

Project Period: September 1, 2021, to August 31, 2026.  
 Assistance Listing Number: 93.110.

Award Instrument: Non-competitive supplement.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 701(a)(2) (§ 501(a)(2) of the Social Security Act).

TABLE 1—RECIPIENT(S) AND AWARD AMOUNT(S)

Grant No.	Award recipient name	City, state	Award amount
D1JMC42466	Sickle Cell Disease Association of Illinois	Chicago, IL	\$65,500
D1JMC42474	Hemostasis and Thrombosis Center of Nevada	Las Vegas, NV	65,500
D1JMC42475	Ohio Sickle Cell & Health Association, Inc	Columbus, OH	65,500
D1JMC42467	Martin Center, Inc	Indianapolis, IN	65,500
D1JMC42468	Baton Rouge Sickle Cell Anemia Foundation, Inc	Baton Rouge, LA	65,500
D1JMC42463	Cayenne Wellness Center and Children's Foundation, Inc	Burbank, CA	65,500
D1JMC42472	Children's Sickle Cell Foundation, Inc	Pittsburgh, PA	65,500
D1JMC42473	James R. Clark Memorial Sickle Cell Foundation	Columbia, SC	65,500
D1JMC42471	Piedmont Health Services and Sickle Cell Agency	Greensboro, NC	65,500
D1JMC42476	Sickle Cell Anemia Foundation of Oregon, Inc	Portland, OR	65,500
D1JMC42470	Sickle Cell Association	Florissant, MO	65,500
D1JMC42477	The Sickle Cell Association of New Jersey, Inc	Newark, NJ	65,500
D1JMC42469	Sickle Cell Disease Association of America Michigan Chapter, Inc	Detroit, MI	65,500
D1JMC42478	Sickle Cell Disease Association of America Philadelphia-Delaware Valley Chapter.	Philadelphia, PA	65,500
D1JMC42462	Sickle Cell Foundation of Arizona, Inc	Vail, AZ	65,500
D1JMC42465	Sickle Cell Foundation of Georgia, Inc	Atlanta, GA	65,500
D1JMC42479	Sickle Cell Association of Texas Marc Thomas Foundation	Austin, TX	65,500
D1JMC42480	Sickle Cell/Thalassemia Patients Networks, Inc	Brooklyn, NY	65,500
D1JMC42481	Supporters of Families with Sickle Cell Disease, Inc	Tulsa, OK	65,500
D1JMC42464	University of Miami	Miami, FL	65,500
D1JMC46837	Sickle Cell Disease Foundation	Ontario, CA	65,500
D1JMC46836	Sickle Cell Disease Association of America Mobile Chapter	Mobile, AL	65,500
D1JMC46834	Crescent Foundation, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	65,500
D1JMC46835	Metropolitan Seattle Sickle Cell Task Force	Seattle, WA	65,500
D1JMC46838	Sickle Cell Foundation of Tennessee	Memphis, TN	65,500

*Justification:* In year 4 of 5 of this program, funding is being made available for FY 2025 to 25 current funding recipients under HRSA–21–036 to facilitate access to quality SCD care by conducting outreach and working with individuals living with SCD and families from the time a newborn screen identifies a child with possible SCD through diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up across the lifespan. Such funding helps bridge access to services by supporting community-based organizations in reaching individuals in the communities where they reside and providing a critical link to health care and other social services to improve the lives of individuals living with SCD. SCD NBS FP grantees will use the supplemental funding to improve appropriate health care and social services utilization by targeting direct and indirect barriers to accessing health care such as transition support, social service linkage, data system development, workforce educational support and training, expanding quality improvement projects, and other services. The above activities are within

the scope of the NBS FP program as described in HRSA–21–036.

