

SHAKEN Policy Administrator, but declined to repeal the indefinite SPC token extension.

In its most recent annual evaluation, the Bureau declined to terminate or modify the extension so staff could assess the number of providers still claiming the extension in their most recent Robocall Mitigation Database submissions and the merit of those claims. To supplement this assessment, we seek comment on the types and number of providers that remain unable to obtain a token and the barriers to token access for these providers, in light of the changes to the Token Access Policy. Is there anything that can be done to make tokens available to these providers? Does the extension remain necessary?

*Extension for Small Voice Service Providers Originating Calls via Satellite Using NANP Numbers.* We seek comment on the Commission's extension for small voice service providers that originate calls via satellite using NANP numbers. The Commission adopted this indefinite extension concluding that the balance of benefits and burdens counseled "against requiring such providers to implement" STIR/SHAKEN. We seek comment on the current benefits, burdens, and barriers to STIR/SHAKEN implementation by small voice service providers that originate calls via satellite using NANP numbers. Have these benefits, burdens, and barriers changed since the Commission adopted the extension and if so, how? Do the justifications for the extension still apply? Have any abuses occurred due to this extension, or are any abuses likely to result if the extension is continued? What impact does the extension have on the Commission's longstanding goal of achieving ubiquitous deployment of the STIR/SHAKEN framework? Is it necessary for the extension to remain indefinite, or would it be more appropriate to modify the extension to provide a known end date?

## II. Comments Sought on Stir/Shaken Efficacy

Section 4(b)(4) of the TRACED Act directs the Commission to, every three years, "assess the efficacy of the technologies used for [the] call authentication frameworks" implemented pursuant to the TRACED Act and "based on the assessment . . . revise or replace the call authentication frameworks . . . if the Commission determines it is in the public interest to do so." The Commission must submit to Congress "a report on the findings of the assessment" and any actions taken by the Commission "to revise or replace

the call authentication frameworks." Before conducting the assessment under the TRACED Act, the Commission is required to provide public notice and an opportunity to comment. The Commission submitted its first such triennial report to Congress on December 20, 2022, finding that STIR/SHAKEN is effective at authenticating caller ID information. Through this Public Notice, the Bureau seeks comment to inform the Commission's second triennial assessment on the efficacy of STIR/SHAKEN, which remains the only call authentication framework currently implemented pursuant to the TRACED Act.

In the *First Triennial Report*, the Bureau established a standard for conducting its assessment that is based on "how well [STIR/SHAKEN] effectuates the authentication of caller ID information." Although the Bureau considered applying alternative standards, such as STIR/SHAKEN's "impact on preventing illegally spoofed robocalls, or preventing all illegal robocalls," it agreed with the majority of commenters that assessing STIR/SHAKEN under such standards "would fail to account for the fact that, while a critical tool in protecting consumers from illegal spoofing, the STIR/SHAKEN framework is only one facet of the larger campaign by the Commission and industry to combat illegal robocalls." The Bureau seeks comment on whether it should maintain, revise, or replace this standard for its second assessment of the efficacy of STIR/SHAKEN.

In the three years that have passed since the Commission conducted its first triennial assessment, providers have gained more experience implementing the STIR/SHAKEN framework. The Commission has also adopted rules expanding STIR/SHAKEN implementation obligations to cover gateway providers and non-gateway intermediate providers, in addition to voice service providers. Gateway providers were required to implement STIR/SHAKEN by June 30, 2023, and non-gateway intermediate providers that receive unauthenticated calls directly from domestic originating providers were required to authenticate those calls using STIR/SHAKEN as of December 31, 2023. Now, all providers with control over the network infrastructure necessary to authenticate calls are required to implement STIR/SHAKEN for SIP calls unless subject to an exemption or extension. With these developments in mind, we seek comment on how well STIR/SHAKEN effectuates the authentication of caller ID information today. Are there ways

STIR/SHAKEN could be more effective at authenticating caller ID information? Do any specific factors limit STIR/SHAKEN's efficacy, and what solutions might resolve these issues? Have there been other developments, such as industry changes or evolution in technologies, that affect the efficacy of STIR/SHAKEN, and how should any such developments be factored into our assessment? Do any commenters believe the Commission should revise STIR/SHAKEN or replace it with a different framework? We also seek comment on the efficacy of STIR/SHAKEN under any alternative standard proposed by commenters.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Joseph Calascione,**

*Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2025-16804 Filed 9-2-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

---

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 25-24]

### **Southern International Co., Ltd., Complainant v. Daynamez Group of Companies LLC, Respondent; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment**

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (the "Commission") by Southern International Co., Ltd. (the "Complainant") against Daynamez Group of Companies LLC (the "Respondent"). Complainant states that the Commission has subject-matter jurisdiction over the complaint pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 41301 and 46 CFR 502.61(c).

