

elements to be reported using codes if the information necessary to interpret such codes is widely available to users on a non-fee basis. Notwithstanding this requirement, DDR has proposed to rely on proprietary classification systems such as CUSIP numbers, ISIN codes, and/or Markit RED codes to identify specific securities, reference entities, or reference obligations, which may subject market participants to fees and usage restrictions in contravention of Rule 903(b). Please provide your views as to whether the approach proposed by DDR would be an appropriate means of reporting that information, or whether use of those proprietary classification systems would unduly increase the cost of compliance with reporting information pursuant to Regulation SBSR or impair access to publicly disseminated data.

10. Rule 901(d)(5) of Regulation SBSR requires reporting sides to report any additional data elements included in the agreement between the counterparties that are necessary to determine the market value of the transaction, to the extent not already provided. Please provide your views as to whether DDR has sufficiently explained how Users can satisfy this requirement and whether DDR's policies and procedures should include specific data categories necessary to determine the market value of a custom basket of securities that underlie an SBS (e.g. components and risk weights of the basket). What, if any, changes should be made? Why?

11. Rule 901(e) of Regulation SBSR requires reporting sides to report life cycle events, and any adjustments due to life cycle events that results in a change to previously reported primary or secondary trade information. Please provide your views as to whether DDR has provided sufficient information in its Amended Form SDR to explain how a User would report life cycle events under Rule 901(e) of Regulation SBSR. Please describe any additional information that you feel is necessary. In addition, do commenters believe that DDR has provided sufficient information distinguishing the process of reporting of a life cycle event from the reporting of a correction to erroneous trade information? What changes, if any, should be made?

12. Rule 907(a)(4) of Regulation SBSR requires an SDR to have policies and procedures for identifying and establishing flags to denote characteristics or circumstances associated with the execution or reporting of an SBS that could, in the SDR's reasonable estimation, cause a person without knowledge of these characteristic(s) or circumstance(s), to receive a distorted view of the market, and for applying and directing users to apply such flags, as applicable. Please provide your views as to whether DDR's revised policies and procedures for developing condition flags as required by Rule 907(a)(4) of Regulation SBSR are consistent with the goal of preventing market participants from receiving a distorted view of the market. Are there additional condition flags that you believe DDR should establish? If so, please describe such condition flags and explain why you believe that they are appropriate under Rule 907(a)(4).

13. Please provide your views on whether DDR's proposed methodology regarding the processing of cleared trades is sufficient to prevent market participants from receiving a distorted view of the market in all cases. In particular, please provide your views as to whether DDR's process of only accepting clearing agency acceptance and rejection messages in the event that DDR receives such messages prior to the receipt of the corresponding alpha trade report from the reporting side is likely to present problems with alpha transactions lacking a corresponding disposition message. How, if at all, would this impact the completeness and accuracy of the SBS transaction data and positions?

14. Rule 903(a) of Regulation SBSR provides, in relevant part, that if no system has been recognized by the Commission, or a recognized system has not assigned a UIC to a particular person, unit of a person, or product, the registered SDR shall assign a UIC to that person, unit of person, or product using its own methodology. Please provide your views as to whether the revised approach regarding UICs as described in DDR's Amended Form SDR is appropriate in light of the requirements of Rule 903(a) of Regulation SBSR. Why or why not? In particular, please provide your views concerning the approach proposed by DDR for the creation and use of transaction IDs consistent with the CPMI-IOSCO guidance for a global unique transaction identifier. How, if at all, should this methodology be changed?

15. Rule 906(a) of Regulation SBSR requires an SDR to send a daily report to each participant of that SDR (or the participant's execution agent), identifying, for each SBS to which that participant is a counterparty, any SBS for which the SDR lacks required UIC information. Please provide your views as to whether DDR's revised policies and procedures for satisfying the requirements of Rule 906(a) are appropriate. Why or why not? What changes, if any, should be made?

16. Rule 907 of Regulation SBSR generally requires that an SDR have policies and procedures with respect to the reporting and dissemination of data. Please provide your views as to whether DDR has provided sufficient information in its Amended Form SDR (including through the publication of its new Exhibit GG7) to explain the manner in which DDR intends to publicly disseminate SBS transaction information under Rule 902 of Regulation SBSR. If not, what additional information do you think that DDR should provide about how it intends to effect public dissemination of SBS transactions?

17. Please provide your views as to whether DDR's Amended Form SDR includes sufficient information about how an agent could report SBS transaction information to DDR on behalf of a principal (i.e., a person who has a duty under Regulation SBSR to report). Why or why not? If not, please describe any additional information that you believe is necessary.

18. Please provide your views about DDR's policies and procedures for contacting counterparties who are not Users. What changes, if any, should be made?

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SBSDR-2016-02 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SBSDR-2016-02.

To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method of submission. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/other.shtml>).

