

request pertains initiates appropriate legal action in the proper venue to resolve any differences concerning the validity or interpretation of the deed, lease, easement, or other documents that form the basis of the request. This provision applies only if our decision is based on the standard in paragraph (c)(1) and (c)(2) of the VER definition in 30 CFR 761.5.

#### V. How do I submit comments on the request?

We will make the VER determination request and associated materials available to you for review as prescribed in 30 CFR 842.16, except to the extent that the confidentiality provisions of 30 CFR 773.6(d) apply. Subject to those restrictions, you may review a copy of the VER determination request and all comments received in response to this request at the Charleston Field Office (see **ADDRESSES**). Documents contained in the administrative record are available for public review at the Field Office during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays.

#### Electronic or Written Comments

If you wish to comment on the merits of the request for a VER determination, please send electronic or written comments to us at the addresses above (see **ADDRESSES**) by the close of the comment period (see **DATES**). Under 30 CFR 761.16(d)(1)(vii), you may request a 30-day extension of the comment period. Requests for an extension of the public comment period must be submitted to the same addresses by the date indicated.

If you submit comments by Email, please include your name and return address in your message. You may contact the Charleston Field Office at 304-977-7450 if you wish to confirm receipt of your message.

#### Availability of Comments

We will make all comments, including names and addresses of respondents, available for public review during normal business hours at the location listed in **ADDRESSES**. We will not consider anonymous comments. If you are commenting as an individual, you may request that we withhold your name or address from public review, except for the city or town. We will honor your request to the extent allowable by law. You must state this request prominently at the beginning of your comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of

organizations or businesses, available for public review in their entirety.

#### Thomas D. Shope,

*Regional Director, North Atlantic—Appalachian Region.*

[FR Doc. 2025-04652 Filed 3-19-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-P**

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-455 and 731 TA 1149 (Third Review)]

#### Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe From China

##### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on circular welded carbon quality steel line pipe from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

##### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on September 3, 2024, (89 FR 71419) and determined on December 9, 2024, that it would conduct expedited reviews (90 FR 8301, January 28, 2025).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on March 14, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5598 (March 2025), entitled *Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-455 and 731-TA-1149 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 14, 2025.

#### Lisa Barton,

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2025-04663 Filed 3-19-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

### JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

#### Meeting of the Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

**ACTION:** Notice of Federal advisory committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries gives notice of a closed teleconference meeting of the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on April 25, 2025, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. eastern daylight time (EDT).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Van Osten, Designated Federal Officer, Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations, at (202) 317-3648 or [elizabeth.j.vanosten@irs.gov](mailto:elizabeth.j.vanosten@irs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations will hold a teleconference meeting on April 25, 2025, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. (EDT). The meeting will be closed to the public.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss topics and questions that may be recommended for inclusion on future Joint Board examinations in actuarial mathematics, pension law and methodology referred to in 29 U.S.C. 1242(a)(1)(B).

A determination has been made as required by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. 1009(d), that the subject of the meeting falls within the exception to the open meeting requirement set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), and that the public interest requires that such meeting be closed to public participation.

Dated: March 17, 2025.

#### Thomas V. Curtin, Jr.,

*Executive Director, Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.*

[FR Doc. 2025-04709 Filed 3-19-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Linwood A. Starks, D.V.M.; Decision and Order

On June 29, 2023, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Linwood A. Starks, D.V.M., of Grand Prairie, Texas (Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA), Exhibit (RFAAX) 2, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant’s Certificate of Registration No. FS5936919, alleging that Registrant’s registration should be revoked because Registrant is “currently without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Texas, the