

Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8362.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 24, 2017, Commerce published the AD order on phosphor copper from Korea.¹ On March 1, 2022, Commerce published the *Initiation Notice* of the first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On March 8, 2022, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from Metallurgical Products Company (the domestic interested party), filed in proper form, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested party claimed interested party status pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a manufacturer in the United States of the domestic like product.⁴

On March 24, 2022, the domestic interested party filed a complete substantive response within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁵ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party with respect to this proceeding. Further, no hearing was requested. On April 20, 2022, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission of the lack of a substantive response.⁶ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is master alloys⁷ of copper containing between five percent and 17 percent phosphorus by nominal weight, regardless of form (including but not limited to shot, pellet, waffle, ingot, or nugget), and regardless of size or weight.

¹ See *Phosphor Copper From the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 18893 (April 24, 2017) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review*, 87 FR 11416 (March 1, 2022) (*Initiation Notice*).

³ See Domestic Interested Party's Letter, "Phosphorous Copper from Korea: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated March 8, 2022.

⁴ *Id.* at 2.

⁵ See Domestic Interested Party's Letter, "Phosphorous Copper from Korea: Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation of Sunset Review," March 24, 2022.

⁶ See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 1, 2022," dated April 20, 2022.

⁷ A "master alloy" is a base metal, such as copper, to which a relatively high percentage of one or two other elements is added.

Subject merchandise consists predominantly of copper (by weight), and may contain other elements, including but not limited to iron (Fe), lead (Pb), or tin (Sn), in small amounts (up to one percent by nominal weight). Phosphor copper is frequently produced to JIS H2501 and ASTM B-644, Alloy 3A standards or higher; however, merchandise covered by the order includes all phosphor copper, regardless of whether the merchandise meets, fails to meet, or exceeds these standards.

Merchandise covered by the *Order* is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheading 7405.00.1000. This HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁸ A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the weighted-average dumping margin likely to prevail is up to 8.43 percent.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to

⁸ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the First Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Phosphor Copper from Korea," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: June 29, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Margin of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-14417 Filed 7-6-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-840]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters of certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India made sales at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) February 1, 2020, through January 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable July 7, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terre Keaton Stefanova or Adam Simons, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-6172, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers 163 producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination: LNSK Green House Agro Products LLP (LNSK) and Royal Imports and Exports (Royal). The producers/exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in Appendix II.

On March 1, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited interested parties to comment.¹ For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Scope of the Order³

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is certain frozen warmwater shrimp. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.04, 0306.17.00.05, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.07, 0306.17.00.08, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.10, 0306.17.00.11, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.13, 0306.17.00.14, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.16, 0306.17.00.17, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.19, 0306.17.00.20, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.22, 0306.17.00.23, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.25, 0306.17.00.26, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.28, 0306.17.00.29, 0306.17.00.40, 0306.17.00.41, 0306.17.00.42, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in Appendix I to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a

¹ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 11413 (March 1, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2020–2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 70 FR 5147 (February 1, 2005) (*Order*).

⁴ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the PDM.

public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Verification

Commerce was unable to conduct on-site verification of the information relied upon for the final results of this review. However, we took additional steps in lieu of an on-site verification to verify this information, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Act.⁵

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from interested parties, we made no changes to our margin calculations in the *Preliminary Results*.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins for the period February 1, 2020, through January 31, 2021:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
LNSK Green House Agro Products LLP	0.00
Royal Imports and Exports	3.01
Companies Not Selected for Individual Review ⁶	3.01

Review-Specific Rate for Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

The exporters or producers not selected for individual review are listed in Appendix II.

⁵ See Commerce’s Letters, In-Lieu of On-Site Verification Questionnaires, dated March 28, 2022; see also LNSK’s Letter, “LNSK Green House Agro Products LLP Response to Questionnaire in lieu of Verification,” dated April 6, 2022; and Royal’s Letter, “Royal Imports and Exports’ Response to Questionnaire in lieu of Verification,” dated April 6, 2022.

⁶ Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually examined, excluding any margins that are zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.” Because the margin calculated for LNSK is zero, we have assigned a dumping margin to these companies based on the rate calculated for Royal.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because LNSK and Royal reported the entered value for their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by LNSK or Royal for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁷

For the companies that were not selected for individual review, we assigned an assessment rate based on the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the “Final Results of the Review” section, above.⁸ The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁹

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP

⁷ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁸ See, *e.g.*, *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 32835 (July 16, 2018).

