

NMFS announces public hearing webinars for the Amendment 13 proposed rule and DEIS. The proposed rule and a Notice of Availability of the DEIS published in separate **Federal Register** documents. The proposed rule published on May 21, 2021 (86 FR 27686) with a 60-day comment period, ending July 20, 2021. The proposed measures are as listed in the summary. The specifics of the proposed management measures are provided in the proposed rule and DEIS and are not repeated here. Until the proposed rule

and environmental analyses are finalized or until other regulations are put into place, the current regulations remain in effect.

NMFS encourages participation by all people affected by or otherwise interested in recreational and commercial HMS fishing to participate in the public hearing webinars, at which NMFS will provide information on the proposed management measures and take questions and comments from the public. Participants are encouraged to log on and/or call into the public

webinars, at the dates and times listed in Table 1 below. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Tom Warren or Carrie Soltanoff, at least 7 days prior to the meeting.

In addition to the dates and times in Table 1, NMFS has requested time on the agenda at the five Atlantic based Fishery Management Council meetings. If added to the Council agendas, the dates and times would be included in the agenda for those individual meetings and are not provided here.

TABLE 1—DATES, TIMES, AND DETAILS OF THE PUBLIC HEARING WEBINARS

Date	Time	Details on how to join the webinar: see website
June 8, 2021	2 to 4 p.m	https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/amendment-13-2006-consolidated-hms-fishery-management-plan-bluefin-management-measures .
July 8, 2021	2 to 4 p.m	https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/amendment-13-2006-consolidated-hms-fishery-management-plan-bluefin-management-measures .
July 14, 2021	2 to 4 p.m	https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/amendment-13-2006-consolidated-hms-fishery-management-plan-bluefin-management-measures .

The public is reminded that NMFS expects participants at the public hearing webinars to conduct themselves appropriately. At the beginning of each webinar, a representative of NMFS will explain the ground rules (e.g., attendees will be called to give their comments in the order in which they registered to speak; each attendee will have an equal amount of time to speak; and attendees should not interrupt one another). The NMFS representative will attempt to structure the webinar so that all attending members of the public will be able to comment, if they so choose. Attendees are expected to respect the ground rules, and, if they do not, they may be asked to leave the webinar.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: June 1, 2021.

Jennifer M. Wallace,
Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. 2021-11833 Filed 6-4-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2020-0019]

Notice of Availability of Regulatory Flexibility Act Section 610 Review of the Safety Standards for the Testing and Labeling Regulations Pertaining to Product Certification of Children’s Products, Including Reliance on Component Part Testing

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) is announcing the availability of a completed rule review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) for the Testing and Labeling Regulations Pertaining to Product Certification of Children’s Products, Including Reliance on Component Part Testing. This regulatory review concludes that the testing and component part testing regulations should be maintained without change.

ADDRESSES: The completed review is available on the CPSC website at: https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Regulatory-Flexibility-Act-Review-of-Testing-and-Labeling-Regulations.pdf?rIp6oVfT143VJ29wBQgMbQ1c_R2jq39w. The completed review will also be made available through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <https://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No. CPSC-2020-0019, Supporting and Related Materials. Copies may also be obtained from the Consumer Product

Safety Commission, Division of the Secretariat, Room 820, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: 301-504-7479; email cpsc-os@cpsc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Proper, Directorate for Economic Analysis, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504-7628; email: sproper@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In November 2011, the Commission issued two regulations related to testing: 16 CFR part 1107, “Testing and Labeling Pertaining to Product Certification” (testing regulation or part 1107) (76 FR 69482, November 8, 2011), and 16 CFR part 1109, “Conditions and Requirements for Relying on Component Part Testing or Certification, or Another Party’s Finished Product Certification, to Meet Testing and Certification Requirements” (component part regulation or part 1109) (76 FR 69546, November 8, 2011). When parts 1107 and 1109 were promulgated in 2011, the final regulatory flexibility analysis found that the third party testing requirements in part 1107 would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. In contrast, the final regulatory flexibility analysis for the component part regulation in part 1109 found that the regulation would not likely have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because component part testing is not mandatory. However, OMB determined that both 1107 and 1109 were

considered “major rules” under the Congressional Review Act (CRA).¹

On August 24, 2020, the Commission published notice in the **Federal Register** (85 FR 52078) to announce that the CPSC would review the testing and component part testing regulations in accordance with the regulatory review provisions of section 610 of the RFA (5 U.S.C. 610). The CPSC sought public comment on the rule review. This document announces the availability of the completed regulatory review under section 610 of the testing and component part testing regulations.

