

member application period; a lack of participation and low attendance at committee meetings; and a lack of interest in committee leadership roles or backfilling vacant positions. These ongoing recruitment, retention, and engagement challenges have resulted in the State spending a disproportionate amount of staff time trying to increase committee participation despite the overall decline in the committee's overall effectiveness.

After reviewing the State's request and based on the good cause provided herein, the Department is waiving the alternative requirement found in the second paragraph of Section V.A.3.c of the August 2019 Notice. HUD notes the State's efforts to try and form a successful citizen advisory group for its CDBG-MIT grants and, in alignment with Section III.A.6.a. of the Universal Notice, waives this requirement. However, if the State decides later that they do wish to work with a citizen advisory group, then the State can determine the cadence of the meetings and how the group would provide feedback to the grantee. This waiver only applies to the second paragraph of Section V.A.3.c as it relates to citizen advisory groups. The State must continue to follow the first paragraph of Section V.A.3.c as it relates to the availability and accessibility of the action plan, substantial amendments, and performance reports, as well as other citizen participation requirements in Section V.A.3.

Bryan W. Horn,

Acting Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

[FR Doc. 2025-18476 Filed 9-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-750 and 731-TA-1728 (Final)]

Sol Gel Alumina-Based Ceramic Abrasive Grains From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of sol gel alumina-based ceramic abrasive grains from China, provided for

in subheading 2818.10.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") to be sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV") and subsidized by the government of China.^{2,3}

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective November 25, 2024, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by Saint-Gobain Ceramics & Plastics, Inc., Malvern, Pennsylvania. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of sol gel alumina-based ceramic abrasive grains from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on June 2, 2025 (90 FR 23359). The public hearing in connection with the investigations, originally scheduled for August 7, 2025, was cancelled.⁴

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to §§ 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on September 19, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5669 (September 2025), entitled *Sol Gel Alumina-Based Ceramic Abrasive Grains from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-750 and 731-TA-1728 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 19, 2025.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2025-18449 Filed 9-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

² 90 FR 39366 and 39367 (August 15, 2025).

³ Commissioner Johanson determined that a U.S. industry is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of sol gel alumina-based ceramic abrasive grains from China.

⁴ 90 FR 38501, August 8, 2025.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-512 and 731-TA-1248 (Second Review)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From China; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: August 4, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juan Carlos Pena Flores (202 205-3169), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On August 4, 2025, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (90 FR 18704, May 1, 2025) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).²

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

² Commissioner Johanson voted to conduct full reviews.

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on October 3, 2025. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before October 9, 2025, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by October 9, 2025. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service

must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined that these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 22, 2025.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2025–18496 Filed 9–23–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1378]

Certain Organic Light-Emitting Diode Display Modules and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined to review in part a final initial determination ("FID") issued by the presiding Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. The Commission requests written submissions from the parties on the issues under review and from the parties, interested government agencies, and other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding, under the schedule set forth below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Namu Kim, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3459. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help

accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 6, 2023, based on a complaint filed by Samsung Display Company, Ltd. of the Republic of Korea ("SDC"). 88 FR 84829 (Dec. 6, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) ("section 337"), in the importation into the United States, or in the sale of certain organic light-emitting diode display modules and components thereof by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure a domestic industry ("DI") or to prevent the establishment of an industry in the United States. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named the following as respondents: BOE Technology Group Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Mianyang BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Mianyang, China; Ordos Yuansheng Optoelectronics Co., Ltd. of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China; Chengdu BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Chengdu, China; Chongqing BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Chongqing, China; Wuhan BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Wuhan, China; BMOT f/k/a Kunming BOE Display Technology of Yunnan Dianzhong New Area, China; and BOE Technology America Inc. of Santa Clara, California (collectively, "Respondents"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On June 17, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to reflect the change in the name of respondent BMOT to Yunnan Invensight Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. Order No. 63 (May 27, 2025); *unreviewed by* Notice (June 17, 2025).

On October 23, 2024, the ALJ granted in part SDC's motion *in limine* 1, precluding Respondents from introducing any argument or evidence that the manufacturing processes for Respondents' micro-OLED products materially differ from their main OLED lines. That same day, the ALJ also granted in part SDC's motion for sanctions based on spoliation of

³ The Commission has found the response submitted on behalf of Charter Steel ("Charter"), Commercial Metals Company ("CMC"), Liberty Steel USA ("Liberty"), Nucor Steel ("Nucor"), and Optimus Steel LLC ("Optimus") to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).