**Thomas J. Engels,**  
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2025–17215 Filed 9–5–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4165–15–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Health Resources and Services Administration**

**National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program; List of Petitions Received**

**AGENCY:** Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HRSA is publishing this notice of petitions received under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (the Program), as required by the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, as amended. While the Secretary of HHS is named as the respondent in all proceedings brought by the filing of petitions for compensation under the Program, the United States Court of Federal Claims is charged by statute

with responsibility for considering and acting upon the petitions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about requirements for filing petitions, and the Program in general, contact Lisa L. Reyes, Clerk of Court, United States Court of Federal Claims, 717 Madison Place NW, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 357–6400. For information on HRSA’s role in the Program, contact the Director, Division of Injury Compensation Programs, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 14W–18, Rockville, Maryland 20857; 1–800–338–2382, or visit our website at: <https://www.hrsa.gov/vaccine-compensation>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Program provides a system of no-fault compensation for certain individuals who have been injured by specified childhood vaccines. Subtitle 2 of Title XXI of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa–10 *et seq.*, provides that those seeking compensation are to file a petition with the United States Court of Federal Claims and to serve a copy of the petition to the Secretary of HHS, who is named as the respondent in each proceeding. The Secretary has delegated this responsibility under the Program to HRSA. The Court is directed by statute to appoint special masters who take evidence, conduct hearings as appropriate, and make initial decisions

as to eligibility for, and amount of, compensation.

A petition may be filed with respect to injuries, disabilities, illnesses, conditions, and deaths resulting from vaccines described in the Vaccine Injury Table (the Table) set forth at 42 CFR 100.3. This Table lists for each covered childhood vaccine the conditions that may lead to compensation and, for each condition, the time period for occurrence of the first symptom or manifestation of onset or of significant aggravation after vaccine administration. Compensation may also be awarded for conditions not listed in the Table and for conditions that are manifested outside the time periods specified in the Table, but only if the petitioner shows that the condition was caused by one of the listed vaccines.

Section 2112(b)(2) of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-12(b)(2), requires that “[w]ithin 30 days after the Secretary receives service of any petition filed under section 2111 the Secretary shall publish notice of such petition in the **Federal Register**.” Set forth below is a list of petitions received by HRSA on June 1, 2025, through June 30, 2025. This list provides the name of the petitioner, city, and state of vaccination (if unknown then the city and state of the person or attorney filing the claim), and case number. In cases where the Court has redacted the name of a petitioner and/or the case number, the list reflects such redaction.

Section 2112(b)(2) also provides that the special master “shall afford all interested persons an opportunity to submit relevant, written information” relating to the following:

1. The existence of evidence “that there is not a preponderance of the evidence that the illness, disability, injury, condition, or death described in the petition is due to factors unrelated to the administration of the vaccine described in the petition;” and
  2. Any allegation in a petition that the petitioner either:
    - a. “[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition not set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table but which was caused by” one of the vaccines referred to in the Table; or
    - b. “[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table the first symptom or manifestation of the onset or significant aggravation of which did not occur within the time period set forth in the Table but which was caused by a vaccine” referred to in the Table.
- In accordance with Section 2112(b)(2), all interested persons may

submit written information relevant to the issues described above in the case of the petitions listed below. Any person choosing to do so should file an original and three (3) copies of the information with the Clerk of the United States Court of Federal Claims at the address listed above (under the heading “For Further Information Contact”), with a copy to HRSA addressed to Director, Division of Injury Compensation Programs, Health Systems Bureau, 5600 Fishers Lane, 14W-18, Rockville, Maryland 20857. The Court’s caption (Petitioner’s Name v. Secretary of HHS) and the docket number assigned to the petition should be used as the caption for the written submission. Chapter 35 of Title 44, United States Code, related to paperwork reduction, does not apply to information required for purposes of carrying out the Program.