⁹ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent (*de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1)), the cash deposit will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all-other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 10.17 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁰ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written

notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 29, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Discussion of the Issues General Comments
 - Comment 1: Differential Pricing Analysis
 - Comment 2: Excluding Window Period Sales from the Constructed Value (CV) Profit Calculation for Royal
 - Comment 3: Excluding a Certain Control Number (CONNUM) from the Price-to-Price Comparisons for Royal
- IV. Recommendation

Appendix II

Review-Specific Rate Applicable to Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

1. Abad Fisheries
2. Accelerated Freeze Drying Co.
3. ADF Foods Ltd.
4. Albys Agro Private Limited
5. Al-Hassan Overseas Private Limited
6. Allana Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd.
7. Allanasons Ltd.
8. Alps Ice & Cold Storage Private Limited
9. Amarsagar Seafoods Private Limited
10. Amulya Seafoods
11. Anantha Seafoods Private Limited
12. Anjaneya Seafoods
13. Asvini Agro Exports
14. Ayshwarya Seafood Private Limited
15. B R Traders
16. Baby Marine Eastern Exports
17. Baby Marine Exports
18. Baby Marine International
19. Baby Marine Sarass
20. Baby Marine Ventures
21. Balasore Marine Exports Private Limited
22. BB Estates & Exports Private Limited
23. Bell Exim Private Limited
24. Bhatsons Aquatic Products
25. Bhavani Seafoods
26. Bijaya Marine Products
27. Blue Fin Frozen Foods Pvt. Ltd.
28. Blue Water Foods & Exports P. Ltd.
29. Bluepark Seafoods Pvt. Ltd.
30. Britto Seafood Exports Pvt Ltd.
31. Calcutta Seafoods Pvt. Ltd./Bay Seafood Pvt. Ltd./Elque & Co.
32. Canaan Marine Products
33. Capithan Exporting Co.
34. Cargomar Private Limited
35. Chakri Fisheries Private Limited
36. Chemmeens (Regd)

37. Cherukattu Industries (Marine Div)
38. Cochin Frozen Food Exports Pvt. Ltd.
39. Continental Fisheries India Private Limited
40. Coreline Exports
41. Corlim Marine Exports Pvt. Ltd.
42. CPF (India) Private Limited
43. Crystal Sea Foods Private Limited
44. Danica Aqua Exports Private Limited
45. Datla Sea Foods
46. Delsea Exports Pvt. Ltd.
47. Devi Sea Foods Limited¹¹
48. Empire Industries Limited
49. Entel Food Products Private Limited
50. Esmario Export Enterprises
51. Everblue Sea Foods Private Limited
52. Febin Marine Foods Private Limited
53. Fedora Sea Foods Private Limited
54. Food Products Pvt., Ltd./Parayil Food Products Private Limited
55. Fouress Food Products Private Limited
56. Frontline Exports Pvt. Ltd.
57. G A Randerian Ltd.
58. Gadre Marine Exports (AKA Gadre Marine Exports Pvt. Ltd.)
59. Galaxy Maritech Exports P. Ltd.
60. Geo Aquatic Products (P) Ltd.
61. Godavari Mega Aqua Food Park Private Limited
62. Grandtrust Overseas (P) Ltd.
63. GVR Exports Pvt. Ltd.
64. Hari Marine Private Limited
65. Haripriya Marine Export Pvt. Ltd.
66. HIC ABF Special Foods Pvt. Ltd.
67. Hiravati Exports Pvt. Ltd.
68. Hiravati International Pvt. Ltd.
69. Hiravati Marine Products Private Limited
70. HMG Industries Limited
71. HN Indigos Private Limited
72. Hyson Exports Private Limited
73. Indian Aquatic Products
74. Indo Aquatics
75. Indo Fisheries
76. Indo French Shellfish Company Private Limited
77. International Freezefish Exports
78. Jinny Marine Traders
79. K.V. Marine Exports
80. Karunya Marine Exports Private Limited
81. Kaushalya Aqua Marine Product Exports Pvt. Ltd.
82. Kay Exports¹²
83. Kings Marine Products
84. Koluthara Exports Ltd.
85. Libran Foods
86. Mangala Sea Products
87. Marine Harvest India
88. Megaa Moda Pvt. Ltd.
89. Milsha Agro Exports Private Limited
90. Milsha Sea Product
91. Minaxi Fisheries Private Limited
92. Mindhola Foods LLP

¹¹ Shrimp produced and exported by Devi Sea Foods Limited (Devi) was excluded from the order effective February 1, 2009. See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Notice of Revocation of Order in Part*, 75 FR 41813, 41814 (July 19, 2010). Accordingly, we initiated this administrative review with respect to Devi only for shrimp produced in India where Devi acted as either the manufacturer or exporter (but not both).

