Type of Review: Extension to a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Rehabilitation Plan and Award.

Agency Form Number: OWCP–16.

OMB Control Number: 1240–0045.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; businesses or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3176.

Frequency: On occasion.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 3176.

Estimated Average Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1588.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)

Anjanette Suggs,

Agency Clearance Officer, Office if Workers' Compensation Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2020–15833 Filed 7–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–CH–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts

60-Day Notice for the "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery"

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts.

ACTION: Notice of proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Currently, the NEA is soliciting comments concerning the proposed information collection for Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below within 60 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Email comments to: Sunil Iyengar, National Endowment for the Arts, at *research@arts.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

OMB Number: 3135–0130.

Abstract: The proposed information collection activity provides a means to garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the Administration's commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

The solicitation of feedback will target areas such as: timeliness, appropriateness, accuracy of information, courtesy, efficiency of service delivery, and resolution of issues with service delivery. Responses will be assessed to plan and inform efforts to improve or maintain the quality of service offered to the public. If this information is not collected, vital feedback from customers and stakeholders on the Agency's services will be unavailable.

The Agency will only submit a collection for approval under this generic clearance if it meets the following conditions:

- The collections are voluntary;
- The collections are low-burden for respondents (based on considerations of total burden hours, total number of respondents, or burden-hours per respondent) and are low-cost for both the respondents and the Federal Government;
- The collections are non-controversial and do not raise issues of concern to other Federal agencies;
- Any collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have experience with the program or may have experience with the program in the near future;
- Personally identifiable information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary and is not retained;
- Information gathered is used only internally for general service improvement and program management purposes and is not intended for release outside of the agency;
- Information gathered is not used for the purpose of substantially informing influential policy decisions; and
- Information gathered yields qualitative information; the collections are not designed or expected to yield statistically reliable results or used as though the results are generalizable to the population of study.

Feedback collected under this generic clearance provides useful information, but it does not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior to fielding the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic mechanisms that are designed to yield quantitative results.

As a general matter, information collections will not result in any new system of records containing privacy information and will not ask questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

Current Actions: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Type of Review: Regular.

Affected Public: Individuals and Households; Businesses and Organizations; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 7,950.

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: 4.

Average Number of Respondents per Activity: 883.

Annual Responses: 2,650.

Frequency of Response: Once per request.

Average minutes per response: 16.

Average Expected Annual Burden hours: 726.5.

Request for Comments: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: 1. Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; 2. The accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; 3. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; 4. Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and 5. Estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: July 16, 2020.

Jillian Miller,

Director of Guidelines & Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.

[FR Doc. 2020–15787 Filed 7–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Permits Issued Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of permits issued.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nature McGinn, ACA Permit Officer, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314; 703–292–8030; email: *ACApermits@nsf.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 18, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. The permits were issued on the following dates:

1. Leidos Innovations Corp., Permit No. 2020–004, November 8, 2019
2. John Kennedy, Permit No. 2020–006, November 9, 2019
3. Robin West, Permit No. 2020–009, November 9, 2019

On September 18, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. The permits were issued on the following dates:

1. Grant Ballard, Permit No. 2020–005, November 9, 2019
2. Peter West, Permit No. 2020–007, November 9, 2019
3. Robert Sanders, Permit No. 2020–008, November 9, 2019

On September 20, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on the following date:

1. Orla Doherty, Permit No. 2020–012, November 4, 2019

On October 10, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on the following date:

1. Lee Welhouse, Permit No. 2020–014, November 12, 2019

On October 22, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on the following date:

1. Ari Friedlaender, Permit No. 2020–016, December 20, 2019

On November 12, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. The permits were issued on the following dates:

1. Heather J. Lynch, Permit No. 2020–010, December 20, 2019
2. Joseph A. Covi, Permit No. 2020–017, December 12, 2019
3. Zicheng Yu, Permit No. 2020–018, January 27, 2020

On November 19, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. The permits were issued on the following dates:

1. Heather J. Lynch, Permit No. 2020–011, December 20, 2019
2. Bob Simpson, Permit No. 2020–019, December 20, 2019
3. Lisa Bolton, Permit No. 2020–020, December 20, 2019

On December 3, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. The permits were issued on the following dates:

1. Nicholas Teets, Permit No. 2020–013, January 2, 2020
2. Daniel P. Zitterbart, Permit No. 2020–021, January 2, 2020

On December 5, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. The permits were issued on the following dates:

1. Chris Eckstrom, Permit No. 2020–022, January 8, 2020
2. David Lloyd, Permit No. 2020–024, January 9, 2020

On December 12, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on the following date:

1. Red Rock Films, Permit No. 2020–023, January 13, 2020

On December 27, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on the following date:

1. William Muntean, Permit No. 2020–025, January 27, 2020

On December 30, 2019, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit application received. The permit was issued on the following date:

1. Brandon Savory, Permit No. 2020–026, January 30, 2020

Erika N. Davis,

Program Specialist, Office of Polar Programs.

[FR Doc. 2020–15883 Filed 7–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 72–1031, 50–369, and 50–370; NRC–2020–0044]

Duke Energy Carolinas LLC; McGuire Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2; Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering an exemption request from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy) which would permit Duke Energy to maintain MAGNASTOR® Cask 0FCTKN045 at its McGuire Nuclear Station independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) in a storage condition where the helium density is above the range specified in Certificate of Compliance No. 1031, Amendment No. 7, Technical Specification 3.1.1. The NRC prepared an environmental assessment (EA) documenting its finding that the proposed action would have no significant environmental impact. Accordingly, the NRC staff is issuing a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) associated with the proposed exemption.