**Thomas J. Engels,**  
*Administrator.*

#### List of Petitions Filed

1. Randall Rhodes, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0930V
2. Jeffrey Daniels, Smyrna, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0934V
3. Brian Cote, West Springfield, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0935V
4. Nadia Sabr, Linwood, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0937V
5. Chana Sutofsky, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0939V
6. Michael Thompson, Oshkosh, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0940V
7. Pawel Tlomak, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0942V
8. Janice Williams, Sarasota, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0943V
9. Philip Gibson, Salem, Oregon, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0944V
10. Linda Skitzki, Fresno, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0945V
11. Jamie Perman, Vancouver, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0946V
12. Matthew J. Windsor, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0950V
13. Bridgette Wasinger, Los Angeles, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0953V
14. Richard E. Gordon, Johnston, Rhode Island, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0957V
15. Theodora Scarborough, Rockledge, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0958V
16. Andrew Joseph Santos, Bellflower, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0959V
17. Margaret Sferra, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0961V
18. Brenda Allen, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0962V
19. Hugo Albuja, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0969V
20. Frank Tellez, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0971V
21. Donna Frederick, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0974V
22. LaShannon Snowden-Lee, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0975V
23. Juanita Chapple, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0976V
24. Leticia Nava, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0977V
25. Denise Falwell, Siloam Springs, Arkansas, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0979V
26. Alan Douglas, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0982V
27. Kirsten Wilson-Russell, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0983V
28. Ashley Ott on behalf of B.O., Englewood, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0984V
29. Arielle Rodriguez and Jamiyl Lovett on behalf of J.L., Deceased, Hillsborough, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0985V
30. Mark Schaus on behalf of C.S., Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0986V
31. Gary Wilcher, Beverly Hills, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0992V
32. Gary Hetrick, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0993V
33. Gwenith Culclager on behalf of the estate of Robyn Broughton, Deceased, Sarasota, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0994V
34. Steven Vance, Black River Falls, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0995V
35. Michael Damian DuBos, Houston, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0996V
36. Harold Bare III, Jacksonville, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-0998V
37. Rick Gurholt, Oshkosh, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1000V
38. Julio Rendon, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1003V
39. Ami Mechling, Orlando, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1006V
40. Erica Contreras, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1009V
41. Robert Hearn, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1010V
42. Cindy Miller, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1011V
43. Marsha Sommer Gholson, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1012V
44. Charita Alexander, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1013V
45. Peter Kuo, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1015V
46. Alexis Olejnicak, St. Paul, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1018V
47. Carolyn Bromm, Chicago, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1025V
48. Michael Meyers, Dover, Denver, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1026V
49. Kathleen Brauen, Niagara Falls, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1027V
50. Bethel Boeder, Escondido, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1029V
51. Oralyn Clark, Watkinsville, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1030V
52. Michael Chaplin, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25-1038V
53. Heidi Pawelka, Summit, Wisconsin,

- Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1039V
54. Diane Kelly, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1040V
55. Teri McDaniel, Mobile, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1041V
56. Paula Krentsa, Sarasota, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1042V
57. Cassandra Lantzy, Homosassa, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1045V
58. Heather Fenn, Niantic, Connecticut, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1054V
59. Roxanna Brozek, Los Angeles, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1055V
60. Christopher Mero, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1057V
61. Sai Pradnesh Kodali, Reston, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1059V
62. Naomi Tirado, Pawtucket, Rhode Island, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1060V
63. Jacqueline Rosa, Haverhill, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1061V
64. Demetrius Teal, Santa Clarita, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1062V
65. Chris Swenson, Negaunee, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1063V
66. Mariah Ryan on behalf of M.S., Deceased, Cheyenne, Wyoming, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1064V
67. Heather Rish, Greenville, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1065V
68. Sheldon Bernstein, Palo Alto, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1066V
69. Helen Pepin, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1068V
70. Charles Crincoli, Glen Rock, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1069V
71. Carrie A. Bush, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1071V
72. Ebony Green, Binghamton, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1072V
73. Delila DeJesus on behalf of M.M., New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1075V
74. Jillian Behler, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1082V
75. Lakima Jackson, Plaquemine, Louisiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1084V
76. Cheryl Farrell, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1085V
77. Cathy Watson, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1086V
78. Peter Wakker, Woodridge, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1087V
79. Shauna McAllister, Exton, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 25–1088V

[FR Doc. 2025–17213 Filed 9–5–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### U.S. Customs and Border Protection

#### Notice of Issuance of Final Determination Concerning Neat Board Pro

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of the Neat Board Pro. Based upon the facts presented, CBP has concluded that the last substantial transformation of the Neat Board Pro occurs in Taiwan.