The purpose of a rule review under section 610 of the RFA is to determine whether, consistent with the CPSC’s statutory obligations, these standards should be maintained without change, rescinded, or modified to minimize any significant impact of the rule on a substantial number of small entities. Section 610 requires agencies to consider five factors in reviewing rules to minimize any significant economic impact of the rule on a substantial number of small entities including:

- (1) The continued need for the rule;
- (2) The nature of complaints or comments received concerning the rule from the public;
- (3) The complexity of the rule;
- (4) The extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates or conflicts with other Federal rules, and, to the extent feasible, with State and local governmental rules; and
- (5) The length of time since the rule has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the rule. 5 U.S.C. 610(b).

The CPSC received five written comments. The comments came from (1) a small business that sells handmade items; (2) a small business that sells wooden toys and gifts; (3) a small importer of European toys; (4) the American Apparel & Footwear Association (AAFA); and (5) the Juvenile Products Manufacturers Association (JPMA). Staff’s briefing package reviews all of the comments and provides staff’s analysis applying the factors listed in section 610 of the RFA to the testing and component part testing regulations. As explained in the

staff’s briefing package, CPSC staff concludes that the testing and component part testing regulations should be retained without any changes.

The staff review is available on the CPSC’s website at: https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Regulatory-Flexibility-Act-Review-of-Testing-and-Labeling-Regulations.pdf?r1p6oVjT143VJ29wBQgMbQ1c_R2jq39w, www.regulations.gov, and from the Commission’s Division of the Secretariat at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–11837 Filed 6–4–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DoD–2021–OS–0043]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Information collection notice.

SUMMARY: Consistent with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and its implementing regulations, this document provides notice DoD is submitting an Information Collection Request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to collect information on Service members, DoD Civilians, and DoD contractors in evaluating 20 high and low risk installations as directed in Immediate Action 2 in the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, “Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment and the Establishment of a 90-Day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military,” February 26, 2021, DoD requests emergency processing and OMB authorization to collect the information after publication of this Notice for a period of six months.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 7, 2021.

ADDRESSES: The Department has requested emergency processing from OMB for this information collection request by 30 days after publication of this notice. Interested parties can access the supporting materials and collection instrument as well as submit comments and recommendations to OMB at www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information

collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Duncan, 571–372–7574, or whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: These information collections support an emergent, high-visibility Secretary of Defense requirement directed in February 2021 to conduct evaluations of 20 DoD installations where the military community is at increased or decreased risk for destructive behaviors as evidenced by measures of unhealthy command climate. Site visits will take place June–August 2021, report development in August 2021, Military Department coordination in September 2021 and delivery of the report to the Secretary by Oct 2021. Given the aggressive timelines the purpose of the initial high risk installation evaluations is to pilot an evaluation process and metrics in order to develop an enduring evaluation method to support future evaluations (expected to be conducted on biennial basis). DoD Office of Force Resiliency (OFR) will identify 20 DoD installations to take part in the assessment. At each location, a handful of DoD personnel who either have direct responsibility for prevention activities or their superiors will participate. There will be three data sources: (1) Responding to a “request for information”; (2) participating in discussions during a three day site visit; and (3) completing a survey.

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: High Risk Installation Evaluations; OMB Control Number 0704–HRIE.

Type of Request: New.
Number of Respondents: 4,400.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 4,400.
Average Burden per Response: 70 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 5,134 hours.
Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Biennial.
Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.

Request for Comments: Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of DoD, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of DoD’s

¹ The CRA defines a “major rule” as one that has resulted in or is likely to result in (1) an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, or innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic and export markets. 5 U.S.C. 804(2).