

Federal Preservation Officer within 45 days of receipt of the nomination(s) and supports listing the properties in the National Register of Historic Places.

MASSACHUSETTS

Bristol County

New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park, 33 William St., New Bedford, 03000283

Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60

Sherry A. Frear,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program.

[FR Doc. 2025–18375 Filed 9–22–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–451 and 731–TA–1126 (Third Review)]

Lightweight Thermal Paper From China; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order and countervailing duty order on lightweight thermal paper from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: September 5, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexis Yim (202–708–1446), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On September 5, 2025, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group

response to its notice of institution (90 FR 23370, June 2, 2025) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on October 17, 2025. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. on October 23, 2025 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by October 23, 2025. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² The Commission has found the response submitted on behalf of Domtar Corporation to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 19, 2025.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2025–18384 Filed 9–22–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–405–406 and 408 and 731–TA–899–901 and 906–908 (Fourth Review)]

Hot-Rolled Steel Products From China, India, Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing duty orders on hot-rolled steel products from India, Indonesia, and Thailand and the antidumping duty orders on hot-rolled steel products from China, India, Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 2024 (89 FR 54528) and determined on October 4, 2024 that it would conduct full reviews (89 FR 84397, October 22, 2024). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on February 12, 2025 (90 FR 9435). The Commission conducted its hearing on July 24, 2025. All persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on September 19, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5667 (September 2025), entitled *Hot-Rolled Steel Products from China, India, Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-405-406 and 408 and 731-TA-899-901 and 906-908 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 19, 2025.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2025-18428 Filed 9-22-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1402]

Certain High-Strength Aluminum or Aluminum Alloy-Coated Steel, and Automotive Products and Automobiles Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination To Review a Final Initial Determination and, on Review, To Affirm the Final Initial Determination's Finding of No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined to review a final initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative

law judge ("ALJ") and, on review, the Commission has determined to affirm the ID's finding of no violation of section 337. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward S. Jou, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3316. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 24, 2024, based on a complaint (the "Complaint") filed on behalf of Complainant ArcelorMittal of Luxembourg. 89 FR 45922-23 (May 24, 2024). The Complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain high-strength aluminum or aluminum alloy-coated steel, and automotive products and automobiles containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-3, 5-10, 12, 20-22, 24-26, and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 10,961,602 ("the '602 patent"), and claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13, 15-17, 22, 24, and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 11,326,227 ("the '227 patent"). *Id.* at 45923. The Complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* at 45922.

The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents VinFast Auto Ltd. and VinFast Trading and Production JSC of Hai Phong City, Vietnam; and VinFast Auto, LLC, VinFast USA Distribution, LLC, and Vingroup USA, LLC of Los Angeles, California (collectively, "Respondents"). *Id.* at 45923. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.*

An evidentiary hearing was held on March 3-7, 2025, and the ALJ issued the final ID on July 18, 2025, finding no violation of section 337. Complainant filed a petition for review of the ID on August 1, 2025. Respondents also filed a petition for review of the ID on August

1, 2025. Complainant and Respondents filed responses in opposition to their respective petitions on August 11, 2025.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the final ID, the parties' submissions to the ALJ, and the petitions for review and responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the final ID, and, on review, the Commission has determined to affirm the final ID's finding of no violation of section 337.

Specifically, the Commission has determined to affirm the final ID's findings on statutory authority and importation and the finding that claims 1-4, 7-10, 18, 20-22, 24, and 26 of the '602 patent, and claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15-17, and 24 of the '227 patent are invalid as anticipated by the 2006 Volkswagen Passat. The Commission thus determines that the domestic industry requirement is not satisfied, because the claims that Complainant has asserted to be practiced by its domestic industry articles are invalid, and therefore Complainant has failed to satisfy the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. *See Certain Vision-Based Driver Assistance System Cameras and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-907, Comm'n Op. at 36-37 (Dec. 1, 2015). Accordingly, there is no violation of section 337. The Commission has further determined to take no position on the remaining findings in the final ID with respect to infringement, whether the domestic industry articles practice claims of the asserted patents, the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, and other invalidity grounds. *See Beloit Corp. v. Valmet Oy*, 742 F.2d 1421, 1424 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 18, 2025.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 18, 2025.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2025-18371 Filed 9-22-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P