¹² We incorrectly listed this company as "Kay Exports" in Appendix II of the *Preliminary Results*. See *Preliminary Results*, 87 FR at 11415.

¹⁰ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 70 FR 5147, 5148 (February 1, 2005).

93. MMC Exports Limited
 94. MTR Foods
 95. Naik Frozen Foods Private Limited
 96. Naik Oceanic Exports Pvt. Ltd./Rafiq Naik Exports Pvt. Ltd.
 97. Naik Seafoods Limited
 98. NAS Fisheries Pvt. Ltd.
 99. Nine Up Frozen Foods
 100. NK Marine Exports LLP
 101. Nutrient Marine Foods Limited
 102. Oceanic Edibles International Limited
 103. Paragon Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.
 104. Paramount Seafoods
 105. Pesca Marine Products Pvt., Ltd.
 106. Pijikay International Exports P Ltd.
 107. Pravesh Seafood Private Limited
 108. Premier Exports International
 109. Premier Marine Foods
 110. Premier Seafoods Exim (P) Ltd.
 111. Raju Exports
 112. Raunaq Ice & Cold Storage
 113. RDR Exports
 114. RF Exports Private Limited
 115. Riyarchita Agro Farming Private Limited
 116. Rupsha Fish Private Limited
 117. R V R Marine Products Private Limited
 118. S Chanchala Combines Private Limited
 119. Sagar Samrat Seafoods
 120. Sahada Exports
 121. Samaki Exports Private Limited
 122. Sasoondock Matsyodyog Sahakari Society Ltd.
 123. Sea Doris Marine Exports
 124. Seagold Overseas Pvt. Ltd.
 125. Shimpo Exports Private Limited
 126. Shimpo Seafoods Private Limited
 127. Shiva Frozen Food Exp. Pvt. Ltd.
 128. Shroff Processed Food & Cold Storage P Ltd.
 129. Silver Seafood
 130. Sita Marine Exports
 131. Sonia Fisheries
 132. Sri Sakthi Cold Storage
 133. Srikanth International
 134. SSF Ltd.
 135. Star Agro Marine Exports Private Limited
 136. Star Organic Foods Private Limited
 137. Stellar Marine Foods Private Limited
 138. Sterling Foods
 139. Summit Marine Exports Private Limited
 140. Sun Agro Exim
 141. Supran Exim Private Limited
 142. Suvarna Rekha Exports Private Limited
 143. Suvarna Rekha Marines P Ltd.
 144. TBR Exports Pvt. Ltd.
 145. Teekay Marine P Ltd.
 146. The Waterbase Limited
 147. Torry Harris Seafoods Ltd.
 148. Triveni Fisheries P Ltd.
 149. U & Company Marine Exports
 150. Ulka Sea Foods Private Limited
 151. Uniroyal Marine Exports Ltd.
 152. Unitriveni Overseas Private Limited
 153. Vaisakhi Bio-Marine Pvt. Ltd.
 154. Vasai Frozen Food Co.
 155. Veronica Marine Exports Private Limited
 156. Victoria Marine & Agro Exports Ltd.
 157. Vinner Marine
 158. Vitality Aquaculture Pvt. Ltd.
 159. VKM Foods Private Limited
 160. VRC Marine Foods LLP
 161. Zeal Aqua Limited

[FR Doc. 2022-14419 Filed 7-6-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-043]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this expedited sunset review, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on stainless steel sheet and strip (SSSS) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 7, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Alexander, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4313.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 3, 2017, Commerce published the CVD order on SSSS from China.¹ On March 1, 2022, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² Commerce received a timely notice of intent to participate from Cleveland-Cliffs Inc., North American Stainless, and Outokumpu Stainless USA LLC (domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as domestic producers engaged in the production of SSSS in the United States.

Commerce received a substantive response from the domestic interested

¹ See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 82 FR 16166 (April 3, 2017) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 87 FR 11416 (March 1, 2022).

³ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from China—Domestic Interested Parties' Notice of Intent to Participate," dated March 15, 2022.

parties⁴ within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive response from any other interested parties in this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), we determined that the respondent interested parties did not provide an adequate response to the notice of initiation and, therefore, Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are stainless sheet and strip, whether in coils or straight lengths. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the CVD order on SSSS from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following rates:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Shanxi Taigang Stainless Steel Co. Ltd	75.60
Ningbo Baoxin Stainless Steel Co., Ltd ..	190.71
Baosteel Stainless Steel Co Ltd.	
Baoshan Iron & Steel Co, Ltd.	

⁴ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from China—Domestic Interested Parties' Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation," dated March 30, 2022.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).