

staff in consultation with representatives of the Cherokee Nation; Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians; The Chickasaw Nation; The Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma; The Muscogee (Creek) Nation; The Seminole Nation of Oklahoma; and the Thlopthlocco Tribal Town (hereafter referred to as “The Consulted Tribes”).

### History and Description of the Remains

Dust Cave (site 1LU496) is located on a bluff adjacent to the right descending bank of the Tennessee River within TVA’s Pickwick Reservoir, in Lauderdale County, Alabama. The cave was recorded in the 1980s by Richard Cobb following exploration by local speleologists. A subsequent evaluation of caves adjacent to Pickwick Reservoir verified that Dust Cave had a human habitation of considerable antiquity. From 1989 to 2002, Dust Cave was the focus of excavations by the University of Alabama. The cultural items listed in this notice were excavated prior to November 16, 1990.

Between 1989 and November of 1990, human remains representing, at minimum, 29 individuals were removed from Dust Cave, site 1LU496, in Lauderdale County, AL, during excavations conducted by the University of Alabama. The principal investigator, Boyce Driskel, in a report to the National Science Foundation, wrote that the site contains five discrete prehistoric components, including “a Late Paleoindian component with estimated age of 10,500 B.P. to 10,000 B.P.; an Early Side Notched component with an estimated age of 10,000 B.P. to 9,000 B.P.; a Kirk Stemmed component with an estimated age of 8,500 B.P. to 7,000 B.P.; an Eva/Morrow Mountain component with estimated age of 7,000 B.P. to 6,000 B.P.; and a Seven Mile Island phase component with estimated age of 6,000 B.P. to 5,200 B.P.” The human remains belong to 17 adults of unknown sex and 12 subadults of unknown. No individuals were identified. The three associated funerary objects are one lot of shell beads, one lot of ground stone, and one lot of sediment samples.

Based on archeological, geographical, and oral traditional information, the TVA has determined that the earlier group to which these human remains belonged are ancestral to the Cherokee Nation, Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians, and The Chickasaw Nation.

### Determinations Made by the Tennessee Valley Authority

Officials of the Tennessee Valley Authority have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice are Native American based on their presence in a prehistoric archeological site and osteological analysis.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of 29 individuals of Native American ancestry.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(A), the three objects described in this notice are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and the Cherokee Nation; Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians; and The Chickasaw Nation (hereafter referred to as “The Tribes”).

### Additional Requestors and Disposition

Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to Ms. Marianne Shuler, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, WT11C, Knoxville, TN 37902–1401, telephone (865) 253–1265, email [mmshuler@tva.gov](mailto:mmshuler@tva.gov), by July 6, 2022. After that date, if no additional requestors have come forward, transfer of control of the human remains, associated funerary objects and sacred objects to The Tribes may proceed.

The Tennessee Valley Authority is responsible for notifying The Consulted Tribes that this notice has been published.

Dated: May 25, 2022.

**Melanie O’Brien,**

*Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. 2022–12109 Filed 6–3–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–52–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1068]

### Certain Microfluidic Devices; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting 10X Genomics, Inc.’s Motion for Return of Its Bond

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 37) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting 10X Genomics, Inc.’s motion for return of its bond.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ronald A. Traud, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 6, 2017, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. of Hercules, CA; and Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC of Livermore, CA (collectively, “Bio-Rad”). 82 FR 42115 (Sept. 6, 2017). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain microfluidic devices by reason of infringement certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,500,664 (“the ’664 patent”); 9,089,844 (“the ’844 patent”); 9,636,682 (“the ’682 patent”); 9,649,635 (“the ’635 patent”); and 9,126,160 (“the ’160 patent”). *Id.* The Commission’s Notice of Investigation named as the sole respondent 10X Genomics, Inc. of Pleasanton, CA (“10X”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission subsequently terminated the investigation as to the ’844 patent. Order No. 19 (Mar. 6, 2018), *unreviewed by* Notice (Apr. 16, 2018).

On September 20, 2018, the presiding ALJ issued the final ID. The final ID found a violation of section 337 by virtue of 10X’s infringement of the ’664, ’682, and ’635 patents. The ID found that 10X had not established a violation with respect to the ’160 patent. On December 4, 2018, the Commission

determined to review various findings in the ID. 83 FR 63672 (Dec. 11, 2018).

On December 18, 2019, the Commission found a violation of section 337 with respect to the '664, '682, and '635 patents. 84 FR 70999 (Dec. 26, 2019). The Commission also found no violation of section 337 with respect to the '160 patent. *Id.* The Commission determined to issue a limited exclusion order prohibiting further importation of 10X's infringing microfluidic devices and a cease and desist order ("CDO") against 10X. *Id.*

On May 28, 2021, in an appeal initiated by Bio-Rad, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued a decision affirming the Commission's final determination. *Bio-Rad Labs., Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 998 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2021).

On July 26, 2021, Bio-Rad and 10X entered into a settlement agreement that resolved the disputes concerning the subject matter of this investigation.

On July 28, 2021, Bio-Rad and 10X jointly petitioned for rescission of the Commission's remedial orders under section 337(k) (19 U.S.C. 1337(k)) and Commission Rule 210.76(a) (19 CFR 210.76(a)). On August 25, 2021, the Commission granted the parties' petition and rescinded the remedial orders.

On July 27, 2021, 10X filed a motion for return of the bond it posted pursuant to the CDO entered in this investigation. On August 6, 2021, OUII filed a response supporting the motion.

On April 13, 2022, the ALJ issued Order No. 37, the subject ID, which granted the motion. The ALJ found that 10X satisfied the procedural requirements for the return of bond, that the settlement agreement between Bio-Rad and 10X resolved the dispute between them regarding activities during the period of Presidential review that were subject to the Commission's remedial orders, and that the Commission has previously released the respondent's bond under similar circumstances.

No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 31, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 31, 2022.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022-12024 Filed 6-3-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1082-1083 (Third Review)]**

### Chlorinated Isocyanurates From China and Spain; Scheduling of Full Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on chlorinated isocyanurates from China and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days.

**DATES:** May 31, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Keysha Martinez (202-205-2136), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background.**—On January 4, 2022, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full reviews should proceed (87 FR 4290, January 27, 2022); accordingly, full reviews are being scheduled pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements are available from the Office

of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

**Participation in the reviews and public service list.**—Persons, including industrial users of the subject merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in these reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, by 45 days after publication of this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not file an additional notice of appearance. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings during this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, <https://edis.usitc.gov>.) No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

**Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.**—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these reviews available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the reviews, provided that the application is made by 45 days after publication of this notice. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the reviews. A party granted access to BPI following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not reapply for such access. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

**Staff report.**—The prehearing staff report in the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on September 12, 2022, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.64 of the Commission's rules.

**Hearing.**—The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with the