Complainant is a limited liability company and ocean transportation intermediary organized and operating under the laws of Vietnam with its principal place of business located in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

Complainant identifies Respondent as a limited liability company organized and operating under the laws of the state of Virginia with its principal place of business located in Fairfax, Virginia.

Complainant alleges that Respondent violated 46 U.S.C. 41102(c); 41104(a)(2) and (a)(3); and 41105(1). Complainant alleges these violations arose from Respondent's failure to remit payment to relevant carriers for the shipping of 558 containers contracted by Complainant, repeated misappropriation of funds, and other acts or omissions by Respondent.

An answer to the complaint must be filed with the Commission within 25 days after the date of service.

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission's electronic Reading Room at <https://www2.fmc.gov/readingroom/proceeding/25-24/>. This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding judge shall be issued by August 31, 2026, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by March 15, 2027.

(Authority: 46 U.S.C. 41301; 46 CFR 502.61(c))

Served: August 29, 2025.

**David Eng,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-16862 Filed 9-2-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-02-P**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments, relevant information, or documents regarding the agreements to the Secretary by email at [Secretary@fmc.gov](mailto:Secretary@fmc.gov), or by mail, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, Washington, DC 20573. Comments will be most helpful to the Commission if received within 12 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**, and the Commission requests that comments be submitted within 7 days on agreements that request expedited review. Copies of agreements are available through the Commission's website ([www.fmc.gov](http://www.fmc.gov)) or by contacting the Office of General Counsel at (202) 523-5740 or [GeneralCounsel@fmc.gov](mailto:GeneralCounsel@fmc.gov).

*Agreement No.:* 010979-069.

*Agreement Name:* Caribbean Shipowners Association.

*Parties:* Crowley Caribbean Services LLC; Hybur Ltd.; King Ocean Services Limited, Inc.; Seaboard Marine Ltd.; and Tropical Shipping & Construction Co., Ltd.

*Filing Party:* Wayne Rohde, Cozen O'Connor.

*Synopsis:* The Amendment revises Article 3 of the Agreement to delete Seacor Island Lines LLC as a party to the Agreement, updates the addresses of the two other members, and deletes unnecessary information.

*Proposed Effective Date:* 8/26/2025.

*Location:* <https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/1194>.

*Agreement No.:* 011953-015.

*Agreement Name:* Florida Shipowners Group Agreement.

*Parties:* Crowley Caribbean Services LLC; Hybur Ltd.; King Ocean Services Limited; Seaboard Marine, Ltd.; and Tropical Shipping & Construction Company Limited, LLC.

*Filing Party:* Wayne Rohde, Cozen O'Connor.

*Synopsis:* The Amendment revises Article 10 of the Agreement to change the basis upon which expenses are shared by the parties.

*Proposed Effective Date:* 10/10/2025.

*Location:* <https://www2.fmc.gov/FMC.Agreements.Web/Public/AgreementHistory/521>.

Dated: August 29, 2025.

**Jennifer Everling,**  
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-16904 Filed 9-2-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-02-P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Savings and Loan Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act ("Act") (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and of the Board's Regulation LL (12 CFR 238.31) to acquire shares of a savings and loan holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm>. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in paragraph 7 of the Act.

Comments received are subject to public disclosure. In general, comments received will be made available without change and will not be modified to remove personal or business information including confidential, contact, or other identifying information. Comments should not include any information such as confidential information that would not be appropriate for public disclosure.

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of

the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551-0001, not later than September 18, 2025.

A. *Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia* (William Spaniel, Senior Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105-1521. Comments can also be sent electronically to [Comments.applications@phil.frb.org](mailto:Comments.applications@phil.frb.org):  
1. *The Amended and Restated Quaint Oak Bancorp, Inc., Employee Stock Ownership Plan, Southampton, Pennsylvania, John J. Augustine, as co-trustee, Lansdale, Pennsylvania, and Aimee K. Ott, as co-trustee, Newtown, Pennsylvania;* to join the Strong Family Group, a group acting in concert, to retain voting shares of Quaint Oak Bancorp, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain voting shares of Quaint Oak Bank, both of Southampton, Pennsylvania. Aimee K. Ott and John J. Augustine were each previously permitted by the Federal Reserve System to acquire control of voting shares of Quaint Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

**Erin Cayce,**

*Assistant Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2025-16893 Filed 9-2-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/>