**DATES:** The final determination was issued on August 27, 2025. A copy of the final determination is attached. Any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of this final determination no later than October 8, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anna Hedstrom, Valuation and Special Programs Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade, at (202) 325–0227.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that on August 27, 2025, CBP issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of the Neat Board Pro for purposes of Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979. This final determination, Headquarters Ruling Letter (HQ) H344638, was issued at the request of Amtran Technology Co., Ltd., under procedures set forth at 19 CFR part 177, subpart B, which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511–18). In the final determination, CBP has concluded that the last substantial transformation of the Neat Board Pro occurs in Taiwan.

Section 177.29, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.29), provides that a notice of final determination shall be published in the **Federal Register** within 60 days of the date the final determination is issued. Section 177.30, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.30), provides that any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of a final determination within 30 days of publication of such determination in the **Federal Register**.

Alice A. Kipel,

*Executive Director, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade.*

90 K Street NE – 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20229-1177



**U.S. Customs and Border Protection**

**HQ H344638**

August 27, 2025

OT:RR:CTF:VS H344638 ACH  
Category: Origin

Jaden Kuo, PricewaterhouseCoopers WMS Pte. Ltd., 25F No. 333 Sec. 1, Keelung Rd., Xinyi Dist., Taipei, 110 Taiwan  
RE: U.S. Government Procurement; Title III, Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2511); Subpart B, Part 177, CBP Regulations; Country of Origin of Neat Board Pro

Dear Mr. Kuo:

This is in response to your January 23, 2025 request, on behalf of Amtran Technology Co., Ltd. (“AmTRAN”), for a final determination concerning the country of origin of the Neat Board Pro, pursuant to Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (“TAA”), as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511 *et seq.*), and subpart B of Part 177, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) Regulations (19 CFR 177.21, *et seq.*). AmTRAN is a party-at-interest within the meaning of 19 CFR 177.22(d)(1) and 177.23(a) and is therefore entitled to request this final determination.

#### Facts

The Neat Board Pro is an all-in-one video conferencing device specifically designed for medium-to-large meeting spaces. It features a 65-inch multi-touch screen, audio and video, and environmental sensors. It can function independently while also offering support for integration with other Neat devices, third-party audio, or a second screen. It operates with a power cord and supports a variety of collaboration applications, such as Zoom, Teams, the Neat App Hub, and Bring Your Own Device.

The Neat Board Pro is designed by AmTRAN, and the device’s prospective production will be handled by its Taiwanese supplier, Rick Service Inc. (“Rick Service”). Rick Service will source materials and components from both China and Taiwan and will produce the finished product based on AmTRAN’s design in Taiwan. Testing, packing, and the integration of the software will also be conducted in Taiwan.

AmTRAN will purchase the finished goods from Rick Service. Rick Service will outsource the manufacturing of key components in Taiwan, including the printed circuit board assemblies (“PCBAs”), and will then source the remaining materials and components needed to manufacture the product based on the design. For those key components, Rick Service will send the materials and components to a Taiwanese third party, Info-Tek Corporation, for commissioned processing and preliminary testing. After processing, the components will be shipped back to Rick Service for final assembly into finished goods. Upon completion of the assembly, testing will be performed in Taiwan to ensure the quality and operational integrity of the device.

In Taiwan, five different PCBAs are produced from components sourced from China and Taiwan. These PCBAs include the Main Board, Power Board, Audio Board, Input/Output Board, and Sensor Board. These PCBAs and all other components, including speakers, camera, housing, display and touch screen, and electrical controls, will be manufactured into the finished product. The final operational software will also be installed in Taiwan. A majority of the Neat Board Pro’s components are sourced from