2. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601-612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the affected waterway during the time the safety zone is in effect. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact

on a substantial number of small entities, however, because the zone established in this rule is limited in size and duration and there is an alternative route for vessels with an air draft that permits safe passage under the west span of the bridge.

3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, above.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

4. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

5. Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism.

6. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

8. Taking of Private Property

This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

9. Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects

This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security

Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule establishes a temporary safety zone and is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and Recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T13–290 to read as follows:

§ 165.T13–290 Safety Zone; 520 Bridge, Lake Washington; Seattle, WA.

(a) *Location.* The following area is designated as a safety zone: all waters within 100 yards of the east span of the 520 Bridge located on Lake Washington in Seattle, Washington.

(b) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR part 165, subpart C, no person may enter the safety zone or bring or cause to be brought any vessel into the safety zone without permission of the Captain of the Port. Persons wishing to enter the safety zone must request permission from the Captain of the Port by contacting the Joint Harbor Operation Center at 206–217–6001 or VHF Channel 16. If permission for entry is granted, vessels must proceed at a minimum speed for safe navigation.

(c) *Dates.* This rule is effective from June 22, 2015 through September 4, 2015 when a construction barge is present inside the safety zone.

Dated: June 19, 2015.

M. W. Raymond,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Puget Sound.

[FR Doc. 2015-16701 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2015-0438]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zones; Marine Events Held in the Sector Long Island Sound Captain of the Port Zone

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing thirteen safety zones for fireworks displays within the Coast Guard Sector Long Island Sound (LIS) Captain of the Port (COTP) Zone. This temporary final rule is necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during these events. Entry into, transit through, mooring or anchoring within these safety zones is prohibited unless authorized by COTP Sector Long Island Sound.

DATES: This rule is effective without actual notice from 12:01 a.m. on July 8, 2015 until 10:30 p.m. on August 1, 2015. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from the date the rule was signed, June 17, 2015, until July 8, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket [USCG-2015-0438]. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type the docket number in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, contact Petty Officer Ian Fallon, Prevention Department, Coast Guard Sector Long Island Sound, telephone (203) 468-4565, email Ian.M.Fallon@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call

Cheryl Collins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Acronyms

COTP Captain of the Port
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

A. Regulatory History and Information

This rulemaking establishes thirteen safety zones for thirteen fireworks displays. Each event and its corresponding regulatory history are discussed below.

Barnum Festival, LLC (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Barnum Festival, LLC fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 6.1. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite date and location.

Salute to Veterans (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Salute to Veterans fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 6.4. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite date and location.

City of Stamford (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the City of Stamford fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.12. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite date.

Freeport Chamber of Commerce (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for Freeport Chamber of Commerce fireworks display when the Coast Guard issued a temporary rule entitled, "Safety Zone; Freeport Chamber of Commerce Fireworks Display; South Bay; Freeport, NY". This rulemaking was published on June 27, 2014 in the **Federal Register** (79 FR 36412).

City of Norwich (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the City of Norwich fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.11. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite date and location.

Go 4th Connetquot (fireworks): This event was previously named Connetquot River Summer Fireworks. A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Connetquot River Summer Fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.42. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite name and location.

Madison Fireworks Organization (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Madison Fireworks Organization fireworks

display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.38. This event has been included in this rule due from the cite date and location.

City of Middletown (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the City of Middletown fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.9. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite date and location.

Fairfield Independence Day Celebration (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Fairfield Independence Day Celebration fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.16. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite date and location.

City of West Haven: A safety zone was established in 2014 for the City of West Haven Fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.13. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite location.

Village of Port Jefferson Independence Day Celebration (fireworks): This event was previously named Village of Port Jefferson Fourth of July Celebration Fireworks. A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Village of Port Jefferson Fourth of July Celebration Fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.25. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite name and location.

Shelter Island (fireworks): A safety zone was established in 2014 for the Shelter Island fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.30. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite location.

Sebonack Golf Club (fireworks): This event was previously named National Golf Links Fireworks. A safety zone was established in 2014 for the National Golf Links Fireworks display by enforcing 33 CFR 165.151, Table 1, 7.44. This event has been included in this rule due to deviation from the cite name, date and location.

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM with respect to this rule because