Copies of the Form SDR, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Form SDR that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Form SDR between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SBSDR-2016-02 and should be submitted on or before August 30, 2017.

By the Commission.

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-16715 Filed 8-8-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Disaster Declaration #15228 and #15229; Michigan Disaster Number MI-00058]

Presidential Declaration of a Major Disaster for the State of Michigan

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This is a Notice of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for the State of Michigan (FEMA-4326-DR), dated 08/02/2017.

DATES: Issued on 08/02/2017.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 10/02/2017.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 05/02/2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW., Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that as a result of the President's major disaster declaration on 08/02/2017, applications for disaster loans may be filed at the address listed above or other locally announced locations.

Incident: Severe Storms and Flooding.
Incident Period: 06/22/2017 through 06/27/2017.

The following areas have been determined to be adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties (Physical Damage and Economic Injury Loans): Bay, Gladwin, Isabella, Midland, and the Saginaw Chippewa Tribe within Isabella County.

Contiguous Counties (Economic Injury Loans Only):

Michigan: Arenac, Clare, Gratiot, Mecosta, Montcalm, Ogemaw, Osceola, Roscommon, Saginaw, Tuscola.

The Interest Rates are:

	Percent
<i>For Physical Damage:</i>	
Homeowners with Credit Available Elsewhere	3.875
Homeowners without Credit Available Elsewhere	1.938
Businesses with Credit Available Elsewhere	6.430
Businesses without Credit Available Elsewhere	3.215
Non-Profit Organizations with Credit Available Elsewhere ...	2.500
Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.500
<i>For Economic Injury:</i>	
Businesses & Small Agricultural Cooperatives without Credit Available Elsewhere	3.215
Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.500

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 152286 and for economic injury is 152290.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

Cynthia G. Pitts,

Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2017-16717 Filed 8-8-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 10080]

Notice of Public Meeting

The Department of State will conduct an open meeting at 10:30 a.m. on Thursday, September 14, 2017, in U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. SE., Stop 7509, Washington, DC 20593-7509, Room 5Y23-21. The primary purpose of the meeting is to prepare for the fourth Session of the International Maritime Organization's (IMO) Sub-Committee on Implementation of IMO Instruments (III 4) to be held at the IMO Headquarters, London, United Kingdom, on September 25-29, 2017.

The agenda items to be considered include:

- Decisions of other IMO bodies;
- Consideration and analysis of reports on alleged inadequacy of port reception facilities;
- Lessons learned and safety issues identified from the analysis of marine safety investigation reports;
- Measures to harmonize port state control (PSC) activities and procedures worldwide;
- Identified issues related to the implementation of IMO instruments from the analysis of PSC data;
- Analysis of consolidated audit summary reports;
- Updated survey guidelines under the Harmonized System of Survey and Certification (HSSC);
- Non-exhaustive list of obligations under the instruments relevant to the IMO Instruments Implementation Code (III Code);
- Unified interpretation of provisions of IMO safety, security, and environment related conventions; and
- Review the Model Agreement for the authorization of recognized organizations acting on behalf of the Administration.

Members of the public may attend this meeting up to the seating capacity of the room. Upon request to the meeting coordinator, members of the public may also participate via

teleconference, up to the capacity of the teleconference phone line. Please contact the meeting coordinator for additional details if you plan to participate by phone. In order to ensure reasonable accommodation for the full number of meeting participants, those who plan to attend should contact the meeting coordinator, Mr. Chris Gagnon, by email at *Christopher.J.Gagnon@uscg.mil*, by phone at (202) 372-1231, or in writing at 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. SE., Stop 7509, Washington, DC 20593-7509 not later than September 8, 2017. Requests made after September 8, 2017 might not be able to be accommodated. Please note that due to security considerations, two valid, government issued photo identifications must be presented to gain entrance to the Coast Guard Headquarters building. It is recommended that attendees arrive to the Headquarters building no later than 30 minutes ahead of the scheduled meeting for the security screening process.

The Headquarters building is accessible by taxi and public transportation. Parking in the vicinity of the building is extremely limited and not guaranteed.

Joel C. Coito,

Coast Guard Liaison Officer, Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2017-16768 Filed 8-8-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-09-P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. EP 670 (Sub-No. 2)]

Notice of Rail Energy Transportation Advisory Committee Vacancy

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice of vacancies on Federal advisory committee and solicitation of nominations.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (Board) hereby gives notice of two vacancies on its Rail Energy Transportation Advisory Committee (RETAC) for (1) a representative from biofuel feedstock growers or providers and biofuel refiners, processors, and distributors, and (2) an "at large" representative with relevant experience in the transportation of energy resources. The Board is soliciting suggestions from the public for candidates to fill these two vacancies.

DATES: Suggestions for candidates for either RETAC membership position are due August 30, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Suggestions may be submitted either via the Board's e-filing